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Abstract
The purpose of the study was to find out the effect of weight circuit training on leg strength of university women handball players. To achieve this purpose of the study, thirty women handball players in the Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu, India were selected as subjects at random. The selected subjects were divided into two equal groups of fifteen subjects each, such as weight circuit training group and control group. The group I underwent weight circuit training for three days per week for twelve weeks. And Group II acted as control group who did not participate any special training programmes apart from their regular physical education activities as per their curriculum. The following variable namely leg strength was selected as criterion variable and it was measured by using leg dynamometer. All the subjects of two groups were tested on selected criterion variable at prior to and immediately after the training programme. The analysis of covariance was used to analyse the significant difference, if any between the groups. The results of the study revealed that there was a significant difference between weight circuit training group and control group on leg strength. And also it was found that there was a significant improvement on leg length due to weight circuit training.
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1. Introduction
The modern age is an age of computer. Man has been running over, since he had to hunt for survival. Computer was invented by man for the comforts of his life. He has caused man to depend on it completely. This has lead man to reduce his physical efficiency. Training in sports is essentially on education process. The athlete is instructed and educated by the trainers the physical education teachers and coaches. Training depends upon the various aspects and is a positive quality closely related to exercise and good health habits. It is an important and valuable pulse in modern society. For the last few decades, research has been conducted to develop a better training method to improve motor fitness components.

2. Methodology
The purpose of the study was to find out the effect of weight circuit training on leg strength of university women handball players. To achieve this purpose of the study, thirty women handball players in the Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamil Nadu, India were selected as subjects at random. The selected subjects were divided into two equal groups of fifteen subjects each, such as weight circuit training and control group. The group I underwent weight circuit training programme for three days per week for twelve weeks. And Group II acted as control group who did not participate any special training programmes apart from their regular physical education activities as per their curriculum. The following variable namely leg strength was selected as criterion variable and it was measured by using leg dynamometer. All the subjects of two groups were tested on selected criterion variable at prior to and immediately after the training programme. The analysis of covariance was used to analyse the significant difference, if any between the groups. The results of the study revealed that there was a significant difference between weight circuit training group and control group on leg strength. And also it was found that there was a significant improvement on leg length due to weight circuit training.

3. Analysis of the Data
The analysis of covariance on leg strength of the pre and post test scores of weight circuit training group and control group have been analyzed and presented in Table 1.
Table 1: Analysis of Covariance of the Data on Leg Strength of Pre and Post Tests Scores Of Weight Circuit Training and Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>weight circuit training Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean squares</th>
<th>Obtained ‘F’ Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>92.46</td>
<td>92.49</td>
<td>Between</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td>78.43</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.801</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>94.62</td>
<td>92.52</td>
<td>Between</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>Within</td>
<td>94.81</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted Post Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>94.13</td>
<td>92.41</td>
<td>Between</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Within</td>
<td>116.02</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at .05 level of confidence.
(The table values required for significance at .05 level of confidence for 1 and 28 and 1 and 27 are 4.20 and 4.215 respectively)

The table shows that the pre-test mean values on leg strength of weight circuit training group are 92.46 and 92.49 respectively. The obtained ‘F’ ratio of 1.11 for pre-test scores is less than the table value of 4.20 for df 1 and 28 required for significance at .05 level of confidence on leg strength. The post-test mean values on leg strength of weight circuit training group and control group are 94.62 and 92.52 respectively. The obtained “F” ratio of 4.75 for post-test scores is more than the table value of 4.20 for df 1 and 28 required for significance at .05 level of confidence on leg strength. The adjusted post-test means of weight circuit training group and control group are 94.13 and 92.41 respectively. The obtained “F” ratio of 4.30 for adjusted post-test means is greater than the table value of 4.215 for df 1 and 27 required for significance at .05 level of confidence on leg strength.

The results of the study indicated that there was a significant difference between the adjusted post-test means of weight circuit training group and control group on leg strength.

4. Conclusions
Based on the results of the study the following conclusions were drawn.
1. There was a significant difference between weight circuit training group and control group on leg strength.
2. There was a significant improvement on leg strength due to weight circuit training.
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