



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
 ISSN Online: 2394-5869
 Impact Factor: 5.2
 IJAR 2016; 2(9): 781-788
 www.allresearchjournal.com
 Received: 18-07-2016
 Accepted: 19-08-2016

T Muthu Priya
 Research Scholar, Department
 of Mathematics, Nirmala
 College for Women,
 Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
 India

A Francina Shalini
 Assistant Professor,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Nirmala College for Women,
 Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
 India

Correspondence
T Muthu Priya
 Research Scholar, Department
 of Mathematics, Nirmala
 College for Women,
 Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
 India

On contra Čech Mp-continuous functions in Čech closure spaces

T Muthu Priya and A Francina Shalini

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of contra Čech MP-continuous mappings in closure spaces. The properties and relationship with other types of mappings in closure spaces are obtained with examples.

Keywords: Contra Čech MP-continuous, contra Čech MP-irresolute functions, almost contra Čech MP-continuous, Čech MP-closed maps

1. Introduction

Every topological space is a closure space, we define the closure operator of the space as a function that takes any subset to its closure. Norman Levine introduced the concept of generalized closed sets as a generalization of closed sets, to investigate some topological properties. The concept of closure space is the generalization of a topological space. E. Čech^[4] introduced the concept of Čech-closure spaces. Closure functions that are more general than the topological ones have been studied already by many authors^[5, 6].

Let (X, k) or simply X denote a Čech-closure space. For any subset $A \subseteq X$, $\text{int}(A)$ and $k(A)$ denote the Čech interior and Čech closure of a set A with respect to the function k . The ideas about the concept of a continuous mapping and of a set endowed with continuous operation (Composition) play a fundamental role in general mathematical analysis. Dontchev^[7] introduced the notions of contra continuity in topological spaces. In this paper, the present work has as its purpose to investigate some fundamental properties of contra Čech MP-continuous, contra Čech MP-irresolute maps. Furthermore we extend and study their characterizations.

2. Preliminaries

A map $k: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ defined on the power set $P(X)$ of a set X is called a closure operator on X and the pair (X, k) is called a closure space if the following axioms are satisfied.

$$k(\phi) = \phi$$

$$A \subseteq k(A) \text{ for every } A \subseteq X$$

$$k(A \cup B) = k(A) \cup k(B) \text{ for all } A, B \subseteq X$$

A closure operator k on a set X is called idempotent if $k(A) = k[k(A)]$ for all $A \subseteq X$.

2.1 Definition: A subset A of a Čech-closure (X, k) will be called Čech closed if $k(A) = A$ and Čech-open if its complement is closed. i.e., $k(X-A) = X-A$.

2.2 Definition: A subset A of a Čech closure space (X, k) is said to be

1. Čech regular open if $A = \text{int}(k(A))$ and Čech regular closed if $A = k(\text{int}(A))$.
2. Čech pre open if $A \subseteq \text{int}(k(A))$ and Čech pre closed if $k(\text{int}(A)) \subseteq A$. Čech semi open if $A \subseteq k(\text{int}(A))$
3. Čech α -open if $A \subseteq \text{int}(k(\text{int}(A)))$ and Čech α -closed if $k(\text{int}(k(A))) \subseteq A$.
4. Čech β -open if $A \subseteq k(\text{int}(k(A)))$ and Čech β -closed if $\text{int}(k(\text{int}(A))) \subseteq A$.

2.3 Definition: A Čech closure space (Y, I) is said to be a subspace of (X, k) if $Y \subseteq X$ and $k(A) = k(A) \cap Y$ for each subset $A \subseteq Y$. If Y is closed in (X, k) then the subspace (Y, I) of (X, k) is said to be closed too.

2.4 Definition: Let (X, k) and (Y, l) be Čech closure space. A map $f: (X, k) \rightarrow (Y, l)$ is said to be continuous, if $f(kA) \subseteq l f(A)$ for every subset $A \subseteq X$.

2.5 Definition: Let (X, k) and (Y, l) be Čech closure spaces. A map $f: (X, k) \rightarrow (Y, l)$ is said to be closed (res. open) if $f(F)$ is a closed (res. open) subset of (Y, l) whenever F is a closed (res. open) subset of (X, k) .

2.6 Definition: Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure spaces. A map $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is called Čech M-continuous (resp. Čech N-continuous, Čech T-continuous, Čech D-continuous) [6] if the inverse image of every open set in (Y, v) is M-open in (X, u) (resp. N-open, T-open, D-open).

2.7 Definition: Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure spaces. A map $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is called Čech MP-continuous if the inverse image of every Čech open set in (Y, v) is Čech MP-open in (X, u) .

2.8 Definition: Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure space and a map $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is called Čech MP-Irresolute, if $f^{-1}(G)$ is Čech MP-open (closed) in (X, u) for every Čech MP-open set (closed) G in (Y, v) .

2.9 Definition: Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure spaces and a map $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is called open map (closed map) if $f(B)$ is open in (Y, v) for every open set (closed set) B in (X, u) .

2.10 Definition: A closure space (X, u) is said to be T_m -space if every Čech MP-open set in (X, u) is open.

3. Contra Čech MP-continuous and contra Čech MP-irresolute functions

3.1 Definition: Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure spaces. A map $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is called contra Čech MP-continuous if the inverse image of every Čech open set in (Y, v) is Čech MP-closed in (X, u) .

3.2 Proposition: Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be a map where (X, u) and (Y, v) are closure spaces. Then f is contra Čech MP-continuous if and only if the inverse image of every closed subset of (Y, v) is Čech MP-open in (X, u) .

Proof: Consider F to be a closed subset in (Y, v) . Then $Y-F$ is open in (Y, v) . Since f is contra Čech MP-continuous, $f^{-1}(Y-F)$ is Čech MP-closed. But $f^{-1}(Y-F) = X-f^{-1}(F)$. Thus $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open in (X, u) . Conversely let G be an open subset in (Y, v) . Then $Y-G$ is closed in (Y, v) . Since the inverse image of each closed subset in (Y, v) is Čech MP-open in (X, u) , $f^{-1}(Y-G)$ is Čech MP-open in (X, u) . But $f^{-1}(Y-G) = X-f^{-1}(G)$. Thus $f^{-1}(G)$ is Čech MP-closed. Therefore f is contra Čech MP-continuous.

3.3 Proposition: Let (X, u) , (Y, v) and (Z, w) be closure spaces. If $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ and $g: (Y, v) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ be maps. If $g \circ f$ is contra Čech MP-continuous and g is a closed injection. Then f is contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: Let H be a closed subset of (Y, v) . since g is closed, $g(H)$ is closed in (Z, w) as $g \circ f$ is contra Čech MP-continuous, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(g(H)) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(g(H)))$ is Čech MP-open in (X, u) . But g is injective, hence $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(g(H))) = f^{-1}(H)$, $f^{-1}(H)$ is Čech MP-open. Therefore, f is contra Čech MP-continuous.

3.4 Proposition: Let (X, u) and (Z, w) be closure space and (Y, v) be a T_m -space. If $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ and $g: (Y, v) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ are contra Čech MP-continuous maps. Then $g \circ f$ is contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: Let H be closed in (Z, w) . Since g is contra Čech MP-continuous, $g^{-1}(H)$ is Čech MP-open in (Y, v) . But (Y, v) is a T_m -space, Hence $g^{-1}(H)$ is open in (Y, v) . As f is contra Čech MP-continuous, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(H)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(H)$ is Čech MP-closed in (X, u) . Therefore, $g \circ f$ is Čech MP-continuous.

3.5 Proposition: Assume (X, u) , (Y, v) and (Z, w) be closure spaces, where $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$, $g: (Y, v) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ be two maps. If f is contra Čech MP-continuous and g is continuous. Then $g \circ f$ is contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: Consider $g \circ f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Z, w)$. Let H be closed in Z . Since g is continuous, $g^{-1}(H)$ is closed in Y . As f is contra Čech MP-continuous, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(H))$ is Čech MP-open in X . Hence $(g \circ f)^{-1}(H)$ is Čech MP-open in X . Therefore, $(g \circ f)$ is contra Čech MP-continuous.

3.6 Definition: A function $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be contra Čech MP-irresolute if $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-open in (X, u) for every Čech MP-closed set V in (Y, v) .

3.7 Definition: A function $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be totally continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is clopen in (X, u) for every open set V in (Y, v) .

3.8 Theorem

1. Every contra Čech continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
2. Every contra Čech w-continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
3. Every contra Čech g-continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
4. Every contra Čech $\alpha\psi$ -continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
5. Every contra J-Čech continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
6. Every contra Čech $\pi g\beta$ -continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
7. Every contra Čech M-continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
8. Every contra Čech N-continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
9. Every contra Čech T-continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.
10. Every contra Čech D-continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: (1) Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be a contra Čech continuous map. Let V be a Čech open set in (Y, v) . Since f is contra Čech continuous map, $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech closed set of (X, u) . Every Čech closed set is Čech MP-closed set. That implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed set of (X, u) , for every Čech open set V in (Y, v) . (i.e.,) f is contra Čech MP-continuous. Therefore every contra Čech continuous map is contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof of the following statements are similar.

3.9 Remark: The converse of the above need not be true may be seen by the following example.

3.10 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = \{a\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b, c\}$, $u\{c\} = u\{a, c\} = \{a, c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = u\{b, c\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1\} = v\{2\} = v\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{3\} = v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{2, 3\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 2$, $f(b) = 3$, $f(c) = 1$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1, 2\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{1, 2\} = \{a, c\}$ is not Čech open in X .

3.11 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = \{a\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b, c\}$, $u\{c\} = u\{a, c\} = \{a, c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = u\{b, c\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1\} = v\{2\} = v\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{3\} = v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{2, 3\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 2$, $f(b) = 3$, $f(c) = 1$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech w-continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1, 2\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{1, 2\} = \{a, c\}$ is not Čech w-open in X .

3.12 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = u\{a, b\} = \{a, b\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b\}$, $u\{c\} = \{c\}$, $u\{a, c\} = u\{b, c\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1\} = v\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{2\} = \{2\}$, $v\{3\} = \{3\}$, $v\{2, 3\} = v\{1, 3\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 3$, $f(b) = 2$, $f(c) = 1$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech g-continuous. Since for the closed set $\{2\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{2\} = \{b\}$ is not Čech g-open in X .

3.13 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = u\{a, b\} = \{a, b\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b\}$, $u\{c\} = \{c\}$, $u\{a, c\} = u\{b, c\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{2\} = \{2, 3\}$, $v\{3\} = \{3\}$, $v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{2, 3\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 2$, $f(b) = 1$, $f(c) = 3$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech $\alpha\psi$ -continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1, 3\}$ in Y the inverse image of $f^{-1}\{1, 3\} = \{b, c\}$ is not Čech $\alpha\psi$ -open in X .

3.14 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = \{a\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b, c\}$, $u\{c\} = u\{a, c\} = \{a, c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = u\{b, c\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1\} = \{1\}$, $v\{2\} = \{2\}$, $v\{3\} = v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{1, 2\} = v\{2, 3\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 1$, $f(b) = 3$, $f(c) = 2$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra J-Čech continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1\}$ in Y , the inverse image $f^{-1}\{1\} = \{a\}$ is not in J-Čech open in X .

3.15 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = \{a, b\}$, $u\{b\} = u\{b, c\} = \{b, c\}$, $u\{c\} = \{c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = \{a, b\}$, $u\{a, c\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1\} = \{1\}$, $v\{2\} = \{2\}$, $v\{3\} = \{3\}$, $v\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{2, 3\} = v\{1, 3\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 1$, $f(b) = 3$, $f(c) = 2$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech $\pi g\beta$ -continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1, 2\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{1, 2\} = \{a, c\}$ is not in Čech $\pi g\beta$ -open in X .

3.15 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = \{a\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b\}$, $u\{c\} = \{c\}$, $u\{a, c\} = \{a, c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = u\{b, c\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1\} = v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{2\} = v\{2, 3\} = \{2, 3\}$, $v\{3\} = \{3\}$, $v\{1, 2\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 3$, $f(b) = 2$, $f(c) = 1$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech M-continuous. Since for the closed set $\{3\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{3\} = \{a\}$ is not in Čech M-open in X .

3.16 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \{\phi\}$, $u\{a\} = \{a, b\}$, $u\{b\} = u\{b, c\} = \{b, c\}$, $u\{c\} = \{c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = \{a, b\}$, $u\{a, c\} = u\{a, b, c\} = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \{\phi\}$, $v\{2\} = \{2\}$, $v\{3\} = v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{1\} = v\{1, 2\} = v\{2, 3\} = v\{1, 2, 3\} = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 2$, $f(b) = 1$, $f(c) = 3$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech N-continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1, 3\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{1, 3\} = \{b, c\}$ is not in Čech N-open in X .

3.17 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = \{a\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b, c\}$, $u\{c\} = u\{a, c\} = \{a, c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = u\{b, c\} = u\{a, b, c\} = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{2, 3\} = \{2, 3\}$, $v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{1\} = v\{2\} = v\{3\} = v\{1, 2, 3\} = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 1$, $f(b) = 3$, $f(c) = 2$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech T-continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1, 2\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{1, 2\} = \{a, c\}$ is not in Čech T-open in X .

3.18 Example: Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Define a closure operator u on x by $u\{\phi\} = \phi$, $u\{a\} = \{a\}$, $u\{b\} = \{b, c\}$, $u\{c\} = u\{a, c\} = \{a, c\}$, $u\{a, b\} = u\{b, c\} = u\{a, b, c\} = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi$, $v\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}$, $v\{2, 3\} = \{2, 3\}$, $v\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}$, $v\{1\} = \{1\}$, $v\{2\} = v\{3\} = v\{1, 2, 3\} = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be defined by $f(a) = 1$, $f(b) = 2$, $f(c) = 3$. Here f is contra Čech MP-continuous but not contra Čech D-continuous. Since for the closed set $\{1\}$ in Y the inverse image $f^{-1}\{1\} = \{a\}$ is not in Čech D-open in X .

3.19 Proposition: Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be a surjection function. Suppose Čech MP(X) is closed under arbitrary union. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. f is contra Čech MP-continuous.
2. For every Čech closed subset F of Y , $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open in X
3. For each $x \in X$ and each Čech closed set F in Y containing $f(x)$, there exists Čech MP-open set U in X containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq F$

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let F be Čech closed in Y . Then $Y - F$ is Čech open in Y . by (1), $f^{-1}(Y - F) = X - f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-closed in X which implies $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose V is Čech open in Y . Then $Y - V$ is Čech closed in Y by (2), $f^{-1}(Y - V) = X - f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-open in X which implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed in X thus f is contra Čech MP-continuous.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let F be Čech closed set in Y containing $f(x)$. by(2), $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open for $x \in f^{-1}(F)$ take $U = f^{-1}(F)$ then $f(U) \subseteq F$

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Let F be any Čech closed set in Y and $x \in f^{-1}(F)$ by(3), there exists Čech MP-open set $U_x \subseteq f^{-1}(F)$, hence $f^{-1}(F) = \bigcup_{x \in X} U_x$. thus $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open.

3.20 Proposition

1. If $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ be a map, then the following are equivalent
2. f is contra Čech MP-irresolute
3. For each $x \in X$ and any Čech MP-open set V of (Y, v) containing $f(x)$ there exists a Čech MP-closed set U such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq V$
4. The inverse image of every Čech MP-closed set in (Y, v) is Čech MP-open in (X, u) .

The proof is obvious.

4. Almost Contra Čech Mp-Continuous Maps

4.1 Definition: A function $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be almost contra Čech MP-continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed set in (X, u) for every Čech regular open set V of (Y, v) .

4.2 Definition: A function $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is said to be perfectly Čech continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech clopen set in (X, u) for each Čech open set V of (Y, v) .

4.3 Theorem: Let (X, u) and (Y, v) be closure spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent for a function $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$.

1. f is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.
2. $f^{-1}(F)$ is a Čech MP-open set of (X, u) , for every F in Čech regular closed set of (Y, v) .
3. For each $x \in X$ and each Čech regular closed set F of (Y, v) , there exist Čech MP-open set of (X, u) such that $f(U) \subseteq F$.
4. For each $x \in X$ and each Čech regular open set V in Y not containing $f(x)$ there exist a Čech MP-closed set A in X not containing x such that $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq A$.
5. $f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(G)))$ is a Čech MP-closed set of (X, u) for every open subset G of Y .
6. $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(F)))$ is a Čech MP-open set of X , for every Čech closed subset F of Y .

- Proof:** (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let F be Čech regular closed set of (Y, ν) . that implies $Y-F$ is Čech regular open in (Y, ν) . Since f is almost contra Čech MP-continuous, $f^{-1}(Y-F) = X-f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-closed in (X, μ) . that implies $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open set in (X, μ) .
- (2) \Rightarrow (1) Let V be Čech regular open set in (Y, ν) , then $Y-V$ is Čech regular closed set in (Y, ν) . By (2) $f^{-1}(Y-V) = X-f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-open set in (X, μ) . Thus $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed set in (X, μ) .
- (2) \Rightarrow (3) Let F be any Čech regular closed set in Y containing $f(x)$. By (2) $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open in (X, μ) and $x \in f^{-1}(F)$. Take $U=f^{-1}(F)$ then U is Čech MP-open set in X containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq F$.
- (3) \Rightarrow (2) Let F be Čech regular closed set $U_x \subset f^{-1}(F)$, we have $f^{-1}(F) = \bigcup \{ U_x / x \in f^{-1}(F) \}$ is Čech MP-open set in X . Therefore $f^{-1}(F)$ is Čech MP-open.
- (3) \Rightarrow (4) Let V be any Čech regular open in Y not containing $f(x)$. Then $Y-V$ is a Čech regular closed set containing $f(x)$. By (3) there exist a Čech MP-open set U in X containing x such that $f(U) \subset Y-V$. Hence $U \subset f^{-1}(Y-V) = X-f^{-1}(V)$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subset X-U$. Take $A=X-U$. Therefore we get Čech MP-closed set A in X not containing x .
- (4) \Rightarrow (3) Let F be a Čech regular closed set Y containing $f(x)$. Then $Y-F$ is a Čech regular set in Y not containing $f(x)$. By (4) there exist a Čech MP-closed set A in X not containing x such that $f^{-1}(Y-F) \subset A$. that implies $X-f^{-1}(F) \subset A$. Therefore $f(X-A) \subset F$. Take $U=X-A$. Then U is Čech MP-open set in X containing x such that $f(U) \subset F$.
- (1) \Rightarrow (5) Let G be an Čech open subset of Y . Since $\text{int}(\text{cl}(G))$ is Čech regular open, then by (1) $f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(G)))$ is Čech MP-closed set of X .
- (5) \Rightarrow (1) Let V be a Čech regular open set in Y , then V is Čech open in Y . Therefore by (5) $f^{-1}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(V)))$ is Čech MP-closed set in (X, μ) . that implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed set in X . Therefore f is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.
- (2) \Rightarrow (6) Let F be Čech closed subset of Y . Since $\text{cl}(\text{int}(F))$ is Čech regular closed, then by (2) $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(F)))$ is Čech MP-open set in (X, μ) .
- (6) \Rightarrow (2) Let F be Čech regular closed set of (Y, ν) . Then F is Čech closed set in Y . By (6) $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(F)))$ is Čech MP-open set in X .

4.4 Theorem: If $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ is contra Čech MP-continuous, then it is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: Let V be Čech regular open in Y . Then V is Čech open in X . By assumption $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed in (X, μ) . Thus f is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.

4.5 Proposition: If $f: (x, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ be Čech regular set connected then it is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: If V is a Čech regular open set in (Y, ν) . Then $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech clopen in (X, μ) , since f is Čech regular set connected. That implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech closed set in (X, μ) . But every Čech closed set is Čech MP-closed set. That implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed in (X, μ) . That implies f is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.

4.6 Proposition: If $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ is contra Čech continuous then it is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: Let V be Čech regular open in (Y, ν) . Then V is Čech open in (Y, ν) . Since f is contra Čech continuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech closed set in (X, μ) . Every Čech closed set is Čech MP-closed in (X, μ) that implies f is almost contra Čech MP-continuous.

4.7 Definition: A function $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ is called perfectly Čech continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech clopen in X , for each Čech open set V in Y .

4.8 Definition: A function $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ is called Perfectly Čech MP-continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-clopen in X , for each Čech open set V in Y .

4.9 Proposition: Every Perfectly Čech continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: Suppose f is a Perfectly Čech continuous function and V is a Čech open set in Y . Then $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech clopen in X . (i.e.,) $f^{-1}(V)$ is both Čech closed and Čech open. But every Čech closed set is Čech MP-closed set. That implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed set. Hence f is contra Čech MP-continuous.

4.10 Proposition: Every Perfectly Čech MP-continuous function is contra Čech MP-continuous.

Proof: If V is a Čech open set in Y . Then $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-clopen in X , Since f is a Perfectly Čech MP-continuous. (i.e.,) $f^{-1}(V)$ is both Čech MP-closed and Čech MP-open. That implies $f^{-1}(V)$ is Čech MP-closed set. Hence f is contra Čech MP-continuous.

5. Čech MP-closed maps

5.1 Definition: A map $f: (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ is called Čech MP-closed map if for each Čech closed set of A of (X, μ) , $f(A)$ is a Čech MP-closed set of (Y, ν) .

5.2 Definition: A map $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is called Čech T-closed(res. Čech M-closed, Čech N-closed, Čech D-closed) map if for each Čech closed set of A of (X, u) , $f(A)$ is a Čech T-closed set(res. Čech M-closed, Čech N-closed, Čech D-closed) of (Y, v) .

5.3 Proposition: Let (X, u) be a closure space and $A \subseteq X$. Then the following properties hold

1. $k_\beta(A)$ is the smallest Čech MP-closed set containing A and
2. A is Čech MP-closed if and only if $k_\beta(A)=A$

Proof

1. It follows from the definition of k_β .
2. If A is Čech MP-closed, then A itself is the smallest Čech MP-closed set containing $k_\beta(A)$. (i.e.,) $k_\beta(A) \subseteq A$.

We know that $A \subseteq k_\beta(A)$ and hence $k_\beta(A)=A$. Conversely, Let $k_\beta(A)=A$, then by (i) $k_\beta(A)$ is Čech MP-closed set. Therefore A is Čech MP-closed set.

5.4 Proposition: For any two subsets A and B of (X, u)

1. If $A \subseteq B$ then $k_\beta(A) \subseteq k_\beta(B)$ and
2. $k_\beta(A \cap B) \subseteq k_\beta(A) \cap k_\beta(B)$.

Proof

1. We know that $B \subseteq k_\beta(B)$, Since $A \subseteq B$, we have $A \subseteq k_\beta(B)$. Thus $k_\beta(B)$ is a Čech MP-closed set containing A . Since $k_\beta(A)$ is the smallest closed set containing A , we have $k_\beta(A) \subseteq k_\beta(B)$.
2. $A \cap B \subseteq A \Rightarrow k_\beta(A \cap B) \subseteq k_\beta(A)$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B \Rightarrow k_\beta(A \cap B) \subseteq k_\beta(B)$ Hence $k_\beta(A \cap B) \subseteq k_\beta(A) \cap k_\beta(B)$.

5.5 Proposition: A mapping $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is Čech MP-closed if and only if $k_\beta(f(A)) \subseteq f(k_\beta(A))$ for every subset A of (X, u) .

Proof: Suppose that f is Čech MP-closed and $A \subseteq X$. Then $f(k_\beta(A))$ is Čech MP-closed in (Y, v) . we have $f(A) \subseteq f(k_\beta(A))$ and by proposition 5.3 and 5.4, $k_\beta(f(A)) \subseteq k_\beta(f(k_\beta(A)))=f(k_\beta(A))$. Conversely, Let A be any closed set in (X, u) . Then by hypothesis, $A=k_\beta(A)$, so $f(A)=f(k_\beta(A)) \supseteq k_\beta(f(A))$. We have $f(A) \subseteq k_\beta(f(A))$ by proposition 5.3. Therefore, $f(A)=k_\beta(f(A))$. (i.e.,) $f(A)$ is Čech MP-closed by proposition 5.3. Hence f is Čech MP-closed.

5.6 Theorem: A map $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is Čech MP-closed if and only if for each subset S of Y and for each Čech open set U containing $f(S)$, there exists an Čech MP-open set V of Y containing S and $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$.

Proof

Necessity: Suppose that f is a Čech MP-closed map. Let S be a subset of Y and U be an Čech open set of X such that $f^{-1}(S) \subseteq U$. Then $V=Y-f(X-U)$, is an Čech MP-open set containing S such that $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$.

Sufficiency: Let F be a Čech closed set of X . Then $f^{-1}(Y-f(F)) \subseteq X-F$ and $X-F$ is Čech open. By taking $S=Y-f(F)$ and $U=X-F$ in hypothesis there exists an Čech MP-open set V of Y containing $Y-f(F)$ and $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X-F$. Then we have $F \subseteq X-f^{-1}(V)$. Hence $Y-V \subseteq f(F) \subseteq f(X-f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq Y-V$. that implies $Y-V=f(F)$. Since $Y-V$ is Čech MP-closed, $f(F)$ is Čech MP-closed and thus f is an Čech MP-closed map.

5.7 Remark: The following example shows that the composition of two Čech MP-closed maps need not be Čech MP-closed.

5.8 Example: Let $X = \{p, q, r, s\}, Y = \{a, b, c, d\}, Z = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Define a function $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ such that $f(p)=a, f(q)=c, f(r)=d, f(s)=b$ and $g: (Y, v) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ such that $g(a)=2, g(b)=4, g(c)=1, g(d)=3$ and $gof: (X, u) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ such that $gof(p)=2, gof(q)=1, gof(r)=3, gof(s)=4$.

Let u, v and w be closure operators of X, Y and Z defined as $u\{\phi\}=\phi, u\{p\}=u\{p, q\}=\{p, q\}, u\{p, r\}=u\{p, q, r\}=\{p, q, r\}, u\{p, s\}=u\{r, s\}=u\{p, r, s\}=\{p, r, s\}, u\{r\}=\{r\}, u\{q\}=\{q\}, u\{q, r\}=\{q, r\}, u\{s\}=u\{q, s\}=u\{p, q, s\}=u\{q, r, s\}=u X=X$ and $v\{\phi\}=\phi, v\{a\}=v\{a, b\}=\{a, b\}, v\{b\}=v\{b, c\}=v\{a, b, c\}=\{a, b, c\}, v\{c\}=v\{a, c\}=\{a, c\}, v\{d\}=v\{a, d\}=v\{a, b, d\}=\{a, b, d\}, v\{b, d\}=v\{c, d\}=v\{b, c, d\}=v\{a, c, d\}=v Y=Y$ and $w\{\phi\}=\phi, w\{1\}=w\{4\}=w\{1, 2\}=w\{1, 4\}=w\{1, 2, 4\}=\{1, 2, 4\}, w\{2\}=\{2\}, w\{3\}=w\{2, 4\}=w\{3, 4\}=w\{2, 3, 4\}=\{2, 3, 4\}, w\{1, 3\}=w\{1, 3, 4\}=\{1, 3, 4\}, w\{2, 3\}=w\{1, 2, 3\}=w Z=Z$. Here f and g are Čech MP-closed maps, but g of $\{q\} = \{1\}$ is not Čech MP-closed in (Z, w) . Therefore gof is not a Čech MP-closed map.

5.9 Proposition: If $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is Čech closed map and $g: (Y, v) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ is Čech MP-closed map, then their composition $gof: (X, u) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ is Čech MP-closed.

Proof: It is obvious.

5.10 Theorem: Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ and $g: (Y, v) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ be two mappings such that their composition $gof: (X, u) \rightarrow (Z, w)$ be a Čech MP-closed map. Then the following statements are true

1. If f is Čech continuous and surjective then g is Čech MP-closed.
2. If g is Čech MP-irresolute and injective then f is Čech MP-closed.

Proof: (1) If A is a Čech closed set of (Y, v) then $f^{-1}(A)$ is Čech closed in (X, u) since f is Čech continuous. Since $g \circ f$ is Čech MP-closed, $(g \circ f)(f^{-1}(A))$ is Čech MP-closed in (Z, w) . (i.e.,) $g(A)$ is a Čech MP-closed in (Z, w) [since f is surjective]. Therefore g is a Čech MP-closed map.

(2) Let B be a Čech closed set of (X, u) . Since $g \circ f$ is Čech MP-closed, $(g \circ f)(B)$ is Čech MP-closed in (Z, w) . Here g is Čech MP-irresolute and hence $g^{-1}((g \circ f)(B))$ is Čech MP-closed in Y . (i.e.,) $f(B)$ is Čech MP-closed in (Y, v) , since g is injective. Thus f is a Čech MP-closed map.

5.11 Proposition: For any bijective $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. $f^{-1}: (Y, v) \rightarrow (X, u)$ is Čech MP-continuous
2. f is a Čech MP-open map and
3. f is a Čech MP-closed map.

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let U be a Čech open set of (X, u) . by assumption $(f^{-1})^{-1}(U) = f(U)$ is Čech MP-open in (Y, v) and so f is Čech MP-open.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let F be a Čech closed set of (X, u) . Then $X - F$ is Čech open in (X, u) . By assumption, $f(X - F)$ is Čech MP-open in (Y, v) . (i.e.,) $f(X - F) = Y - f(F)$ is Čech MP-open in (Y, v) and therefore $f(F)$ is Čech MP-closed in (Y, v) . Hence f is Čech MP-closed.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let F be a Čech closed set of (X, u) . By assumption, $f(F)$ is Čech MP-closed in (Y, v) . But $f(F) = (f^{-1})^{-1}(F)$. Therefore f^{-1} is Čech MP-continuous on Y .

5.12 Proposition: Every Čech closed map is Čech MP-closed map.

Proof: Suppose f be a Čech closed map and A is a Čech closed set in X . Since f is a Čech closed map, $f(A)$ is a Čech closed set in (Y, v) . But every Čech closed set is Čech MP-closed. Therefore $f(A)$ is Čech MP-closed set. Hence f is a Čech MP-closed map.

5.13 Proposition

1. Every Čech w -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
2. Every Čech g -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
3. Every Čech $\alpha\psi$ -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
4. Every J -Čech closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
5. Every Čech $\pi g\beta$ -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
6. Every Čech M -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
7. Every Čech N -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
8. Every Čech T -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.
9. Every Čech D -closed map is Čech MP-closed map.

Proof: (1) Assume that f is a Čech w -closed map and A is a Čech closed set in X . Then $f(A)$ is a Čech w -closed set in (Y, v) . Since f is a Čech w -closed map, But every Čech w -closed set is Čech MP-closed. Therefore $f(A)$ is Čech MP-closed set. Thus f is a Čech MP-closed map.

The proof of others is obvious.

5.14 Remark: The converse of the above theorem need not be true which can be seen from the following example.

5.15 Example: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi, u\{1\} = u\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}, u\{2\} = \{2\}, u\{3\} = \{3\}, u\{2, 3\} = u\{1, 3\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi, v\{a\} = \{a\}, v\{b\} = \{b, c\}, v\{c\} = v\{a, c\} = \{a, c\}, v\{a, b\} = v\{b, c\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1) = a, f(2) = b$, and $f(3) = c$. Here $\{2\}$ is a Čech closed set of X . But $f\{2\} = \{b\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech w -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech w -closed map.

5.16 Example: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}, Y = \{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi, u\{1\} = u\{2\} = u\{1, 2\} = \{1, 2\}, u\{3\} = u\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}, u\{2, 3\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi, v\{a\} = v\{a, b\} = \{a, b\}, v\{b\} = \{b\}, v\{c\} = \{c\}, v\{a, c\} = v\{b, c\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1) = c, f(2) = b$, and $f(3) = a$. Here $\{1, 3\}$ is a Čech closed set of X . But $f\{1, 3\} = \{a, c\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech g -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech g -closed map.

5.17 Example: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}, Y = \{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\} = \phi, u\{1\} = \{1\}, u\{2\} = \{2\}, u\{3\} = u\{1, 3\} = \{1, 3\}, u\{1, 2\} = u\{2, 3\} = uX = X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\} = \phi, v\{a\} = v\{a, b\} = \{a, b\}, v\{b\} = \{b\}, v\{c\} = \{c\}, v\{a, c\} = v\{b, c\} = vY = Y$. Let $f: (X, u) \rightarrow (Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1) = a, f(2) = c$ and $f(3) = b$. Here $\{1\}$ is a Čech closed set

of X . But $f\{1\}=\{a\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech $\alpha\psi$ -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech $\alpha\psi$ -closed map.

5.18 Example: Let $X=\{1, 2, 3\}, Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\}=\phi, u\{1\}=\{1,2\}, u\{2\}=\{2,3\}, u\{3\}=\{3\}, u\{1,3\}=\{1,3\}, u\{1,2\}=\{1,2\}, u\{2,3\}=uX=X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\}=\phi, v\{a\}=\{a\}, v\{b\}=\{b, c\}, v\{c\}=v\{a, c\}=\{a, c\}, v\{a, b\}=v\{b, c\}=vY=Y$. Let $f: (X, u)\rightarrow(Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1)=b, f(2)=c$ and $f(3)=a$. Here $\{1,2\}$ is a Čech closed set of X . But $f\{1,2\}=\{b,c\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not J -Čech closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a J -Čech closed map.

5.19 Example: Let $X=\{1, 2, 3\}, Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\}=\phi, u\{1\}=\{1\}, u\{2\}=\{2\}, u\{3\}=\{3\}, u\{1, 2\}=\{1, 2\}, u\{2, 3\}=u\{1, 3\}=uX=X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\}=\phi, v\{a\}=\{a, b\}, v\{b\}=v\{b, c\}=\{b, c\}, v\{c\}=\{c\}, v\{a, b\}=\{a, b\}, v\{a, c\}=vY=Y$. Let $f: (X, u)\rightarrow(Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1)=a, f(2)=c$ and $f(3)=b$. Here $\{3\}$ is a Čech closed set of X . But $f\{3\}=\{b\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech $\pi g\beta$ -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech $\pi g\beta$ -closed map.

5.20 Example: Let $X=\{1, 2, 3\}, Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\}=\phi, u\{1\}=u\{1, 3\}=\{1, 3\}, u\{2\}=u\{2, 3\}=\{2, 3\}, u\{3\}=\{3\}, u\{1, 2\}=uX=X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\}=\phi, v\{a\}=\{a\}, v\{b\}=\{b\}, v\{c\}=\{c\}, v\{a, c\}=\{a, c\}, v\{a, b\}=v\{b, c\}=vY=Y$. Let $f: (X, u)\rightarrow(Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1)=c, f(2)=b$ and $f(3)=a$. Here $\{2,3\}$ is a Čech closed set of X . But $f\{2,3\}=\{a,b\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech M -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech M -closed map.

5.21 Example: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}, Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\}=\phi, u\{2\}=\{2\}, u\{3\}=u\{1, 3\}=\{1, 3\}, u\{1\}=u\{1, 2\}=u\{2, 3\}, u\{2\}=uX=X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\}=\phi, v\{a\}=\{a, b\}, v\{b\}=v\{b, c\}=\{b, c\}, v\{c\}=\{c\}, v\{a, b\}=\{a, b\}, v\{a, c\}=vY=Y$. Let $f: (X, u)\rightarrow(Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1)=c, f(2)=a$ and $f(3)=b$. Here $\{2\}$ is a Čech closed set of X . But $f\{2\}=\{a\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech N -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech N -closed map.

5.22 Example: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}, Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\}=\phi, u\{1, 2\}=\{1, 2\}, u\{2, 3\}=\{2, 3\}, u\{1, 3\}=\{1, 3\}, u\{1\}=u\{2\}=u\{3\}=uX=X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\}=\phi, v\{a\}=\{a\}, v\{b\}=\{b, c\}, v\{c\}=v\{a, c\}=\{a, c\}, v\{a, b\}=v\{b, c\}=vY=Y$. Let $f: (X, u)\rightarrow(Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1)=a, f(2)=c$ and $f(3)=b$. Here $\{3\}$ is Čech closed set of X . But $f\{3\}=\{b\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech T -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech T -closed map.

5.23 Example: Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}, Y=\{a, b, c\}$. Define a closure operator u on X by $u\{\phi\}=\phi, u\{1, 2\}=\{1, 2\}, u\{2, 3\}=\{2, 3\}, u\{1, 3\}=\{1, 3\}, u\{1\}=u\{2\}=u\{3\}=uX=X$. Define a closure operator v on Y by $v\{\phi\}=\phi, v\{a\}=\{a\}, v\{b\}=\{b, c\}, v\{c\}=v\{a, c\}=\{a, c\}, v\{a, b\}=v\{b, c\}=vY=Y$. Let $f: (X, u)\rightarrow(Y, v)$ is defined by $f(1)=c, f(2)=a$ and $f(3)=b$. Here $\{2, 3\}$ is Čech closed set of X . But $f\{2,3\}=\{a,b\}$ is Čech MP-closed set of Y but not Čech D -closed set of Y . Therefore Čech MP-closed map need not be a Čech D -closed map.

References

1. Arockiarani I, Francina Shalini A. New functions in Čech $\pi g\beta$ -closure Spaces, International Journal of Recent Scientific Research,
2. Arockiarani I, Martina Jency J. On contra J -Čech continuous mappings, International Journal of Mathematics Archive. 2011; 2(9).
3. Boonpok C. Generalized closed sets in Čech closure spaces, Acta math Univ, Apulensis, 2010; 22:133-140,
4. Čech E. Topological Spaces, Topological papers of Eduard Čech, Academia Prague, 1968, 436-472.
5. Chvalina J. Stackbases in power sets of neighbourhood spaces Preserving the continuity of mappings, Arch Math.2, Scripta Fac. Sci. Nat. UJEP Brunensis. 1981; 17:81-86.
6. Chawalit Boonpok, On continuous maps in closure spaces, General Mathematics. 2009; 17:127-134
7. Dontchev J. On contra continuous functions and strongly S -closed spaces, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 1996; 19(2):303-31.
8. Francina shalini A, Arockiarani I. On Contra $\pi g\beta$ -continuous functions in Čech closure spaces, International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology. 2016; 5(3).
9. Levine N. Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend, Circ. Mat. Palermo. 1970; 19:89-96.
10. Muthu Priya T, Francina Shalini A. Čech MP-closed sets in closure spaces, International Advanced Research Journal in Science Engineering and Technology.
11. Skula L. Systems von stetige abbildungen. Caech. Math. J. 1967; 17(92):45-52.