



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
 ISSN Online: 2394-5869
 Impact Factor: 5.2
 IJAR 2017; 3(9): 385-386
 www.allresearchjournal.com
 Received: 25-07-2017
 Accepted: 26-08-2017

Faozia Mansoor
 Maulana Azad College of Arts,
 Science and Commerce, Dr.
 Rafiq Zakaria Campus –I,
 Aurangabad, Maharashtra,
 India

Maqdoom Farooqui
 Maulana Azad College of Arts,
 Science and Commerce, Dr.
 Rafiq Zakaria Campus –I,
 Aurangabad, Maharashtra,
 India

Correspondence
Faozia Mansoor
 Maulana Azad College of Arts,
 Science and Commerce, Dr.
 Rafiq Zakaria Campus –I,
 Aurangabad, Maharashtra,
 India

Determination of pK and Log K values of tramadol hydrochloride with Cu(II), Co(II) and Fe(II) metal ions

Faozia Mansoor and Maqdoom Farooqui

Abstract

\bar{n}_A and \bar{n} values, pK and log k of tramadol hydrochloride with Cu(II), Co (II) and Fe(II) metal ions at 0.2 M ionic strength in water were determined by potentiometrically. Irving–Rossotti titration technique was used for determination of stability constants. 1:1 complexes were formed in present investigation in between tramadol hydrochloride (TH) and Cu (II), Co (II) and Fe(II) metal ions.

Keywords: Tramadol hydrochloride (TH), stability constant, potentiometric measurements

1. Introduction

Tramadol hydrochloride is used in a form of an ingredient in multi-agent topical gels, creams and solutions for nerve pain, rectal foam, concentrated retention enema and a skin plaster (transdermal patch) quite similar to those used with lidocaine, it is weak μ -opioid receptor agonist that induces serotonin release and inhibits uptake of norepinephrine^[1, 2]. Drug has a wide range of application including treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, restless legs syndrome, motor neuron disease and fibromyalgia. It is classified as the central nervous system drug^[3-5] because of hallucinations, agitation, fever, nausea fainting, convulsions, skin rash, shallow breathing, weak pulse. Metabolism of drug directly depends on stability of the molecule. Stability of molecules can be easily determined from the values of stability constants, which can be easily determined with potentiometry. Formation of complex can be determined from values of stability constants, hence pH-metric investigation have various significances in life, medicinal and pharmaceutical, agricultural and industrial sciences. Nitrogen and oxygen containing molecules are used as drugs^[6-7]. Many researchers determined \bar{n}_A , \bar{n} , pK and log K of various ligands containing N, S, O heteroacyls and heterocycles and benzenoids in different solvents and their systems at different molar concentrations and temperatures^[8-15]. Hence, stability constant of complexes formed by interactions of tramadol hydrochloride were studied with Cu(II), Co (II) and Fe(II) metal ions at 0.2 M ionic strength potentiometrically in water.

2. Materials and Method

Nitrate salts of Cu(II), Co(II) and Fe(II) were taken. Tramadol hydrochloride denoted as TH. Stock solutions were prepared in water. In the present investigation Irving and Rossotti method was used. pH-Metric titrations were carried out in 100 ml Pyrex glass beaker by maintaining a constant temperature at 32°C. Nitrogen gas was bubbled during titration, pH meter readings were only taken after gas bubbling and magnetic stirring were stopped. In these potentiometric titrations it was observed that first reading decreases and then increases, increased in the reading indicated the end point. Complete titration required near about one hour. Titrations of (i) free acid (0.01 M), (ii) free acid (0.01 M) and ligand (20×10^{-4} M) and (iii) free acid (0.01 M), ligand (20×10^{-4}) and metal ion (4×10^{-4} M) were carried out against aqueous 0.1N NaOH solution. In all titrations readings were recorded after addition of 0.2 ml solution. Graph of volume of alkali added against pH were plotted.

3. Results and discussion

\bar{n}_A and \bar{n} values were determined by using following expressions.

$$\bar{n}_A = \gamma - \left\{ \frac{(V_2 - V_1)(N + E^0)}{(V^0 + V_1)I_L^0} \right\}$$

where, V^0 is the initial volume of the solution. E^0 and T_L^0 are initial concentrations of mineral acid and ligand respectively. V_1 and V_2 are volumes of alkali of normality N during acid and ligand titration at given pH. ' γ ' is replaceable proton from ligand. \bar{n}_A Values were determined at $32 (\pm 1) ^\circ\text{C}$ in $E^0=1 \times 10^{-2}$ M, $T_L^0 = 20 \times 10^{-4}$ M, $V^0 = 50$ ml, $N = 0.2$ N respective ratios of solutions.

Plots between volume of NaOH and pH of solution were used to determine proton-ligand stability constant. Horizontal difference (V_2-V_1) was measured accurately between titration curves of free acid and acid+ligand. It was used to calculate formation number \bar{n}_A at various pH values and fixed ionic strength $\mu = 0.2$ M using equation 2.

Data of \bar{n}_A obtained at various pH along with horizontal difference for some representative systems are represented in Table 1. Metal-ligand formation number (\bar{n}) was determined using equation. Where, notations have same meaning as given in earlier equation. Horizontal difference (V_3-V_2) between metal complex (A+M+L) and reagent (A+L) curve were used for determination of \bar{n} values. \bar{n}_A and \bar{n} values obtained during study are given in Table-1

$$\bar{n} = \frac{(V_3 - V_2) \times (N + E^0)}{(V^0 + V_2) f_M^0}$$

Table 1

| Sr. No. | \bar{n}_A Values | | | \bar{n} Values | | |
|---------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | TH-Cu(II) | TH-Co(II) | TH-Fe(II) | TH-Cu(II) | TH-Co(II) | TH-Fe(II) |
| 1 | 0.5823 | 0.79886 | 0.59848 | 0.9148 | 0.9162 | 0.8743 |
| 2 | 0.5414 | 0.59771 | 0.49665 | 1.1493 | 1.1787 | 1.1792 |
| 3 | 0.5053 | 0.49761 | 0.43700 | 1.3412 | 1.4512 | 1.3140 |
| 4 | 0.3732 | 0.49761 | 0.43700 | 1.6128 | 1.5363 | 1.3140 |
| 5 | 0.3746 | 0.43808 | 0.39771 | 1.6492 | 1.6264 | 1.8248 |
| 6 | 0.1893 | 0.39886 | 0.35854 | 1.7712 | 1.7758 | 2.0541 |

pK values of TH were calculated by half integral method and point wise calculation method is given in Table-2

Table 2

| System | Method | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| | Half Integral | Point wise | Diffrence |
| Cu(II)-L ₅ | 2.5198 | 2.0667 | ±0.4531 |
| Co(II)-L ₅ | 2.8197 | 2.648 | ±0.1717 |
| Fe(II)-L ₅ | 2.3198 | 2.4824 | ±0.1626 |

log K obtained during study was given in Table-3.

Table 3

| System | Log K ₁ | Log K ₂ | Δ Log K | Log K ₁ /Log K ₂ |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|--|
| TH+Cu(II) | 3.9972 | 2.5200 | 1.4772 | 1.58619 |
| TH +Co(II) | 3.9972 | 2.8199 | 1.1773 | 1.417497 |
| TH +Fe(II) | 3.8971 | 2.3200 | 1.5771 | 1.679784 |

4. Conclusion

The order of pK values of ligand give attributed toward removal of hydrochloride from ligand and having good activity to form more stable complex. pK value of TH is good for stable complexation. Observation of Table-3 showed that less difference between log K₁ and log K₂ values indicates complex formation between metal ion and ligand occurring simultaneously and 1:1 complexation occurs in between to above metal ions and TH. Values of log K₁ and log K₂ show stability of complexes. For TH values of log K₁ and log K₂ is higher with Fe(II) complex

than Cu(II) and Co(II) complexes. Fe(II) forms more stable complex with TH than Co(II) and Cu(II) metal ions.

5. References

- Reimann W, Schneider F, European J. of Pharmacology, 1998; 2:199-203.
- Gobbi M, Moia M, Pirona L. J. of Neurochemistry, 2002; 82(6):1435-43.
- Rojas-Corrales MO, Gibert-Rahola J, Micro JA. Life Sciences, 1998; 63(12):175-80.
- Hopwood SE, Owesson CA, Callado LF, McLaughlin DP, Stamford JA. J. of Psychopharmacology. 2001; 15(3):147-53.
- Horak F, Zieglmayer, Ursula P. Expert Review of Clinical Immunology, 2009; 5(6):659-69.
- Barnes DM, Jianguo Ji, Fickes MG. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:13097-13105.
- Irving H, Rossotti H. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 2904.
- Martell E, Cliffs NJ, Calvin M. Chemistry of metal chelate compounds, Prentice Hall. Inc. England. 1962.
- Banarjee AK, Rao TVR. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1968; 63:480.
- Naik AB, Narwade ML. Russian J. Coordination chem. 2009, 35(12):932-937.
- Mane GV, Shinde LP, Kolhatkar DG. Inter J of Chem. 2011; 2(3):181-187.
- Martell AE. Stability constants, special publication No.17&25. The chemical society London, 1964, 1997.
- Martell AE, Smith RM. Critical stability constants. New York, 1974.
- Sawalakhe PD, Narwade ML. J. Ind. Chem. Soc. 1996; 73:347.
- Shangguan GQ, Wang N, Wang HE, Martel E. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2001; 12(2):171.