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Abstract
Mobile devices are an important part of our everyday lives since they enable us to access a large variety of ubiquitous services of process. In recent years, the availability of these ubiquitous and mobile services has significantly increased due to the different form of connectivity provided by mobile devices, such as GSM, GPRS, Bluetooth and Wi-Fi. In the same trend, the phone number and typologies of exposure exploiting these services and communication channels have increased as well. Therefore, smartphones may now represent a perfect target for malware author. As the number of vulnerabilities and, hence, of attacks increase, there has been a corresponding rise of security system solution proposed by scientists. Due to the fact that this research subject is immature and still unexplored in profoundness, with this paper we heading to provide a structured and comprehensive overview of the research on security solutions for mobile devices.
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1. Introduction
Current Mobile device (henceforth, called smart phones) provide lots of the capabilities of traditional personal computers (PCs) and, in addition, offer a large selection of connectivity selection, such as IEEE 802.11, Bluetooth, GSM, GPRS, UMTS, and HSPA. This plethora of appealing features has led to a widespread diffusion of smart phones that, as a result, are now an ideal target for plan of attacker. In the outset, smart phones came packaged with standardized Operating System: less heterogeneity in Operating system allowed assailant to exploit just a I vulnerability to attack a large number of different kind of devices by causing major security outbreaks [1]. Recently, the number of Bone as for smart phones (Symbian Atomic number 76, Windows Mobile River, Android and iPhone Oculus sinister) has increased [2], each mobile OS has now gained a significant market share. Even if global sales of smartphones will qualifying 420 million devices in 2011 (according to a recent report by IMS inquiry [3]), the number of mobile malware is still small compared to that of PC malware [4]. Nonetheless, we can expect malware for smartphones to evolve in the same trend as malware for PCs: hence, in the next entrance Synonyms/Hypernyms (Ordered by Estimated Frequency) of noun year we will human face a growing number of malware. As an example, as more drug user download and install third-party applications for smartphones, the probability of installing malicious programs gain as well. Furthermore, since users increasingly exploit smartphones for sensitive 1 sense of transaction, such as online workshop and banking, there are likely to be more threats designed to generate profits for the assailant. As a proof that attackers are starting to focusing their efforts on mobile platforms, there has been a sharp rise in the number of reported new mobile OS vulnerability [5].

The paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces some background notions on Mobile applied science, both for wireless telecommunication and networking standards. Section III describes different types of Mobile malware, along with some prognostication on future tense scourge, and outlines the departure among security solutions for smart phones and traditional PCs. It analyzes the different methodological analysis to perform an attack in a mobile environment; then, it investigates how these methodologies can be exploited to orbit different goals. In Sec. IV we present security solutions, centering on those that exploit intrusion detection system and trusted platform technologies. Finally, Sec. V attracts some conclusions.
2. Mobile Technologies
In this section, we briefly recall some background notions on wireless and networking engineering that, even if not originally created for a mobile environment, have favored the increasing usage of smart phones.

A. Wireless Telecommunication Technology
The most important wireless technologies targeted at mobile communication are GSM, GPRS, EDGE and UMTS.

1) GSM: Global System for Mobile River communications (GSM) is the first and most popular criteria in EU for mobile telecommunication system and is part of the second generation (2G) wireless telephone technology. This standard enables the Creation of cellular networks where mobile phones can be called mobile station in the standard communicate with each other through understructure stations, networks and switching subsystems. Compared to its predecessor (TACS standard), telecommunication operators can pass new inspection and repair by exploiting these technologies: for example, data contagion, digital fx, email, call forwarding, teleconferencing service and Short Message Service of process (SMS).

2) GPRS and EDGE: These criteria stem as an evolution of GSM; General Mail boat Radio Service (GPRS), also referred as 2.5, was developed to improve operation of GSM network to enable users to achieve higher data rates and lower approach time compared with previous GSM standard. GPRS uses sole switching mechanism (as in IP protocol) to enable the rally of data between users. Moreover, Robert William Service such as Radio communication Application Protocol (WAP) and Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS) are also introduced. In this way, a variety of bundle-oriented multimedia applications and services can be offered to mobile users. Enhanced Data rates for GSM Evolution (EDGE) standard was developed in 2000 to improve the feature film offered by GPRS by supporting higher transmittance rate and higher reliability.

3) UMTS: The Universal Mobile River Telecommunication Organisation (UMTS) was introduced in European Economic Community in 2002. This standard represents the third-generation (3G) on cellular system. The transmission rate is higher than 2G and 2.5G by providing a transmission speed up to 2Mbps. Circuit switching connections are supported simultaneously with packet switching connections and users can exploit multiple services and different classes of services, such as conversational, streaming, interactive and background.

B. Networking Technologies
During the last few years, due to rest of installation and the increasing popularity of laptop estimator, Wireless Local Area Network (Wireless local area network) has become very popular. This engineering enables devices to be linked together through receiving set dispersion method and allows users to move in a local coverage sphere without losing their connection to the network. There are different banners that regulate communications in a WLAN. In the mobile surround, the most popular are Bluetooth and IEEE 802.11.

1) Bluetooth: Bluetooth is a standard that enables devices to interchange data over a small area through short wavelength radio transmissions. Bluetooth is a personal networking technology that enables the creation of Personal Area Networks with high storey of security measure. This standard, developed by Bluetooth Special Pastime Mathematical group (SIG) in 1999, is aimed at providing communication between devices having these features:
- lower consumptions;
- short range of communications (1-100 meters);
- small production costs.

There are three different socio-economic class of Bluetooth twist according to the power consumption and range of communicating. SIG defines several profiles to indicate different services (e.g. Generic Access Profile, GAP, or Headset Profile, HSP) and to describe the service’s implementation. II) Receiving set LAN IEEE 802.eleven: IEEE 802.11 is a family of standards for WLAN that includes several communications protocol for communicating at different frequencies (2.4, 3.6 and 5 GHz). These standards can be used in two functioning mood:

1) In the infrastructure mood, a device, referred as Access Point (AP), plays the role of the reader: an AP regulates the mesh entree and coordinates the device that are part of the network;
2) In the infrastructure-less mood (ad hoc mode), no referee exists and devices monitor the spectrum to amplification network access. The most popular communications protocol included in this standard are the 802.11b and 802.11g protocols. The divergences between these protocols are related to bandwidth, bit-rate and eccentric of infection (Complementary Code Keying, CCK, for 802.11b, Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiplexing, OFDM, for 802.11g).

3. Mobile Malware
This section provides a comprehensive overview of mobile malware and some predictions on future threat. Moreover, it describes the differences among security department solutions targeting smartphones and PCs. Malware is any kind of emecie ile, intrusive, or irritation software package or plan code (e.g. Trojan, rootkit, backdoor) designed to use a twist without the owner’s consent. Malware is often distributed as a spam within a malicious attachment or a link in an infected site. Malware can be grouped in the following main family, according to its feature film (e.g., the vector that is used to carry the payload):

- Computer virus;
- Dirt ball;
- Trojan;
- Rootkits;
- Botnet.

A virus is a part of code that can replicate itself. Different replica of a virus can infect other syllabus s, the boot sector, or files by inserting or attaching itself to them. A dirt ball is a program that makes copies of itself, typically from one twist to another one, using different transport mechanisms through an existing network without any user interposition. Usually, a worm does not attach to existing political program of the infected host but it may legal injury and
compromises the security of the device or consumes network bandwidth. Malware can also come packaged as a Trojan, software that appears to provide some functionality but, instead, contains a malicious program. Rootkits achieve their malicious end by infecting the OS: usually, they fell malicious user-space processes and files or install Trojan, disable firewall and anti-virus. Rootkits can operate stealthily since they directly apply changes to the OS and, hence, can retain longer ascendancy over the infected gimmick. Finally, a botnet is a set of synonyms/Hypernyms (Ordered by Estimated Frequency) of noun device that are infected by a virus that gives an attacker the ability to remotely control them. Botnets represent a serious security measures threat on the Internet and most of them are developed for organized crime doing attacks to addition money. Example of such attacks are sending junk e-mail, Denial-of-Service (DoS) or assembling information that can be exploited for illegal purposes.

4. Security Solutions for Mobile Devices
   In this section we resume existing mechanisms that are developed to prevent different type of menace for smart phones. We present, first of all, trespass catching systems for smart phones, then trusted Mobile -based result. All the answer are presented in chronological order. Tabular array V includes some conventional approaches typically implemented by off-the-shelf smart phone applications to provide basic security department; instead, mesa VII lists, in chronological order, the research security solvent (described in the following sections) that provides a prototype. These resolution are classified according to their detection principle, architecture (distributed or local), reaction (active agent or passive), collected data (OS event, keystrokes), and OS.

5. Conclusions
   With the rapid proliferation of smart phones equipped with a lot of lineament, as multiple connections and sensing element, the number of Mobile malware is increasing. Differently from PC surround, root aimed at preventing the infection and the dissemination of malicious code in smart phone have to consider multiple factors: the express resources available, including the power and the processing unit, the large number of features that can be exploited by the attackers, such as different kinds of connections, services, sensors and the secrecy of the user. In this work, first of all we have discussed the flow scenario of Mobile malware, by summarizing its development, along with some notable exemplar; we have also outlined likely future tense threats and reported some anticipation for the near future. Secondly, we have categorized known attack against smart phones, especially at the application program layer, centering on how the attack is carried out and what is the goal of the attacker. Finally, we have reviewed current security department result for smart phones focusing on existing mechanisms based upon intrusion detection and trusted mobile platforms.
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