



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
 ISSN Online: 2394-5869
 Impact Factor: 5.2
 IJAR 2015; 1(11): 806-812
 www.allresearchjournal.com
 Received: 10-08-2015
 Accepted: 13-09-2015

T Thangam

Department of Mathematics,
 Govindammal Aditanar
 College for Women,
 Tiruchendur-628215,
 Tamilnadu.

K Bageerathi

Department of Mathematics,
 Aditanar College of Arts and
 Science, Tiruchendur-628216,
 Tamilnadu.

Generalization of Fuzzy Semi Boundary in Fuzzy Bitopological Spaces

T Thangam, K Bageerathi

Abstract

In this paper we generalize the concept of fuzzy τ_i - semi closure, τ_i - semi interior and (τ_i, τ_j) - semi boundary namely \mathcal{C} - τ_i - semi closure, \mathcal{C} - τ_i - semi interior and \mathcal{C} - (τ_i, τ_j) - semi boundary subsets in a fuzzy bitopological space where $\mathcal{C} : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a complement function. Several examples are given to illustrate the concepts introduced in this paper.

Keywords: Fuzzy complement function \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{C} - τ_i - semi closure, \mathcal{C} - τ_i - semi interior, fuzzy \mathcal{C} - (τ_i, τ_j) - semi boundary subsets and fuzzy bitopological spaces.

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations were first introduced by L. A. Zadeh [13] in the year 1965. The theory of fuzzy topological space was introduced and developed by C. L. Chang [6]. A. Kandil [10] introduced and studied the notion of fuzzy bitopological spaces as a natural generalization of fuzzy topological space. The concept of complement function $\mathcal{C} : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ was introduced by K. Bageerathi and P. Thangavelu in [4]. The concept of fuzzy boundary was introduced and studied by Pu and Liu in [11]. The concept of fuzzy boundary have been studied in Athar and Ahmad [3, 1, 2, 7, 8, 13]. The concept of fuzzy \mathcal{C} - (τ_i, τ_j) - boundary subset introduced and studied in [12]. In this paper the concept of fuzzy \mathcal{C} - τ_i -semi closure, fuzzy \mathcal{C} - τ_i -semi interior and fuzzy \mathcal{C} - (τ_i, τ_j) -semi boundary subset introduced and several examples are given to illustrate the concepts introduced in this paper.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we list some definitions and results that are needed. Any function $\mathcal{C} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined from the interval $[0, 1]$ to itself is called a complement function. Throughout the paper \mathcal{C} denotes an arbitrary complement function and (X, τ_i, τ_j) is a fuzzy bitopological space in the sense of A. Kandil [10]. For the definitions and results that are not mentioned in this paper, the reader can refer K. Bageerathi [5]. Throughout this paper, for fuzzy set A of a fuzzy bitopological space (X, τ_i, τ_j) , τ_i - $\text{int}A$ and τ_j - $\text{cl}_{\mathcal{C}}A$ means, respectively, the interior and closure of A with respect to fuzzy topologies τ_i and τ_j . Throughout this paper, \mathcal{C} - τ_i - semi open sets and \mathcal{C} - τ_j - semi closed means, respectively, the semi open sets and semi closed set with respect to fuzzy topologies τ_i and τ_j sets of X .

Definition 2.1[4]

If A is a fuzzy subset of X then the complement $\mathcal{C}A$ of a fuzzy set A is a fuzzy subset with membership function defined by $\mu_{\mathcal{C}A}(x) = \mathcal{C}(\mu_A(x))$ for all $x \in X$.

A subset A of a fuzzy topological space is fuzzy closed if its standard complement λ' , where $\lambda'(x) = 1 - \lambda(x)$ is fuzzy open. Several fuzzy topologists used this type of complement while extending the concepts in general topological spaces to fuzzy topological spaces. But there are other complements available in the fuzzy literature.

The properties of fuzzy complement function \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C}\lambda$ are given in George Klir and Bageerathi *et al* [9, 4]. The following lemma will be useful in sequel. Some of the complement functions are given below.

Correspondence**T Thangam**

Department of Mathematics,
 Govindammal Aditanar
 College for Women,
 Tiruchendur-628215,
 Tamilnadu.

Examples 2.2 ^[9]

- (i) The standard complement function: $\mathfrak{C}_1(x) = 1 - x$.
- (ii) The Threshold type complement function for any $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\mathfrak{C}_t(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq t \\ 0 & \text{for } t < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

- (iii) Sugeno class complement function for any $\lambda \in (1, \infty)$:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{S\lambda}(x) = \frac{1-x}{1+\lambda x}, \text{ for } x \in [0, 1].$$

- (iv) Yagor class of complement function for $\omega \in (0, \infty)$:

$$\mathfrak{C}_{Y\omega}(x) = (1 - x^\omega)^{1/\omega}, \text{ for } x \in [0, 1].$$

The next lemma can be easily established.

Lemma 2.3 ^[9]

The complement functions $\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_t, \mathfrak{C}_{S\lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{Y\omega}$ satisfy the following conditions.

- (i) Boundary condition: $\mathfrak{C}(0) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{C}(1) = 0$;
- (ii) Monotonicity: for all $x, y \in [0, 1], x \leq y \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(x) \geq \mathfrak{C}(y)$;
- (iii) \mathfrak{C} is continuous and
- (iv) Involution: $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C}(x)) = x$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.4 ^[1]

For a family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of fuzzy sub sets of X , the union, $A = \cup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and the intersection, $B = \cap\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$, are defined with membership functions respectively $\mu_A(x) = \sup\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and $\mu_B(x) = \inf\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$, $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.5 ^[4]

Let $\mathfrak{C}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a complement function that satisfies the involutive and monotonicity properties. Then for any family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of fuzzy subsets of X we have

- (i) $\mathfrak{C}(\sup\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \inf\{\mathfrak{C}(\mu_{A_\alpha}(x)) : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \inf\{\mu_{\mathfrak{C}A_\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and
- (ii) $\mathfrak{C}(\inf\{\mu_{A_\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \sup\{\mathfrak{C}(\mu_{A_\alpha}(x)) : \alpha \in \Delta\} = \sup\{\mu_{\mathfrak{C}A_\alpha}(x) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$.

Lemma 2.6 ^[4]

Let $\mathfrak{C}: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a complement function that satisfies involutive and monotonicity properties. Then for any family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of fuzzy subsets of X . we have

- (i) $\mathfrak{C}(\cup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \cap\{\mathfrak{C}A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and (ii) $\mathfrak{C}(\cap\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}) = \cup\{\mathfrak{C}A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$.

3. Fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi interior and Fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closure

In this section we define the notion of fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi interior and fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closure operators and discussed some of their properties.

Definition 3.1

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and \mathfrak{C} be a complement function. Then for a fuzzy subset λ of X , the fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi interior of λ (briefly $\tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda$), is the union of all fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi open sets of X contained in λ .

That is $\tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \cup \{ \mu : \mu \leq \lambda, \mu \text{ is fuzzy } \mathfrak{C} - \tau_i - \text{ semi open} \}$.

Definition 3.2

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and \mathfrak{C} be a complement function. Then for a fuzzy subset λ of X , the fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closure of λ (briefly $\tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda$), is the intersection of all fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed sets of X containing λ .

That is $\tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \cap \{ \mu : \mu \geq \lambda, \mu \text{ is fuzzy } \mathfrak{C} - \tau_i - \text{ semi closed} \}$.

The concepts of fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closure and fuzzy τ_i - semi closure are identical if \mathfrak{C} is the standard complement function.

Theorem 3.3

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and \mathfrak{C} be any complement function. Then for a fuzzy subset λ and μ of a fuzzy bitopological space X , we have (i) $\tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \leq \lambda$. (ii) λ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi open $\Leftrightarrow \tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \lambda$. (iii) $\tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$. (iv) If $\lambda \leq \mu$ then $\tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \leq \tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)$.

Theorem 3.4

Let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then for a fuzzy subset λ of a fuzzy bitopological space X , we have (i) $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda)$. (ii) $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \tau_i - SInt_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda)$.

Theorem 3.5

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then for a fuzzy subset λ and μ of a fuzzy bitopological space X , we have (i) $\lambda \leq \tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$. (ii) λ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed $\Leftrightarrow \tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \lambda$. (iii) $\tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$. (iv) If $\lambda \leq \mu$ then $\tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \leq \tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)$.

4. Fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - semi boundary.

In this section, the concept of fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - semi boundary in fuzzy bitopological space is introduced and its properties are discussed.

Definition 4.1

Let λ be a fuzzy subset of a fuzzy bitopological space (X, τ_i, τ_j) and let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function. Then the fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - (\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - semi boundary of λ is defined as $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - SBd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda))$. Since arbitrary intersection of fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed sets is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - SBd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda$ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed.

Example 4.2

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \{c.3\}, \{a.8, b.7\}, \{a.8, b.7, c.3\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, 1, \{c.9\}, \{a.8, b.9\}, \{a.8, b.9, c.9\}, \{a.9, b.9, c.9\}\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{1}{1+3x^2}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be a complement function. Then the family of all fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - closed sets are $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{1, \{a.25, b.25, c.25\}, \{a_1, b_1, c.526\}, \{a.294, b.3225, c_1\}, \{a.294, b.3225, c.526\}\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{1, \{a.25, b.25, c.25\}, \{a_1, b_1, c.27\}, \{a.29, b.27, c_1\}, \{a.29, b.27, c.27\}, \{a.27, b.27, c.27\}\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a.2, b.2, c.8\}$. Then $\tau_2 - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.24, b.25, c.9\}$, $\tau_1 - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a.25, b.25, c.9\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C}\lambda = \{a.625, b.625, c.27\}$. Then $\tau_2 - Scl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda) =$

$\{a.7, b.7, c.27\}$ and $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a.8, b.8, c.4\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $(\tau_1, \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.25, b.25, c.4\}$.

Proposition 4.3

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the involutive property. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of (X, τ_i, τ_j) , $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)$.

Proof.

By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the involutive property, $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \lambda$, that implies $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)$. Therefore $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)$.

The following example shows that the involutive property cannot be dropped from the hypothesis of Proposition 4.3.

Example 4.4

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a.1, b.2, c.4\}, \{a.2, b.1, c.7\}, \{a.1, b.1, c.4\}, \{a.2, b.2, c.7\}, \{a.7, b.7, c.7\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a.1, b.1, c.2\}, \{a.3, b.3, c.3\}, \{a.5, b.5, c.5\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \sqrt{x}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$ be a complement function. We see that this complement function does not satisfy the involutive and monotonic properties. The family of all fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - closed sets are $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{0, \{a.3, b.4, c.6\}, \{a.4, b.3, c.8\}, \{a.3, b.3, c.6\}, \{a.4, b.4, c.8\}, \{a.8, b.8, c.8\}, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{0, \{a.3, b.3, c.4\}, \{a.5, b.5, c.5\}, \{a.7, b.7, c.7\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a.1, b.0, c.3\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.2, b.0, c.4\}$, $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a.2, b.2, c.5\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a.3, b.0, c.5\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a.3, b.4, c.5\}$, $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a.3, b.4, c.6\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.2, b.2, c.5\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a.55, b.0, c.71\}$, $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a.7, b.7, c.71\}$ and $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a.7, b.7, c.8\}$. Then $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a.3, b.4, c.6\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \neq (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)$.

Proposition 4.5

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and Involutive properties. If λ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed, $i = 1, 2$ then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \leq \lambda$.

Proof.

Let λ be a fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed, $i = 1, 2$. By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.5, we have $\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \lambda$. Hence $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \leq \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \lambda$. The following example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive conditions, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.5 is false.

Example 4.6

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a.6, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.2\}, \{a.6, b.2\}, \{a.75, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.61\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a.6, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.3\}, \{a.6, b.3\}, \{a.75, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.61\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{2x}{1+x}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be a complement function. We see that this complement function does not satisfy the involutive and monotonic properties.

Then the family of all fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - closed sets are $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{0, \{a.8, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.333\}, \{a.8, b.333\}, \{a.857, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.76\}, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{0, \{a.8, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.461\}, \{a.8, b.461\}, \{a.857, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.76\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a.7, b.7\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.7, b.7\}$ and $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a.7, b.71\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a.8, b.8\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a.8, b.8\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.7, b.71\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \not\leq \lambda$. Also λ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed, $i=1,2$.

Proposition 4.7

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. If λ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi open, $i = 1, 2$ then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda \leq \mathfrak{C} \lambda$.

Proof.

Let λ be a fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi open, $i = 1, 2$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the involutive property, this implies that $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)$ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi open. Therefore $\mathfrak{C} \lambda$ is a fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Proposition 4.5, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) \leq \mathfrak{C} \lambda$. Also by using Proposition 4.3, we get $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda \leq \mathfrak{C} \lambda$. Hence the proof.

Example 4.8

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a.6, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.2\}, \{a.6, b.2\}, \{a.75, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.61\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a.6, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.3\}, \{a.6, b.3\}, \{a.75, b.6\}, \{a.75, b.61\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{2x}{1+x^2}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, be a complement function. We see that this complement function does not satisfy the involutive and monotonic properties. Then the family of all fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - closed sets are $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{0, \{a.8, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.333\}, \{a.8, b.333\}, \{a.857, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.76\}, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{0, \{a.8, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.461\}, \{a.8, b.461\}, \{a.857, b.8\}, \{a.857, b.76\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a.6, b.6\}$. Then λ is $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi open. It can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.7, b.6\}$, $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a.7, b.76\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a.75, b.75\}$. Now $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a.8, b.8\}$, $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a.8, b.8\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a.7, b.76\} \not\leq \{a.75, b.75\} = \mathfrak{C} \lambda$.

Proposition 4.9

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. If $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda \leq \mu$.

Proof.

Let $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ be a fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ - semi closed. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.5, we have $\lambda \leq \mu$ implies $\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \leq \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))$. By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. Since $\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \leq \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))$ we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \leq \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)$. Again by using Theorem 3.5, we have $\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu) = \mu$. This implies that $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \leq \mu$. The following example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.9 is false.

Example 4.10

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_4\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_4\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_5\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_5\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{1-x}{(1+x)^2}$ be a complement function that does not satisfy the involutive condition. Then the family of all fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -closed sets are $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{1, \{a_1, b_1, c_{306}\}, \{a_{104}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{104}, b_1, c_{306}\}, 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{\{a_1, b_1, c_2\}, \{a_{16}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{16}, b_1, c_2\}, 0\}$. Let $\mu = \{a_{1.1}, b_8, c_8\}$ be \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -semi closed, $i=1,2$. Let $\lambda = \{a_{1.1}, b_7, c_7\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{1.1}, b_9, c_7\}$ and $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_{1.1}, b_9, c_9\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a_7, b_1, c_1\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a_7, b_1, c_1\}$, $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a_8, b_2, c_2\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{1.1}, b_9, c_2\} \not\subseteq \{a_1, b_8, c_8\}$.

Proposition 4.11

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space and let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. If $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ is fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -semi open then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda \leq \mathfrak{C} \mu$.

Proof.

Let $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ be a fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -semi open. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Proposition 3.5, we have $\mathfrak{C} \mu \leq \mathfrak{C} \lambda$ that implies $\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu)) \leq \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. Taking complement on both sides, we get $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)))$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Lemma 2.6, we have $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) = \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \vee \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)))$. Since $\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu)) \leq \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$, $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \geq \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \vee \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu)))$. By using Lemma 3.4, $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \geq (\tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) \vee \tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\mu)))$. Since μ is fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -semi open, $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \geq \mu$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic properties, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda \leq \mathfrak{C} \mu$. The following example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.11 is false.

Example 4.12

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_4\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_4\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_5\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_5\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{1-x}{(1+x)^2}$ be a complement function that does not satisfy the involutive property. Then the family of all fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -closed sets are $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{1, \{a_1, b_1, c_{306}\}, \{a_{104}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{104}, b_1, c_{306}\}, 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{\{a_1, b_1, c_2\}, \{a_{16}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{16}, b_1, c_2\}, 0\}$. Let $\mu = \{a_7, b_1, c_6\}$. Then μ is fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -semi open. Let $\lambda = \{a_7, b_1, c_1\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_8, b_9, c_2\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a_{1.1}, b_7, c_7\}$. Then $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a_{1.1}, b_9, c_9\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{1.1}, b_9, c_2\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{C} \mu = \{a_{1.1}, b_7, c_2\}$.

Proposition 4.13

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , we

have $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) = (\tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\lambda))) \vee \tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$.

Proof.

By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. Taking complement on both sides, we get $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) = \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)))$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.5, we have $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) = \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda)) \vee \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)))$. Also by using Theorem 3.4, $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) = (\tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))) \vee \tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\lambda))$.

The following example shows that if the monotonic and involutive conditions of the complement function \mathfrak{C} can be dropped, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.13 is false.

Example 4.14

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a_1, b_2, c_3\}, \{a_5, b_7, c_8\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a_2, b_3, c_1\}, \{a_1, b_5, c_6\}, \{a_1, b_3, c_1\}, \{a_2, b_5, c_6\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \sqrt{x}$ be a complement function that does not satisfy the monotonic and involutive properties. Then the family of all fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -closed sets are given by $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{0, \{a_3, b_4, c_5\}, \{a_7, b_8, c_9\}, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{0, \{a_4, b_5, c_3\}, \{a_3, b_7, c_8\}, \{a_3, b_5, c_3\}, \{a_4, b_7, c_8\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_2, b_3, c_2\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_2, b_3, c_2\}$, $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_3, b_3, c_3\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a_4, b_{5.4}, c_4\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a_4, b_6, c_7\}$ and $\tau_1 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a_4, b_7, c_7\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_3, b_3, c_3\}$. $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_5, b_5, c_5\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_2, b_3, c_{15}\}$, $\tau_1 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_2, b_3, c_3\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a_4, b_{5.4}, c_4\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a_2, b_3, c_2\}$, $\tau_1 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a_2, b_3, c_2\}$. This implies that $\tau_1 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \vee \tau_1 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a_2, b_3, c_3\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C}((\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_5, b_5, c_5\} \neq \{a_2, b_3, c_3\} = \tau_1 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \vee \tau_1 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S Int_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$.

Proposition 4.15

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = (\tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\lambda)))$.

Proof.

By using Definition 4.1, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.4, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S Bd_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda = \tau_i - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S cl_{\mathfrak{C}} \lambda) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - SInt(\tau_j - SInt(\lambda)))$.

The next example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.15 is false.

Example 4.16

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_4\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_4\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_5\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_5\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{1-x}{(1+x)^2}$ be a complement function that does not satisfy the involutive properties. Then the family of all fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -closed sets are given by $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{1, \{a_1, b_1, c_{306}\},$

$\{a_{1.04}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{1.04}, b_1, c_{3.06}\}, 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{1, \{a_1, b_1, c_{2.2}\}, \{a_{1.6}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{1.6}, b_1, c_{2.2}\}, 0\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_1, b_1, c_{.5}\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{1.1}, b_{.2}, c_{.5}\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_{1.1}, b_{.3}, c_{.6}\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C}\lambda = \{a_{.7}, b_{.7}, c_{.22}\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda) = \{a_{.7}, b_{.8}, c_{.7}\}$ and $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda)) = \{a_{.8}, b_{.9}, c_{.8}\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{.1}, b_{.3}, c_{.6}\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_1, b_0, c_{.5}\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_0, b_0, c_{.5}\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \{a_1, b_1, c_{.22}\}$. This implies that $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \{a_{.1}, b_{.3}, c_{.22}\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{.1}, b_{.3}, c_{.6}\} \neq \{a_{.1}, b_{.3}, c_{.22}\} = \tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))$.

Proposition 4.17

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$.

Proof.

Since the complement function \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Proposition 4.15, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))))$. Since $\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))$ is fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ semi open, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))$. Since $\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \leq \lambda$, by using Theorem 3.5, we have $\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))) \leq \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))$. Thus $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \leq \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))$. Since \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.4, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \leq \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda))$. By using Definition 4.1, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$.

The next example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.17 is false.

Example 4.18

In Example 3.16, $\lambda = \{a_1, b_1, c_{.5}\}$ implies that $\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_0, b_0, c_{.5}\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}\{\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))\} = \{a_{.1}, b_{.1}, c_{.8}\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}\{\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))\}) = \{a_{.1}, b_{.8}, c_{.9}\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \{a_1, b_1, c_{.22}\}$ then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}\{\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))\} = \{a_1, b_1, c_{.23}\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}\{\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))\}) = \{a_1, b_1, c_{.5}\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \{a_{.1}, b_{.8}, c_{.5}\} \not\leq (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{.1}, b_{.3}, c_{.6}\}$.

Proposition 4.19

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$.

Proof.

Since the complement function \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Proposition 4.15, we have $(\tau_i,$

$\tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))))$. By using Theorem[3.4], we have $\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))$ that implies $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))))$. Since $\lambda \leq \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))$, that implies $\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \leq \tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))))$. Therefore $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \leq \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \mathfrak{C}(\tau_i - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))$. By using Theorem 3.4 and Definition 4.1, we get $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$.

The following example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.19 is false.

Example 4.20

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, 1, \{c_{.3}\}, \{a_{.8}, b_{.7}\}, \{a_{.8}, b_{.7}, c_{.3}\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, 1, \{c_{.9}\}, \{a_{.8}, b_{.9}\}, \{a_{.8}, b_{.9}, c_{.9}\}, \{a_{.9}, b_{.9}, c_{.9}\}\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{1}{1+3x}$ be a complement function that does not satisfy the involutive property. Then the family of all fuzzy $\mathfrak{C} - \tau_i$ closed sets are given by $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{1, \{a_{.25}, b_{.25}, c_{.25}\}, \{a_1, b_1, c_{.526}\}, \{a_{.294}, b_{.3225}, c_1\}, \{a_{.294}, b_{.3225}, c_{.526}\}\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{1, \{a_{.25}, b_{.25}, c_{.25}\}, \{a_1, b_1, c_{.27}\}, \{a_{.29}, b_{.27}, c_1\}, \{a_{.29}, b_{.27}, c_{.27}\}, \{a_{.27}, b_{.27}, c_{.27}\}\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_{.2}, b_{.2}, c_{.8}\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.25}, c_{.9}\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C}\lambda = \{a_{.625}, b_{.625}, c_{.27}\}$. Then $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda)) = \{a_{.8}, b_{.8}, c_{.4}\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.25}, c_{.4}\}$. Let $\mu = \tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.25}, c_{.9}\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.26}, c_{.9}\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.26}, c_{.91}\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C}\mu = \mathfrak{C}(\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \{a_{.6}, b_{.6}, c_{.27}\}$. Then it can be calculated that $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\mu) = \{a_{.7}, b_{.6}, c_{.27}\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\mu)) = \{a_{.7}, b_{.7}, c_{.4}\}$. This implies that $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))) \wedge \tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}[\tau_1 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{Int}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))])) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.26}, c_{.91}\} \wedge \{a_{.7}, b_{.7}, c_{.4}\} = \{a_{.25}, b_{.26}, c_{.4}\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.26}, c_{.4}\}$. But $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{.25}, b_{.25}, c_{.4}\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \not\leq (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 4.21

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Let \mathfrak{C} be a complement function that satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \vee (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)$.

Proof.

By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\lambda \vee \mu)))$. Since the complement function \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.5, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) = (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \vee \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\lambda \vee \mu)))$. By using Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 3.5, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \vee \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))) \wedge (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda))) \wedge (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\mu)))$. That implies $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))) \wedge (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\lambda))) \vee (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))) \wedge (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}\mu)))$. By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \vee ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))$.

The following example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, then the conclusion of Proposition 4.21 is false.

Example 4.22

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_4\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_7, b_0, c_4\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a_0, b_0, c_5\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_0\}, \{a_6, b_0, c_5\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \frac{1-x}{(1+x)^2}$ be a complement function that does not satisfy the involutive property. Then the family of all fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -closed sets are given by $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{1, \{a_1, b_1, c_{306}\}, \{a_{104}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{104}, b_1, c_{306}\}, 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{1, \{a_1, b_1, c_2\}, \{a_{16}, b_1, c_1\}, \{a_{16}, b_1, c_2\}, 0\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_{11}, b_0, c_0\}$ and $\mu = \{a_0, b_8, c_8\}$. Then $\lambda \vee \mu = \{a_{11}, b_8, c_8\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda \vee \mu) = \{a_{11}, b_9, c_8\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu)) = \{a_{11}, b_9, c_9\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C}(\lambda \vee \mu) = \{a_7, b_1, c_1\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\lambda \vee \mu)) = \{a_7, b_1, c_1\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\lambda \vee \mu))) = \{a_8, b_9, c_2\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) = \{a_{11}, b_9, c_9\} \wedge \{a_8, b_9, c_2\} = \{a_{11}, b_9, c_2\}$. Also $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{11}, b_1, c_0\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_{11}, b_1, c_2\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a_7, b_1, c_1\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a_8, b_8, c_8\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a_9, b_9, c_9\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_{11}, b_1, c_2\}$. Also $\mu = \{a_0, b_8, c_8\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu) = \{a_0, b_9, c_9\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)) = \{a_{11}, b_9, c_9\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C} \mu = \{a_1, b_{03}, c_{03}\}$. Then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu) = \{a_1, b_1, c_1\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu)) = \{a_1, b_2, c_1\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu) = \{a_{11}, b_2, c_1\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \vee (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu) = \{a_{11}, b_2, c_2\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \vee \mu) \not\subseteq (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) \vee (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)$.

Theorem 4.23

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Suppose the complement function \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then for any fuzzy subset λ and μ of X , we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))) \vee ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\mu) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)))$.

Proof.

By using Definition 4.1, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \wedge \mu)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}(\lambda \wedge \mu)))$. Since the complement function \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 2.6, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu))) \wedge (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) \vee \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu))) = [\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))] \wedge (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)) \vee [\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu))] \wedge (\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda))$. By using Definition 4.1, $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda) \wedge ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\mu)) \vee [((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)) \wedge ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\mu))])$.

Theorem 4.24

Let (X, τ_i, τ_j) be a fuzzy bitopological space. Suppose the complement function \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties. Then for any fuzzy subset λ of X , we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)) \leq ((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda))$.

Proof.

By using Definition 4.1, we have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda))$. We have $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)) = \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) \wedge \tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda))))$. Since the complement function \mathfrak{C} satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, by using Theorem 3.5, we have $\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \lambda$ where λ is fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -semi closed. Here $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)$ is fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -semi closed. We get $\tau_i - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_j - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda))) = (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)$. This implies that $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}((\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)) \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - S \text{Bd } \mathfrak{C}(\lambda)$. Hence the proof.

The following example shows that if the complement function \mathfrak{C} does not satisfies the monotonic and involutive properties, then the conclusion of Theorem 4.24 is false.

Example 4.25

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{0, \{a_1, b_2, c_4\}, \{a_2, b_1, c_7\}, \{a_1, b_1, c_4\}, \{a_2, b_2, c_7\}, \{a_7, b_7, c_7\}, 1\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0, \{a_1, b_1, c_2\}, \{a_3, b_3, c_3\}, \{a_5, b_5, c_5\}, 1\}$. Let $\mathfrak{C}(x) = \sqrt{x}$ $0 \leq x \leq 1$ be a complement function that does not satisfy the monotonic and involutive properties. Then the family of all fuzzy \mathfrak{C} - τ_i -closed sets are given by $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_1) = \{0, \{a_3, b_4, c_6\}, \{a_4, b_3, c_8\}, \{a_3, b_3, c_6\}, \{a_4, b_4, c_8\}, \{a_8, b_8, c_8\}, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(\tau_2) = \{0, \{a_3, b_3, c_4\}, \{a_5, b_5, c_5\}, \{a_7, b_7, c_7\}, 1\}$. Let $\lambda = \{a_1, b_0, c_3\}$. Then it can be evaluated that $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_2, b_0, c_4\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_2, b_2, c_5\}$. Also $\mathfrak{C} \lambda = \{a_3, b_0, c_5\}$. Then it can be calculated that $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda) = \{a_3, b_4, c_5\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \lambda)) = \{a_3, b_4, c_6\}$. Therefore $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda) = \{a_2, b_2, c_5\}$. Let $\mu = (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$. Then $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu) = \{a_3, b_3, c_5\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mu)) = \{a_3, b_4, c_5\}$. Now $\mathfrak{C} \mu = \{a_4, b_4, c_7\}$. Then it can be calculated that $\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu) = \{a_4, b_5, c_7\}$, $\tau_1 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tau_2 - S \text{cl}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\mathfrak{C} \mu)) = \{a_5, b_6, c_7\}$. This implies that $(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}((\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)) = \{a_3, b_4, c_5\} \not\subseteq \{a_2, b_2, c_5\} = (\tau_1 - \tau_2) - S \text{Bd}_{\mathfrak{C}}(\lambda)$.

5. References

1. Alegre C, Ferrer J, Gregori V. On pairwise Baire bitopological spaces, publ. Math. s Debrecen 1999; 55:3-15.
2. Alegre C, Valencia, Ferrer J, Burjassot, Gregori V. On a class of real normed lattices, czech. Maths J. 1998; 48(123):785-792.
3. Athar M, Ahmad B. Fuzzy boundary and Fuzzy semi boundary, Advances in Fuzzy systems Article ID 586893, 2008, 9.
4. Bageerathi K, Sutha G, Thangavelu P. A generalization of fuzzy closed sets. International Journal of fuzzy systems and rough systems. 2011; 4(1):1-5.
5. Bageerathi K, Thangavelu P. A generalization of fuzzy Boundary, International Journal of Mathematical Archive. 2010; 1(3):73-80.
6. Chang CL, Fuzzy topological spaces, J Math Anal Apspl. 1968; 24:182-190.
7. Dvalishvili BP. On various classes of bitopological spaces, Georgian Math J 2012; 19:449-472.
8. Dvalishvili BP. Bitopology and the Baire category theorem, Abstr. Tartu conf. Problems of pure Appl. Math, 1990, 90-93.
9. George Klir J, Bo Yuan. Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic Theory and Applications, Prentice – Hall, Inc, 2005.
10. Kandil A. Biproximaties and fuzzy bitopological spaces, Simon steven, 1989, 63.

11. Pu PM, Liu YM. Fuzzy topology-I: neighborhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith convergence, J Math Anal Appl 1980; 76(2):571-599.
12. Thangam T, Bageerathi K. Generalization of Fuzzy Bi-closed sets and Pair wise Baire Spaces Proceedings of the national Seminar On Advances in Fuzzy Algebra, Fuzzy Topology and Fuzzy Graphs(AFATG2015) A.P.C. Mahalaxmi College, Thoothukudi, (To appear), Jan 22-23, 2015.
13. Thangam T, Bageerathi K. Generalization of Fuzzy Boundary in Bitopological spaces (To appear).
14. Zadeh LA. Fuzzy sets, Information and control 1965; 8:338-353.