



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
 ISSN Online: 2394-5869
 Impact Factor: 5.2
 IJAR 2015; 1(4): 371-378
 www.allresearchjournal.com
 Received: 28-02-2015
 Accepted: 30-03-2015

Manoj Kumar
 Department of Mathematics
 and Statistics, Gurukula
 Kangri Vishwavidyalaya,
 Haridwar, Uttarakhand, India

Estimation of error for beta operators

Manoj Kumar

Abstract

Gupta studied error estimation formula for summation integral type operators in 2006. In the recent years, several researchers proposed and studied beta operators in approximation theory. In the present paper, we establish an error estimation formula for modified beta operators in linear simultaneous approximation. To prove our result, we have used the technique of linear approximating method, namely, Steklov mean.

Keywords: Beta operators, Simultaneous approximation, Linear combinations, linear positive operators, Steklov mean Integral modulus of smoothness

1. Introduction

Gupta^[7] studied error estimation formula for summation integral type operators. In the present paper, we study an error estimation formula in simultaneous approximation for the linear combinations of the operators introduced by Gupta *et al.*^[8]. The modified beta operators introduced by Gupta *et al.*^[8] are defined as

$$B_n(f, x) = \int_0^{\infty} W_n(x, t) f(t) dt, \quad x \in [0, \infty) \quad (1.1)$$

Where

$$W_n(x, t) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) b_{n,v}(t), \quad b_{n,v}(t) = \frac{1}{\beta(v, n+1)} t^{v-1} (1+t)^{-n-v-1}$$

And $\beta(v, n+1) = (v-1)!/(n+v)!$ the Beta function.

It is easily checked that the operators defined by (1.1) are linear positive operators and it is obvious that $B_n(1, x) = 1$. Also it is observed that the order of approximation by operators (1.1) is, at best $O(n^{-1})$, howsoever smooth the function may be. Thus, to improve the order of approximation we may consider some combinations of the operators (1.1). One approach to improve the order of approximation is the iterative combinations due to Micchelli^[11], who improved the order of approximation of Bernstein polynomials. However, we cannot apply this approach to the operators (1.1) because for these operators (1.1), we not have $B_n(t-x, x) = 0$, which is essential property for making iterative combinations. Yet another approach for improving the order of approximation is the technique of linear combinations which was first considered by May^[10] to improve the order of approximation for exponential type operators. In the present paper, we use the later approach, which described as:

Let $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k$ be $(k+1)$ arbitrary but fixed distinct positive integers. Then the linear combination $B_n(f, k, x)$ of $B_{d_j n}(f, x)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ is defined as

Correspondence
Manoj Kumar
 Department of Mathematics
 and Statistics, Gurukula
 Kangri Vishwavidyalaya,
 Haridwar, Uttarakhand, India

$$B_n(f, k, x) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} B_{d_0^n}(f, x) & d_0^{-1} & d_0^{-2} & \dots & d_0^{-k} \\ B_{d_1^n}(f, x) & d_1^{-1} & d_1^{-2} & \dots & d_1^{-k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ B_{d_k^n}(f, x) & d_k^{-1} & d_k^{-2} & \dots & d_k^{-k} \end{vmatrix} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\text{Where } \Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & d_0^{-1} & d_0^{-2} & \dots & d_0^{-k} \\ 1 & d_1^{-1} & d_1^{-2} & \dots & d_1^{-k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & d_k^{-1} & d_k^{-2} & \dots & d_k^{-k} \end{vmatrix}$$

The above expression (1.2) after simplification may be written as

$$B_n(f, k, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) B_{d_j^n}(f, x) \quad (1.3)$$

Where $C(j, k) = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^k \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i}$, $k \neq 0$ and $C(0, 0) = 1$.

Some basic properties of $b_{n,v}(x)$ are as follows

$$1. \int_0^\infty t^2 b_{n,v}(t) dt = \frac{v(v+1)}{n(n-1)} \quad (1.4)$$

$$2. \sum_{v=1}^\infty b_{n,v}(x) = (n+1) \quad (1.5)$$

$$3. \sum_{v=1}^\infty v b_{n,v}(x) = (n+1)[1 + (n+2)x] \quad (1.6)$$

$$4. \sum_{v=1}^\infty v^2 b_{n,v}(x) = (n+1)[1 + 3(n+2)x + (n+2)(n+3)x^2] \quad (1.7)$$

$$5. x(1+x)b'_{n,v}(x) = [(v-1) - (n+2)x]b_{n,v}(x) \quad (1.8)$$

Where $n \in N$ and $x \in [0, \infty)$.

Throughout this paper, we may assume that $0 < a_1 < a_3 < a_2 < b_2 < b_3 < b_1 < \infty$ and

$$I_i = [a_i, b_i] \text{ Where } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let $H[0, \infty)$ be the class of all measurable functions defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|f(t)|}{(1+t)^{n+1}} dt < \infty \quad \text{For some positive integer } n.$$

Obviously, the class $H[0, \infty)$ is bigger than the class of all lebesgue integrable functions on $[0, \infty)$. Therefore, the operators (1.1) may be applicable for studying a larger class.

The main object of the present paper is to establish an error estimation formula in terms of modulus of continuity ^[2, 5] in simultaneous approximation for linear combinations of the operators (1.1). Motivated by the integral modification of Bernstein

polynomials by Durometer [3], several researchers have proposed and studied the different family of mixed summation-integral type operators [1, 4, 6, 9, 13, 14].

2. Auxiliary Results

To prove our main results, we shall require the following auxiliary results:

Lemma 2.1. For $m \in \mathbb{N}^0$ (the set of non-negative integers) and $n > m$, let the function $\mu_{n,m}(x)$ be defined as

$$\mu_{n,m}(x) = (B_n(t-x)^m, x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt.$$

Then $\mu_{n,0}(x) = 1$, $\mu_{n,1}(x) = \frac{2x+1}{n}$, and there holds the recurrence relation

$$(n-m)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1+x)[\mu'_{n,m}(x) + 2m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)] + (m+1)(1+2x)\mu_{n,m}(x).$$

Moreover, we have the following consequences about $\mu_{n,m}(x)$:

1. $\mu_{n,m}(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree m ,
2. for every $x \in [0, \infty)$, $\mu_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-(m+1)/2})$

Where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integral part of α .

Consequently, on using Holder's inequality, we have from this recurrence relation that

$$B_n(|t-x|^r, x) = O(n^{-r/2}) \text{ For each } r > \text{zero and for every fixed } x \in [0, \infty).$$

Proof. Since $\mu_{n,m}(x) = B_n((t-x)^m, x)$, therefore, using linearity property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n,0}(x) &= B_n((t-x)^0, x) = B_n(1, x) = 1 \quad \text{And} \\ \mu_{n,1}(x) &= B_n((t-x), x) = B_n(t, x) - xB_n(1, x) = \frac{1+2x}{n} \end{aligned}$$

To prove the recurrence relation we shall make the use of the following identity

$$x(1+x)b'_{n,v}(x) = [(v-1) - (n+2)x]b_{n,v}(x)$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(1+x)\mu'_{n,m}(x) &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} x(1+x)b'_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\ &- m \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} x(1+x)b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^{m-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} x(1+x)[\mu'_{n,m}(x) + m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)] &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} [(v-1) - (n+2)x]b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} [(v-1) - (n+2)t + (n+2)(t-x)]b_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} t(1+t)b'_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt + (n+2)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} [(t-x)^2 + (1+2x)(t-x) + x(1+x)]b'_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt + (n+2)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^{m+2} dt + \frac{(1+2x)}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^{m+1} dt + \frac{x(1+x)}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n,v}(t)(t-x)^m dt + \\
 &(n+2)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) \\
 &= -(m+2)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) - (m+1)(1+2x)\mu_{n,m}(x) - mx(1+x)\mu_{n,m-1}(x) + (n+2)\mu_{n,m+1}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the required recurrence relation

$$(n-m)\mu_{n,m+1}(x) = (m+1)(1+2x)\mu_{n,m}(x) + x(1+x)[\mu'_{n,m}(x) + 2m\mu_{n,m-1}(x)]$$

The other consequences follow easily from the above recurrence relation.

Lemma 2.2. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds the following recurrence relation

$$B_n((t-x)^m, k, x) = n^{-(k+1)} [Q(m, k, x) + o(1)]$$

Where $Q(m, k, x)$ is a certain polynomial in x of degree m and $x \in [0, \infty)$ is arbitrary but fixed.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1, for sufficiently large n , we can write

$$B_n((t-x)^m, x) = \frac{q_0(x)}{n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}} + \frac{q_1(x)}{n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor+1}} + \dots + \frac{q_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor}(x)}{n^m} + \dots$$

Where $q_i(x), i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are certain polynomials in x of degree at most m .

Now, we have

$$B_n((t-x)^m, k, x) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} \left(\frac{q_0(x)}{d_0 n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}} + \frac{q_1(x)}{d_0 n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor+1}} + \dots \right) & d_0^{-1} & d_0^{-2} & \dots & d_0^{-k} \\ \left(\frac{q_0(x)}{d_1 n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}} + \frac{q_1(x)}{d_1 n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor+1}} + \dots \right) & d_1^{-1} & d_1^{-2} & \dots & d_1^{-k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \left(\frac{q_0(x)}{d_k n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}} + \frac{q_1(x)}{d_k n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor+1}} + \dots \right) & d_k^{-1} & d_k^{-2} & \dots & d_k^{-k} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= n^{-(k+1)} [Q(m, k, x) + o(1)], \text{ for each fixed } x \in [0, \infty).$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}^0$, if the m^{th} order moment for the operators (1.1) be defined as

$$U_{n,m}(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \left(\frac{v-1}{n+2} - x \right)^m,$$

Then, we have $U_{n,0}(x) = 1, U_{n,1}(x) = 0$ and there holds the recurrence relation

$$(n+2)U_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1+x)[U'_{n,m}(x) + mU_{n,m-1}(x)]$$

Consequently, for each $x \in [0, \infty)$, we have from this relation that

$$U_{n,m}(x) = O(n^{-\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}),$$

Where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integral part of α .

Proof. Using the definition of $U_{n,m}(x)$ and basic properties of $b_{n,v}(x)$, we obtain $U_{n,0}(x) = 1$ and $U_{n,1}(x) = 0$.

Now, we have

$$x(1+x)U'_{n,m}(x) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} x(1+x)b'_{n,v}(x) \left(\frac{v-1}{n+2} - x \right)^m - x(1+x) \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) m \left(\frac{v-1}{n+2} - x \right)^{m-1}$$

Thus using basic properties of $b_{n,v}(x)$, we get

$$x(1+x)[U'_{n,m}(x) + mU_{n,m-1}(x)] = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} [(v-1) - (n+2)x] b_{n,v}(x) \left(\frac{v-1}{n+2} - x \right)^m$$

$$= \frac{(n+2)}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \left(\frac{v-1}{n+2} - x \right)^{m+1}$$

$$= (n+2)U_{n,m+1}(x)$$

This completes the proof of the recurrence relation.
 The other consequence follows easily from the recurrence relation.

Lemma 2.4([12]). There exist the polynomials $Q_{i,j,r}(x)$ independent of n and v such that

$$[x(1+x)]^r D^r b_{n,v}(x) = \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} (n+2)^i [(v-1) - (n+2)x]^j Q_{i,j,r}(x) b_{n,v}(x),$$

Where D^r is the r^{th} order differentiation operator?

Lemma 2.5. If $C(j, k), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ are defined as in (1.3), then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) d_j^{-m} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots, k \end{cases}$$

Proof. From relations (1.2) and (1.3) we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) d_j^{-m} = \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} d_0^{-m} & d_0^{-1} & d_0^{-2} & \dots & d_0^{-k} \\ d_1^{-m} & d_1^{-1} & d_1^{-2} & \dots & d_1^{-k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ d_k^{-m} & d_k^{-1} & d_k^{-2} & \dots & d_k^{-k} \end{array} \right| \Bigg/ \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & d_0^{-1} & d_0^{-2} & \dots & d_0^{-k} \\ 1 & d_1^{-1} & d_1^{-2} & \dots & d_1^{-k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & d_k^{-1} & d_k^{-2} & \dots & d_k^{-k} \end{array} \right|$$

Which implies that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) d_j^{-m} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots, k \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.6. For $p, q \in N^0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) |(v-1) - (n+2)x|^p \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) |t-x|^q dt = O(n^{(p-q)/2})$$

Proof. Using Schwarz inequality for integration and then summation, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) |(v-1) - (n+2)x|^p \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) |t-x|^q dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) |(v-1) - (n+2)x|^p \left(\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) (t-x)^{2q} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) |(v-1) - (n+2)x|^p \left(\int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) (t-x)^{2q} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) [(v-1) - (n+2)x]^{2p} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) (t-x)^{2q} dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & = O(n^{p/2}) O(n^{-q/2}) = O(n^{(p-q)/2}) \end{aligned}$$

3. Error estimation formula

Now we begin to prove the main results of this section, namely, error estimation formula.

Theorem: Let $1 \leq p \leq 2k + 2$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and the function $f \in H[0, \infty)$ be bounded on every finite subinterval of $[0, \infty)$, satisfying $f(t) = O(t^\gamma)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\gamma > 0$. If $f^{(p+r)}$ exists and is continuous on $(a - \Delta, b + \Delta) \subset (0, \infty)$ where $\Delta > 0$, having the modulus of continuity $\omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(\delta)$ on $(a - \Delta, b + \Delta)$, then for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|B_n^{(r)}(f, k, \cdot) - f^{(r)}(\cdot)\|_{C[a,b]} \leq \max\{C_1 n^{-p/2} \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(n^{-1/2}), C_2 n^{-(k+1)}\},$$

Where $C_1 = C_1(k, p, r)$ and $C_2 = C_2(k, p, r, f)$.

Proof. Let $\phi(t)$ be the characteristic function of the interval $(a - \Delta, b + \Delta)$. Since $f^{(p+r)}$ exists, therefore, for $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $x \in [a, b]$, we can write

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{p+r} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i + \frac{[f^{(p+r)}(\xi) - f^{(p+r)}(x)]}{(p+r)!} (t-x)^{p+r} \phi(t) + [1 - \phi(t)] F(t, x)$$

Where ξ lies between t and x , and

$$F(t, x) = f(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{p+r} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} (t-x)^i$$

Using linearity of $B_n^{(r)}(\cdot, k, x)$, relation (1.3) and the above expansion of $f(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_n^{(r)}(f(t), k, x) - f^{(r)}(x) &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p+r} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} B_n^{(r)}((t-x)^i, k, x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \frac{1}{(d_j n + 1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{d_j n, v}^{(r)}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{d_j n, v}(t) \frac{[f^{(p+r)}(\xi) - f^{(p+r)}(x)]}{(p+r)!} (t-x)^{p+r} \phi(t) dt \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^k C(j, k) \frac{1}{(d_j n + 1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{d_j n, v}^{(r)}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{d_j n, v}(t) [1 - \phi(t)] F(t, x) dt \\ &= E_1 + E_2 + E_3 \quad (\text{say}) \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^{p+r} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} B_n^{(r)}((t-x)^i, k, x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right] \\ &= O(n^{-(k+1)}) \quad \text{Uniformly for all } x \in [a, b]. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate E_2 , let

$$E_{21} = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n, v}^{(r)}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n, v}(t) \frac{[f^{(p+r)}(\xi) - f^{(p+r)}(x)]}{(p+r)!} (t-x)^{p+r} \phi(t) dt.$$

Since for every $\delta > 0$ we have

$$|f^{(p+r)}(\xi) - f^{(p+r)}(x)| \leq \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(|\xi - x|) \leq \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(|t - x|) \leq \left(1 + \frac{|t - x|}{\delta}\right) \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(\delta),$$

Therefore, using Lemma 2.4 and the above inequality, for all $\delta > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{21}| &\leq \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i, j \geq 0}} \frac{(n+2)^i}{(n+1)} |(v-1) - (n+2)x|^j \frac{|Q_{i, j, r}(x)|}{[x(1+x)]^r} b_{n, v}(x) \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n, v}(t) \frac{|f^{(p+r)}(\xi) - f^{(p+r)}(x)|}{(p+r)!} |t-x|^{p+r} \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{C(x)}{(p+r)!} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{(n+2)^i}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |(v-1)-(n+2)x|^j b_{n,v}(x) \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) \left(1 + \frac{|t-x|}{\delta}\right) \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(\delta) |t-x|^{p+r} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C(x)}{(p+r)!} \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(\delta) \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{(n+2)^i}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |(v-1)-(n+2)x|^j b_{n,v}(x) \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) \left(|t-x|^{p+r} + \frac{|t-x|^{p+r+1}}{\delta}\right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

Where $C(x) = \sup_{2i+j \leq r} \sup_{x \in [a,b]} \frac{|Q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{[x(1+x)]^r}$, $i, j \geq 0$.

Applying Lemma 2.6 and choosing $\delta = n^{-1/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{21}| &\leq \frac{C(x)}{(p+r)!} \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(n^{-1/2}) \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} (n+2)^i \left[O(n^{(j-p-r)/2}) + n^{1/2} O(n^{(j-p-r-1)/2}) \right] \\ &= \frac{C(x)}{(p+r)!} \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(n^{-1/2}) \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \left[O(n^{(2i+j-p-r)/2}) + O(n^{(2i+j-p-r)/2}) \right] \leq C_3 n^{-p/2} \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(n^{-1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, applying Lemma 2.5, we get

$$|E_2| \leq C_4 n^{-p/2} \omega_{f^{(p+r)}}(n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{Where } C_4 = C_4(k, p, r).$$

Now, since for $t \in [0, \infty) \setminus (a - \Delta, b + \Delta)$, we can always choose a $\delta > 0$ such that $|t-x| \geq \delta$ for all $x \in [a, b]$, therefore, using Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{31}| &= \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b_{n,v}^{(r)}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) [1 - \phi(t)] F(t, x) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{(n+2)^i}{(n+1)} |(v-1)-(n+2)x|^j \frac{|Q_{i,j,r}(x)|}{[x(1+x)]^r} b_{n,v}(x) \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n,v}(t) |F(t, x)| dt \end{aligned}$$

Let s be any integer $\geq \max\{\gamma, 2k+r+2\}$. Then for $|t-x| \geq \delta$ there exists a positive constant C_5 such that

$$|F(t, x)| \leq C_5 |t-x|^s$$

Thus, using above inequality and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{31}| &\leq C_6 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{(n+2)^i}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |(v-1)-(n+2)x|^j b_{n,v}(x) \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n,v}(t) |t-x|^s dt \\ &\leq C_6 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{(n+2)^i}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |(v-1)-(n+2)x|^j b_{n,v}(x) \int_{|t-x| \geq \delta} b_{n,v}(t) \frac{(t-x)^{2q}}{\delta^{2q-s}} dt \\ &\leq C_7 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} \frac{(n+2)^i}{(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} |(v-1)-(n+2)x|^j b_{n,v}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,v}(t) |t-x|^{2q} dt \\ &= C_7 \sum_{\substack{2i+j \leq r \\ i,j \geq 0}} (n+2)^i O(n^{(j-2q)/2}) \leq C_7 O(n^{(r-2q)/2}) = C_7 O(n^{-(k+1)}), \end{aligned}$$

Where q is a positive integer greater than $s/2$.

Consequently, using Lemma 2.5, we obtain $|E_3| \leq C_8 n^{-(k+1)}$ where $C_8 = C_8(k, p, r, f)$.

Finally, collecting the estimates of E_1, E_2 and E_3 , we get the required result.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

1. Aral A, Gupta V. On q analogue of Stancu Beta operators, Applied Mathematics Letters 25, 67-71, 2012.
2. Ditzian Z, Totik V. Moduli of smoothness, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987.
3. Durrmeyer JL, Une Formule d' Inversion de la Transformee de Laplace, Applications a la Theory des Moments, These de 3e cycle, Faculte des Sciences del' Universite' de Paris, 1967.
4. Gal SG, Gupta V. Approximation by complex Beta operators of first kind in strips of compact disks, Med J. Math., 2013; 10(1):31-39.
5. Goldberg S, Meir A. Minimum Moduli of ordinary differential operators, Proc. London Math. Soc., 1971; 23:1-15.
6. Gupta V. Convergence of Szasz-Beta operators, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, 2013; 59:311-318.
7. Gupta V. Error estimation for mixed summation-integral type operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006; 313:632-641.
8. Gupta MK, Gupta V, Kumar M. Direct and inverse estimates for a new family of linear positive operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2007; 330:799-816.
9. Gupta V, Yadav R. Some approximation results on q -Beta-Szasz operators, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 2012; 36(3):343-352.
10. May CP. Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential type operators, Canad. J. Math., 1976; 28:1224-1250.
11. Micchelli CA. The saturation class and iterates of the Bernstein polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 1973; 8:1-18.
12. Timan AF. Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable English Translation, Dover Publications Inc., N.Y. 1994.
13. Upreti R. Approximation properties of Beta operators, J. Approx. Theory, 1985; 45:85-89.
14. Zhou DX. Lp-Inverse theorems for Beta operators, J. Approx. Theory, 1991; 66:279-287.