



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor: 5.2
IJAR 2015; 1(7): 567-569
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 16-04-2015
Accepted: 18-05-2015

Dr. Jubraj Khamari
Assistant Professor School of
Education, MATS University,
Aarang, Raipur (C.G)

Dr. Sanjeet Kumar Tiwari
Assistant Professor School of
Education, MATS University,
Aarang, Raipur (C.G)

Dr. Sanjit Kumar Sahu
Principal Shree Rawatpura
Sarkar College of Education,
Dhaneli, Raipur (C.G.)

A study on personality factor of higher secondary school students on the basis of gender, aspiration and locality

Jubraj Khamari, Sanjeet Kumar Tiwari, Sanjit Kumar Sahu

Abstract

Personality is a researchable researching psychological factor to study on its factors domains as it is comprised so many factors there by researchers selected on this research paper with special references to aspiration and gender and locality. To achieve the objectives as to study the personality factor on the basis of aspiration and gender and locality of higher secondary school students selecting 500 students as samples respondents of Bargarh district of Odisha state as on the basis of hypotheses to analyze collected data implementing the statistical procedures t ratio, f ratio and level of significances have reached the conclusion.

Keywords: Personality, Higher secondary School Students, Gender, Aspiration and Locality

1. Introduction

1.1 Objectives and Hypotheses

Objectives of the study

- (1) To study personality factor on the basis of gender of higher secondary schools students
- (2) To study personality factor on the basis of level of aspiration of higher secondary schools students
- (3) To study personality factor on the basis of locality of higher secondary schools students

1.2 Hypothesis of the Study

H₁ Significant difference of personality factors among higher secondary students on the basis of their level of aspiration.

H₂ Significant difference of personality Factor A of fourteen HSPQ personality factors on the basis of gender

H₃ Significant difference of personality factors among students on the basis of locality.

2. Methodology and Procedure

Method: - Normative Method is followed for this research work.

Population

The present research work entrusted all the higher secondary school students of Bargarh district of Odisha state enrolled during the session 2014 - 15 of all the higher secondary school to constitute the population for smooth and successful completion of the study.

Sample

The researchers have selected 500 samples of higher secondary school students out of total population through random sampling technique for systematic analysis as per the proportionate availability of population constituted within the concerned area of the study.

Scope and Delimitation

The present research work covers the all the higher secondary schools within the territory of Odisha state, district Bargarh as the scope of the study and all the students of all the higher secondary school of the state and district delimited to the study.

Correspondence:
Dr. Jubraj Khamari
Assistant Professor School of
Education, MATS University,
Aarang, Raipur (C.G)

Statistical Techniques

Researchers have implemented t ratio, f ratio, and level of significances for analysis and interpretation of data to find out the results and outcomes.

3. Analysis and Interpretation of Data

H₁ Significant difference will be observed in personality factors among higher secondary students on the basis of their level of aspiration.

Table: Comparison of Personality Factors of Subjects on the Basis of their Level of Aspiration

Groups	n	Mean	F-ratio	Level of Significance
High Level of Aspiration (b ₁)	414	8.86	0.13	NS
Low Level of Aspiration (b ₂)	186	8.97		

NS - Not Significant

The main effect of level of aspiration upon Factor A of fourteen HSPQ personality factors was found to be statistically insignificant. The reported 0.13 which is statistically insignificant indicate that student with high aspiration level (M=8.86) and with low aspiration level (M=8.97) did not differ significantly on personality factors at any significant level.

Since no statistically significant difference was observed in personality factors of higher secondary students on the basis of their level of aspiration, differential hypothesis H₁ stands rejected.

H₂ Significant difference will be observed in Factor A of fourteen HSPQ personality factors on the basis of gender

The results are presented in table-

Table: Comparison of Personality Factors of Subjects on the Basis of Gender

Groups (Caste)	n	Mean	F-ratio	Level of Significance
Male (c ₁)	181	8.99	0.22	NS
Female (c ₂)	419	8.84		

NS - Not Significant

The main effect of gender upon personality was found to be statistically insignificant. The reported 0.22 which is statistically insignificant indicate that male (M=8.99) and female students (M=8.84) did not differ significantly on personality at any significant level.

Since no statistically significant difference was observed in personality factors of higher secondary students on the basis of their gender, differential hypothesis H₂ stands rejected.

H₃ Significant difference will be observed in personality factors among students on the basis of locality.

The results are presented in table-

Table: Comparison of Personality Factors of Subjects on the Basis of Locality

Groups	n	Mean	F-ratio	Level of Significance
Rural Students (d ₁)	300	8.76	0.99	NS
Urban Students (d ₂)	300	9.07		

NS - Not Significant

The main effect of locality upon was found to be statistically insignificant. The reported 0.99 which is statistically insignificant indicate that rural higher secondary students (M=8.76) and urban higher secondary students (M=9.07) did not differ significantly on personality Factor-A of HSPQ at any significant level.

Since no statistically significant difference was observed in personality factors of higher secondary students on the basis of rural urban belongingness, differential hypothesis H₃ stands rejected.

4. Reference

1. Agarwal KL. A study of effect of parental encouragement upon the educational development of the students. In Buch, M.B.(Ed.). Fourth survey of research in education, New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T.,1991,1986.
1. Alexander B.A study of the relationship of critical thinking, science aptitude and socio-economic status to the science achievement of second year P.U.C. students. Fifth Survey of Research in Education. New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1997, 1990.
2. Anderson RD. Developing Children's thinking through science. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. Prentice Hall, 1970.
3. Atkin JM. Process in science Education. Elementary Education in the seventies; Benchmarks for science literacy. New York: Oxford University Press.
4. Bandyopadhyay J. Environmental influence, academic achievement and scientific aptitude as determinants of adolescents attitude towards science stream. In Buch, M.B.(Ed.). Fourth survey of research in education, New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1991, 1984.
5. Baskaran, K. Achievement motivation, attitude towards problem-solving and achievement in mathematics of standard X students in Devakotta educational district. Fifth Survey of Research in Education. New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1997, 1991.
6. Bernel JD. Science in history. Harmonds worth, Penguin books, 1969.
7. Best JW,Kahn JV. Research in education. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, 1992.
8. Bhagirath GS. Correlates of Academic achievement as perceived by the teachers and students of High Schools. In Buch, M.B. (Ed.) The third survey of research in Education, New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1988, 1978.
9. Bhargava MA. A. critical study of attitude of adolescents towards Gandhian philosophy and its relationship with intelligence, socio-economic status and achievement, Buch, M.B.(Ed.) Fourth Survey of Research in Education. New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T.,1991, 1981.
10. Bhargava SNL. A study of some cognitive processes in science learning with reference to physics for students of higher secondary classes. In Buch, M.B. (Ed.)fourth survey of Research in Education. N.C.E.R.T., 1991, 1983.
11. Bhusari CV. Intelligence of scheduled castes and scheduled tribes students and its correlation with their scholastic achievement in Vidarbha. Fifth Survey of Research in Education. New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1997, 1988.
12. Bloom BS, Hastings JT,Madaus GF. (Eds.) Handbook on formative and summative evaluation of student learning. New York: McGraw Hill, 1971.
13. Boom BS. Taxonomy of educational objectives

- handbook 1: cognitive domain. New York: David Mckay company, Inc, 1968.
14. Brown FG. Principles of educational and psychological testing. Illinois:The Dryden Press Inc, 1970.
 15. Bruner JS. Process of education. Cambridge: Mass. Harvard,1962.
 16. Carin AA,Sund, Robert B. Teaching modern science. Columbus, Ohio: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company. A Bell & Howell Company, 1970.
 17. Chaganlal NM. A study of the value, adjustment, attitude towards teaching profession and academic achievement of teachers children as compared to non-teachers children. Fifth Survey of Research in Education. New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1997, 1992.
 18. Chikkara. To study the effectiveness of different strategies of teaching on achievement in mathematics in relation to intelligence, sex and personality, In Buch,M.B.(Ed.) Third Survey of Research in Education. New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1988, 1985.
 19. Chopra SL. A study of some non-intellectual correlates of academic achievement. In Buch, M.B. (Ed.) The third survey of research in Education, New Delhi: N.C.E.R.T., 1988, 1982.
 20. Comber LC,Keeves JP. Science education in nineteen countries an empirical study. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1973.
 21. Conant J. Science and common sense New Haven: Yale University Press,1951.
 22. Conant J. Modern science and modern man. New York: Columbia University Press, 1952.
 23. Cronbach LJ. (1960). Essentials of psychological testing. New York: Harper and Brothers, Publishers.
 24. Croxton WC. Science in the Elementary school, New York: Mc Graw-Hall Book Company, Inc,1937.
 25. Dani DN. Scientific attitude and cognitive styles of higher secondary students,1984.