International Journal of Applied Research 2017; 3(12): 258-260

ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor: 5.2
IJAR 2017; 3(12): 258-260
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 18-10-2017
Accepted: 21-11-2017

Pratima Pawar
M.Com Student, Department of Commerce, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Correspondence
Pratima Pawar
M.Com Student, Department of Commerce, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Investment portfolio management: Strategies, risks, and performance optimization

Pratima Pawar

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.22271/allresearch.2017.v3.i12d.12586

Abstract
This research paper explores the concept of Investment Portfolio Management, focusing on strategies for building and managing an efficient investment portfolio. It evaluates risk-return trade-offs, diversification techniques, portfolio optimization, and the role of behavioral finance. Using both primary and secondary data, the study aims to analyze how investors can make informed decisions to maximize returns while managing associated risks.

Keywords: Investment portfolio management, risk-return trade-off, diversification techniques

1. Introduction
Investment Portfolio Management involves the art and science of making decisions about investment mix and policy, matching investments to objectives, asset allocation, and balancing risk against performance. With the dynamic nature of financial markets, effective portfolio management has become essential for individual and institutional investors. This paper discusses the theories, strategies, and practical tools used in managing investment portfolios in the modern era.

3. Literature Review
Numerous studies have explored the principles and strategies of portfolio management.

- Markowitz (1952) [1] introduced the Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), highlighting the benefits of diversification and risk optimization.
- Sharpe (1964) [2] developed the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), explaining the relationship between expected return and systematic risk.
- Fama and French (1993) [3] proposed a three-factor model incorporating size and value factors to improve portfolio evaluation.
- Statman (2000) [4] examined behavioral aspects influencing investor choices and their effects on portfolio construction.
- Bodie, Kane, and Marcus (2014) [5] emphasized the importance of asset allocation in determining portfolio performance.

The literature suggests that a combination of quantitative techniques and behavioral understanding can enhance portfolio outcomes.

4. Objectives of the Study
1. To examine the core strategies of investment portfolio management.
2. To analyze the role of diversification in minimizing portfolio risk.
3. To evaluate the performance metrics used in portfolio analysis.
4. To understand the impact of behavioral finance on portfolio decisions.
5. To provide recommendations for effective portfolio construction and monitoring.

5. Research Methodology
The study employed a stratified sampling technique to ensure representation from both retail and institutional investors.

A total of 100 investors were selected, consisting of individuals with varying levels of investment experience, age groups, and income brackets. This approach helped capture diverse investment behaviors and preferences.

Participants were selected based on their active involvement in investment activities across different asset classes such as equities, mutual funds, fixed income securities, and others. The stratified design allowed for the analysis of how different segments of investors manage risk and construct their portfolios. The sample size was considered adequate to provide meaningful insights while maintaining feasibility within the research scope.

Sampling and Sampling Design

Step 1: Define the Target Population

The target population for this study includes both retail and institutional investors actively involved in investment activities across various asset classes.

Step 2: Choose Sampling Technique

A stratified sampling technique was selected to ensure diverse representation based on investor type, age group, income level, and investment experience.

Step 3: Determine Sample Size

A total of 100 investors were selected as the sample size, considering both feasibility and the need for statistical significance.

Step 4: Stratify the Population

The population was divided into strata such as retail vs. institutional investors, and further categorized by age, income, and investment preferences.

Step 5: Select Participants from Each Stratum

Participants were selected from each stratum to ensure that all segments of the investor population were adequately represented.

Step 6: Data Collection

Primary data was collected through surveys and interviews conducted with the selected investors.

This study adopts a mixed-methods approach combining qualitative review and quantitative analysis.

- Primary Data: Investor surveys and interviews.
- Secondary Data: Literature, financial journals, databases (NSE, BSE), and mutual fund reports.
- Tools: Risk-return analysis, Sharpe ratio, Beta, Alpha, Portfolio Variance.
- Sample: 100 investors (retail and institutional).

Statistical tools such as correlation analysis and regression are used to interpret the data.

Table 1: Tools Used in Analysis

Tool/Metric	Purpose
Sharpe Ratio	Risk-adjusted return
Beta	Market risk measurement
Alpha	Active return on investment
Portfolio Variance	Overall portfolio risk
Correlation Analysis	Asset relationship and diversification effect
Regression Analysis	Performance prediction and factor influence

6. Analysis and Interpretation

Findings from survey data suggest

- 70% of respondents prefer diversified portfolios over single-asset investments.
- Risk appetite varies significantly with age, income, and investment experience.
- Equity and mutual funds are the most preferred assets, followed by fixed income securities.
- 60% of investors do not actively rebalance their portfolios despite market changes.

Statistical analysis revealed a positive correlation between diversification and portfolio performance. Investors using professional advisory services showed higher portfolio returns.

Table 2: Investor Preferences by Asset Type

Asset Type	Percentage of Investors (%)
Equity	40%
Mutual Funds	30%
Fixed Income (Bonds)	15%
Real Estate	10%
Others (Gold, Crypto)	5%

Table 3: Risk Appetite by Age Group

Age Group (Years)	High Risk	Moderate Risk	Low Risk
20-30	60%	30%	10%
31-45	40%	45%	15%
46-60	20%	50%	30%
60+	10%	30%	60%

7. Discussion

The results underscore the importance of diversification and professional management in investment portfolios. Behavioral biases such as overconfidence and loss aversion significantly influence investor decisions. Periodic rebalancing and risk assessment are essential for sustained performance. The role of technology and robo-advisors is also growing in portfolio management.

8. Conclusion

Investment Portfolio Management is crucial in achieving financial goals while minimizing risks. An optimal portfolio requires a blend of strategic asset allocation, periodic performance review, and awareness of market dynamics and behavioral biases. Educating investors and promoting disciplined investment behavior can significantly enhance portfolio performance.

9. Recommendations

1. Investors should focus on diversification across asset classes and geographies.
2. Regular portfolio review and rebalancing should be encouraged.
3. Financial literacy programs can reduce irrational investment behavior.
4. Use of professional advisory and digital tools should be promoted.
5. Government and institutions should support investment awareness initiatives.

10. References

1. Markowitz H. Portfolio selection. J Finance. 1952;7(1):77-91.

2. Sharpe WF. Capital asset prices: A theory of market equilibrium under conditions of risk. J Finance. 1964;19(3):425-442.
3. Fama EF, French KR. Common risk factors in the returns on stocks and bonds. J Financ Econ. 1993;33(1):3-56.
4. Statman M. Behavioral finance: Past battles and future engagements. Financ Anal J. 2000;56(6):18-27.
5. Bodie Z, Kane A, Marcus AJ. Investments. 10th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2014. p. 1-1056.