



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor: 5.2
IJAR 2017; 3(6): 1123-1124
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 08-04-2017
Accepted: 09-05-2017

Ehsan Namazian Dost
Department of English
Language Teaching, Ahvaz
Branch, Islamic Azad
University, Ahvaz, Iran

Ghassem Bohloulzadeh
Department of Law, Central
Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad
University, Tehran, Iran

Interpreting and comparing social common damages related to social: Linguistic

Ehsan Namazian Dost and Ghassem Bohloulzadeh

Abstract

This paper tries to interpret and compare social common damages related to social – linguistic and style of people’s treatment with each other. First of all, we explain this damages according to principles and a standard society and then we compare separately. This study is based on politeness theory that accounts on way of speaking including apologize and having good face versus threatening face.

Keywords: Interpreting, comparing social common damages, social – linguistic

Introduction

Interpret and comparative social common damages related to socio – linguistic concerns with people’s behavior in period of day. Without doubt, everybody door encounter these treatment every day. We are not going talk about the reason of these routine acts. Because, several item would be include and maybe lead us far from our purpose. There for, we focus on these acts and their damages with details. Politeness is the expression of the speaker’s intention in good way removing threatening face and acts toward another. In contrast with threatening face, we have positive face to survey related damages cause with positive face and negative face to heaver and speaker. It is simple to understand the results and reflect of positive face and negative face in society.

Terms definition

Negative face

It is defend as “the want of every competent adult member that his actions be unimpeded by other”. In other words, it is linked to the basic human devise to be independent and free imposition.

Positive face

It is defined as, the want of every member that his wants be desirable to at least some others. This aspect of face is therefore the space for, so to speak, filling up the autonomous being with personal content, i.e. self – image that the person wants others to respect and appreciate.

Threatening face

A communicative act is a chunk of behavior B which is produced by S (speaker) with a specific intention, which speaker intends hearer to recognize, this recognition being to communicative point of speaker’s doing behavior.

Literature review

According to Brown and Levinson, positive and negative face exist universally in human culture. In social interaction, face – threatening acts are at times inevitable based on the terms of the conversation. A face threatening act is that in heavenly damages the face of the addressee or the speaker by acting in opposition to the wants and desires of the other. Most of these acts are verbal; however, they can also be conveyed in the characteristics of speech.

The purpose of study

The aim of the study is interpret social common damages that everybody encounter daily. By studying and interpret these damages, we hope lead to be familiar to knowing people’s

Correspondence

Ehsan Namazian Dost
Department of English
Language Teaching, Ahvaz
Branch, Islamic Azad
University, Ahvaz, Iran

function for acting well. We want to describe damages cause of their thinking facts derived from negative face. By producing speech, it is face to hearer and speaker.

**Damages of Negative face – threatening acts
Damages to hearer**

An act that confirms or denies a future act of the hearer creates pressure on the hearer to either perform or not perform act.

Example: order, requests, suggestion, advice, reminding, threats or warnings.

An act that expresses the speaker’s feeling of hearer or hearer’s belongings.

Examples: Compliments, expressions of admiration or expressions of strong negative emotion toward the hearer (haleness, anger) an act that expresses some positive future act of the speaker toward the hearer. In doing so, pressure has been put on the hearer to accept or reject the act and possibly cause damages.

Damages to speaker

- An act that shows that the speaker is influenced by hearer.
- Expressing thanks
- Accepting a thank you or apology
- Excuses
- Acceptance of offers
- A response to hearer’s violation of social science.
- The speaker commits himself to something he or she does not want to do

Research Question and Hypothesis

- Q₁: what is reflect and result of Negative face – threatening acts?
 Q₂: What is reflect and result of positive face?
 Q₃: Is there any difference between positive and Negative face?
 Q₄: Has positive face any damage for hearer or speaker?

For talking about study and according research questions, we are intend to get some point about following hypothesizes:

- H₁: Damages of Negative face is more than positive one.
 H₂: Probably damages of positive face is more than negative one.
 H₃: There is difference between damages of positive face and negative on.

Method

Participants

We are going determine the damages of Negative face for both speaker and hearer. For this purpose, we choose two groups with ages between 25 to 35 from the intermediate level of some people, one as speaker and other as hearer.

Material / Instrument

As mentioned before, we have two groups, one speaker and other one as hearer, for determine damages of negative face for them. Here, we need some cases for doing and get some results. So, we put some items to do, such as: order, requests, suggestions, advice, reminding or warning for acting and threading with each other as questioners and testers.

Procedure

According to the number and kind of groups in this study, The T-test is workable because, here we have two groups to compute. First, we choose some people and divided them in to two groups, one as speaker and other one as hearer. After that, we put and ask some items on interaction cases speech daily to do. It means one group do some offers. With negative face to hearer by speaker. Then, we focus and survey the reflect the hearer and speaker.

Result and Discussion

After surveys on reflections of hearers encountered with negative face, we gathered some information.

Negative face	Damage to hearer	*Confirmer deny orders or requests, suggestions, advice, reminding
	Damage to speaker	*expressing thanks or apology or acceptance of offers
Positive face	Damage to hearer	*expressing of disapproval and excessively emotional
	Damage to speaker	Acceptance of a compliment and inability to control one’s physical self

Every cases of above mentioned depends on difference situation.

Conclusion

To sum up, we should say, damages of negative face to hearer and speaker are more than damages for positive face. After surveys arises, clearly showed that, there is difference between reflects damages of negative face and positive one and also in some special cases, there is damages cause of positive face.

Reference

1. Foley W. Anthropological linguistics: An introduction. Blackwell, 1979.
2. Brown P, Levinson SL. Politeness: Some universals in language usage. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987.
3. Leech GN. Principles of pragmatics. London: Longman. 1983.