



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
 ISSN Online: 2394-5869
 Impact Factor: 5.2
 IJAR 2018; 4(4): 124-128
 www.allresearchjournal.com
 Received: 26-02-2018
 Accepted: 31-03-2018

M Bhuvanewari
 Department of Mathematics,
 Nehru Arts and Science
 College, T.M Palayam,
 Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
 India

N Nagaveni
 Department of Mathematics,
 Coimbatore Institute of
 Technology, Coimbatore,
 Tamil Nadu, India

Correspondence
M Bhuvanewari
 Department of Mathematics,
 Nehru Arts and Science
 College, T.M Palayam,
 Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
 India

A study on contra NWG-closed and NWG-open maps

M Bhuvanewari and N Nagaveni

Abstract

This paper introduces a weaker form of closed map called Contra Nwg-closed map in Nano topological spaces. A few of its properties are analyzed. The equivalent condition for a function to be a contra Nwg-closed map is obtained. Further Contra Nwg-open map is introduced and some of its characteristics are investigated.

Keywords: Nano topological space, Nano continuous function, Nano contra continuous function, Contra Nwg-closed map and Contra Nwg-open map

1. Introduction

In 1994 J. Dontchev^[5] introduced contra-continuity which is a stronger form of LC-continuity in general topological space. C.W Baker^[1] introduced the notion of Contra open function and Contra closed function. Caldas *et al.*^[3] studied contra pre semi open maps. Dhanis Arul Mary^[4] analysed contra nano generalized b-closed maps in Nano topological space. Zdzislaw Pawlak^[10] discussed the applications of rough set theory with an example. Lellis Thivagar *et al.*^[6] defined a topology called Nano topology in terms of approximations and boundary region of a universal set using equivalence relation on it. He^[7] established Nano continuity using Nano interior. Nagaveni and Bhuvanewari^[8, 2] applied the concept of weakly generalization in Nano topology and studied Nwg-closed map. In this paper contra Nwg-closed map is introduced and few of its properties are studied. Also Contra Nwg-open map is analysed.

Throughout this paper $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano Topological space with respect to X Where $X \subseteq U$, R is an equivalence relation on U, U/R denotes the family of equivalence classes of U by R. $(V, \tau_{R'}(Y))$ is a Nano Topological space with respect to Y Where $Y \subseteq V$, R' is an equivalence relation on V, V/R' denotes the family of equivalence classes of V by R' . $(W, \tau_{R''}(Z))$ is a Nano Topological space with respect to Z Where $Z \subseteq W$, R'' is an equivalence relation on W, W/R'' denotes the family of equivalence classes of W by R'' .

2. Preliminaries

This section is to recall some definitions and properties which are useful in this study.

Definition: 2.1^[6] Let U be a non empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U,R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$,

1. The Lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and is defined by $L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}$. Where R(x) denotes the equivalence class determined by x

2. The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and is defined by
$$U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$$
3. The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be classified neither as X nor as not-X with respect to R and is defined by $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$.

Definition: 2.2 [6] Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms.

1. U and $\emptyset \in \tau_R(X)$.
2. The union of the elements of any sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
3. The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

That is $\tau_R(X)$ forms a topology on U called as the Nano topology on U with respect to X. We call $(U, \tau_R(X))$ as the Nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called as Nano open sets. Elements of $[\tau_R(X)]^c$ are called Nano closed sets with $[\tau_R(X)]^c$.

Definition: 2.3 [6] If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a Nano Topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$ then the Nano interior of A is defined as the union of all Nano open subsets of A and it is denoted by $NInt(A)$. Nano interior is the largest Nano open subset of A.

Definition: 2.4 [6] The Nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all Nano closed sets containing A and it is denoted by $Ncl(A)$. It is the smallest Nano closed set containing A.

Definition: 2.5 [6] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano Topological space with respect to X and $A \subseteq U$. Then A is said to be

- (i) Nano semi- open if $A \subseteq Ncl(NInt(A))$
- (ii) Nano pre- open if $A \subseteq NInt(Ncl(A))$
- (iii) Nano α - open if $A \subseteq NInt(Ncl(NInt(A)))$
- (iv) Nano Regular open if $A = NInt(Ncl(A))$

Definition: 2.6 [8] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a Nano Topological space. A subset A of $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is called Nano weakly generalized closed (briefly Nwg-closed) set if $Ncl(NInt(A)) \subseteq V$ where $A \subseteq V$ and V is Nano open. The complement of Nano weakly generalized closed set is Nano weakly generalized open set.

Definition: 2.7 The map $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is called

- (i) Nwg-open map [2] if the image of every Nano open set in U is Nano weakly generalized open in V.
- (ii) Nwg-closed map [2] if the image of every Nano closed set in U is Nano weakly generalized closed in V.

Definition: 2.8 Nano weakly generalized interior of a subset A is the union of all the Nwg-open sets contained in A.
$$NInt_{wg}(A) = \bigcup \{B : B \text{ is Nwg-open sets such that } B \subseteq A\}$$

Definition: 2.9 The intersection of all the Nwg-closed set containing A is called Nwg-closure of A.
$$NCl_{wg}(A) = \bigcap \{B : B \text{ is Nwg-closed sets such that } A \subseteq B\}$$

3. Contra Nwg- closed Maps

In this section we define the map called Contra Nwg- closed map and study some of its properties.

Definition: 3.1 The map $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Contra Nwg-closed on U if the image of every Nano closed set in U is Nano weakly generalized open set in U.

Example: 3.2 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \emptyset, \{a, b\}\}$.

Let $V = U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{d, e\}, \{a, c\}, \{b\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, b, c\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \emptyset, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Define $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = d, f(b) = e, f(c) = a, f(d) = b, f(e) = c$. f is contra Nwg-closed map.

Remark: 3.3 Composition of two contra Nwg closed map need not be contra Nwg closed as shown in the following example.

Example: 3.4 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Let $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, e\}, \{d\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$.

Let $W = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $W/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{e\}, \{b, d\}\}$ and $Z = \{c, e\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Z) = \{W, \phi, \{e\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, e\}\}$.

Define $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = c, f(b) = d, f(c) = e, f(d) = a, f(e) = b$ and $g : (V, \tau_R(Y)) \rightarrow (W, \tau_R(Z))$ as $g(a) = b, g(b) = d, g(c) = c, g(d) = e, g(e) = a$. Here f and g are contra Nwg-closed maps but their composition is not contra Nwg-closed map $g(f(\{a, c, d, e\})) = g(\{a, b, c, e\}) = \{a, b, c, d\}$ which is not Nwg-open.

Remark: 3.5 Contra Nwg-closed map and Nwg-closed map are independent.

Example: 3.6 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Let $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, e\}\}$ and $Y = \{c, e\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{e\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, e\}\}$.

Define $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = c, f(b) = e, f(c) = a, f(d) = d, f(e) = b$. Then f is Nwg-closed map but not Contra Nwg-closed map since $f(\{b, d, e\}) = \{b, d, e\}$ is not Nwg-open set in V .

Example: 3.7 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Let $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$.

Let $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ be a function defined as $f(a) = c, f(b) = d, f(c) = e, f(d) = a, f(e) = b$, then f is Contra Nwg-closed map. Since $f(\{d, e\}) = \{a, b\}$ is not Nwg-closed in V f is not Nwg-closed function.

Theorem: 3.8 Let f be a function from Nano topological space U to Nano topological space V and if the only Nano open set containing the complement of image of every Nano closed set of U is V , then f is contra Nwg-closed map.

Proof: Let A be a Nano closed set in U and V is the only Nano open set such that $(f(A))^c \subseteq V$. Then $Ncl(N \text{ int}((f(A))^c)) \subseteq V$. (i.e) $(f(A))^c$ is Nwg-closed in V . $f(A)$ is Nwg-open set. Then f is Contra Nwg-closed map.

Theorem: 3.9 Let f be a function defined from Nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ to Nano topological space $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ and $N \text{ int}((f(A))^c) = \phi$ for every Nano closed set A of U then f is Contra Nwg-closed map.

Theorem: 3.10 Let f be a contra Nwg-closed map then $N \text{Int}_{wg}(f(A)) \subseteq f(Ncl(A))$ for every subset $A \subseteq U$.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq U$ then $Ncl(A)$ is a Nano closed set in U . Since f is contra Nwg-closed map $f(Ncl(A))$ is Nwg-open set in U and $N \text{Int}_{wg}(f(Ncl(A))) = f(Ncl(A))$. $A \subseteq Ncl(A)$, $f(A) \subseteq f(Ncl(A))$, $N \text{Int}_{wg}(f(A)) \subseteq N \text{Int}_{wg}(f(Ncl(A))) = f(Ncl(A))$, $N \text{Int}_{wg}(f(A)) \subseteq f(Ncl(A))$.

Remark: 3.11 The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example: 3.12 In example: 3.6 $\text{NInt}_{wg}(f(A)) \subseteq f(\text{NCl}(A))$ but f is not contra Nwg-closed map.

Corollary: 3.13 Let $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ be a Contra Nwg-closed map then $\text{NInt}_{wg}(A) \subseteq f(\text{NCl}(f^{-1}(A)))$ for every subset $A \subseteq V$.

Remark: 3.14 The converse of the above need not be true as given in the following example.

Example: 3.15 In example: 3.6 $\text{NInt}_{wg}(A) \subseteq f(\text{NCl}(f^{-1}(A)))$ but f is not contra Nwg-closed map.

4. Contra Nwg- open maps

In this section we define the function called Contra Nwg- open map and study some of its properties.

Definition: 4.1 The map $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ is Contra Nwg-open map on U if the image of every Nano open set of U is Nano weakly generalized closed set in V .

Example: 4.2 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$.

Let $V = U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{d, e\}, \{a, c\}, \{b\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, b, c\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Define $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = d, f(b) = e, f(c) = a, f(d) = b, f(e) = c$. f is contra Nwg-open map.

Remark: 4.3 Composition of two contra Nwg open map need not be contra Nwg open map as shown in the following example.

Example: 4.4 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Let $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, e\}, \{d\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$.

Let $W = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $W/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $Z = \{a, c, e\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Z) = \{W, \phi, \{a, c, e\}\}$.

Define $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = c, f(b) = d, f(c) = e, f(d) = a, f(e) = b$ and $g : (V, \tau_R(Y)) \rightarrow (W, \tau_R(Z))$ as $g(a) = b, g(b) = d, g(c) = c, g(d) = e, g(e) = a$. Here f and g are contra Nwg closed map but their composition is not contra Nwg-closed map since $g(f(\{d, e\})) = g(\{a, b\}) = \{b, d\}$ is not Nwg-open.

Remark: 4.5 Contra Nwg-open map and Nwg-open map are independent.

Example: 4.6 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Let $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{a\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, c\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{a, c\}\}$.

Define $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ as $f(a) = c, f(b) = e, f(c) = a, f(d) = d, f(e) = b$. The image of Nano open sets are $f(\{b\}) = \{e\}, f(\{a, c\}) = \{a, c\}, f(\{a, b, c\}) = \{a, c, e\}$ where $\{e\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, e\}$ are Nano open. Hence f is Nwg- open map. But it is not contra Nwg-open since $f(\{a, c\}) = \{a, c\}$ which not Nwg-open set in V .

Example: 4.7 Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$.

Let $V = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with $V/R = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{e\}\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then the Nano topology is $\tau_R(Y) = \{V, \phi, \{a, b\}\}$.

Let $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ be a function defined as $f(a) = c, f(b) = d, f(c) = e, f(d) = a, f(e) = b$.

The image of Nano open sets are $f(\{b\}) = \{d\}, f(\{a, c\}) = \{c, e\}, f(\{a, b, c\}) = \{c, d, e\}$. Since $\{d\}, \{c, e\}, \{c, d, e\}$ are Nwg closed f is Contra Nwg open map. But not Nwg-open map because $\{c, d, e\}$ is not Nwg open in V .

Theorem:4.8 Let f be a function from Nano topological space U to Nano topological space V and if the only Nano open set containing the image of every Nano open set of U is V , then f is contra Nwg-open map.

Proof: Let A be a Nano open set in U and V is the only Nano open set such that $f(A) \subseteq V$. Then $Ncl(Nint(f(A))) \subseteq V$. (i.e) $f(A)$ is Nwg-closed in V . Then f is Contra Nwg-open map.

Corollary: 4.9 Let f be a function defined from Nano topological space $(U, \tau_R(X))$ to Nano topological space $(V, \tau_R(Y))$ and $Nint(f(A)) = \phi$ for every Nano closed set A of U then f is Contra Nwg-open map.

Theorem: 4.10 Let $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ be a contra Nwg-open map then $f^{-1}(NIntA) \subseteq NCl_{wg}(f(A))$ for every subset $A \subseteq U$.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq U$. then $NIntA$ is a Nano open set in U . Since f is contra Nwg-closed $f(NIntA)$ is Nwg- set closed set in V and $NCl_{wg}(f(NIntA)) = f(NIntA)$. $NIntA \subseteq A, f(NIntA) \subseteq f(A), NCl_{wg}(f(NIntA)) \subseteq NCl_{wg}(f(A)), NCl_{wg}(f(NIntA)) = f(NIntA) \subseteq NCl_{wg}(f(A)), NIntA \subseteq f^{-1}(NCl_{wg}(f(A)))$

Remark: 4.11 The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example: 4.12 In example: 4.6, f is not Contra Nwg- closed map, but $f^{-1}(NIntA) \subseteq NCl_{wg}(f(A))$ for every subset $A \subseteq U$.

Corollary: 4.13 Let $f : (U, \tau_R(X)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(Y))$ be a contra Nwg-open map then $f^{-1}(NInt(f^{-1}(A))) \subseteq NCl_{wg}(A)$ for every subset $A \subseteq V$.

Remark: 4.14 The converse of the above theorem need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example: 4.15 In example: 4.6, f is not Contra Nwg- closed map, but $f^{-1}(NInt(f^{-1}(A))) \subseteq NCl_{wg}(A)$ for every subset $A \subseteq V$.

References

1. Baker CW, Contra-open functions and Contra –closed function, Math. Today. 1997; (15):19-24.
2. Bhuvanewari M, Nagaveni N. A Weaker form of a closed map in Nano Topological space, International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics. 2017; 5(3):77-82.
3. Caldas M, Baker C. Contra pre semiopen maps, kyungpook Math. 2000; (40):379-389.
4. Dhanis A, Arul Mary, Arockiarani I. Note on Contra nano gb-closed maps, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, 2014; 2(10):55-66.
5. Dontchev J. Contra Continuous Functions and Strongly S-Closed Spaces, International J Mat Math and Math Sci. 1996; (19):303-310.
6. Lellis M. Thivagar, Carmel Richard, on Nano forms of weakly open sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention, 2013; 1(1):31-37.
7. Lellis M Thivagar, Carmel Richard. On Nano continuity, Math. Theory Model. 2013; (7):32-37.
8. Nagaveni N, Bhuvanewari M. On Nano weakly generalized closed sets, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 2016; 106(7):129-137.
9. Nagaveni N, Bhuvanewari M. On Nano weakly generalized continuous functions, International Journal of Emerging Research in Management & Technology. 2017; 6(4):95-100.
10. Zdzislaw Pawlak. Rough set theory and its applications, Journal of telecommunications and Information Technology. 2002; (3):7-10.