International Journal of Applied Research 2019; 5(7): 440-443



International Journal of Applied Research

ISSN Print: 2394-7500 ISSN Online: 2394-5869 Impact Factor: 5.2 IJAR 2019; 5(7): 440-443 www.allresearchjournal.com Received: 01-05-2019 Accepted: 03-06-2019

Nagampavani

Department of Genetis and Plant Breeding University-Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology. Uttar Parades, India

Dr. Bineeta M Bara

Department of Genetis and Plant Breeding University-Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology. Uttar Parades, India

Dr. AK Chaurasia

Department of Genetis and Plant Breeding University-Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology. Uttar Parades, India

S Venugopal Reddy

Department of Genetis and Plant Breeding University-Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology. Uttar Parades, India

Correspondence Nagampavani

Department of Genetis and Plant Breeding University-Sam Higginbottom University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology. Uttar Parades, India

Studies on influence of different transplanting dates and different treatment combinations on growth, yield and seed quality parameters in tomato (Solanum lycopersicon L.)

Nagampavani, Dr. Bineeta M Bara, Dr. AK Chaurasia and S Venugopal Reddy

Abstract

The present study was carried out Studies on influence of different transplanting dates and different treatment combinations on growth yield and seed quality parameters in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.). In plant growth parameters (plant height (cm) and number of primary branches) of tomato crop the treatment combination D₂T₃ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] shown maximum performance in all the plant growth parameters and it was followed by D₂T₇ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and Chlorpyriphos + mancozebcombination] and the least performance was given by D₁T₀ [25th Nov-26 days old seedlings and control combination] while compared with the other treatment combinations. Among the days to 1st flowering and days to 50% flowering the treatment combination D₃T₃ [15th Dec-46 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] has shown minimum days to 1st flowering and 50% flowering and it was followed by D₃T₇ [15th Dec-46 days old seedlings and Chlorpyriphos + mancozebcombination] while the maximum days to 1stfloweringand 50% flowering was recorded in D₂T₀ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and control combination].

In yield parameters (number of clusters per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plot (kg) and seed yield per plot (g)) of tomato crop the treatment combination D_2T_3 [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] shown maximum performance in all the yield parameters and it was followed by D_2T_7 [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and Chlorpyriphos + mancozebcombination] and the least performance was given by D_1T_0 [25th Nov-26 days old seedlings and control combination] while compared with the other treatment combinations.

Keywords: Transplanting dates, Treatment combinations, Tomato crop, Yield and Seed quality parameters

Introduction

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon*) belongs to the Solanaceae family along with other economically important crops such as pepper, eggplant and potato. The tomato was classified by Miller (1754) as *Lycopersicon esculentum* and renamed by Child (1990) and Peralta and Spooner (2006) as *Solanum lycopersicum*. Tomato is a diploid species with 2n = 24 chromosomes. Fresh vegetables are rich source of essential vitamins, minerals, dietary fibers and contain fair amount of carbohydrates and proteins. Tomatoes grow best in the dry season under day temperatures of 21-25 °C and night temperatures of 15- 20 °C. Humidity levels higher than 60per cent at the time of fruit maturity will increase disease problems and reduce seed yields. Optimum soil pH for tomato hybrid is 6.0 to 7.0. Vegetables are the ultimate healthy food along with high significant nutritional value. Vegetables are brim and over flow with fiber, low in calories and are also excellent source of vitamins, minerals, antioxidants and phytochemicals.

Materials and Methods

The Research study was conducted at experimental research field, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during rabi-2018. The source of seed

material was obtained from local seed market and the experiment was conducted in Randomized block design (R.B.D) with three replications. The data was collected on five randomly selected plants from each plot and measurement of different observations was recorded.

Result and discussion

In plant growth parameters (plant height (cm) and number of primary branches) of tomato crop the treatment combination D₂T₃ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] shown maximum performance in all the plant growth parameters and it was followed by D₂T₇ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and Chlorpyriphos + mancozebcombination] and the least performance was given by D₁T₀ [25th Nov-26 days old seedlings and control combination] while compared with the other treatment combinations. Among the days to 1st flowering and days to 50% flowering the treatment combination D₃T₃ [15th Dec-46 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] has shown minimum days to 1st flowering and 50% flowering and it was followed by D₃T₇[15th Dec-46 days old seedlings and Chlorpyriphos + mancozebcombination] while the maximum days to 1stfloweringand 50% flowering was recorded in D₂T₀ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and control combination].

In yield parameters (number of clusters per plant, number of fruits per plant, fruit yield per plot (kg) and seed yield per plot (g)) of tomato crop the treatment combination D₂T₃ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] shown maximum performance in all the yield parameters and it was followed by D₂T₇ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and Chlorpyriphos + mancozebcombination] and the least performance was given by D₁T₀ [25th Nov-26 days old seedlings and control combination] while compared with the other treatment combinations. In seed quality parameters (germination (%), root length, shoot length, seedling length, seedling dry weight, vigour index-I and vigour index-II) of tomato seeds harvested from different transplanting dates and different seed treatment combinations. The seeds harvested from the treatment combination D₂T₃ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] shown maximum performance in all the seed quality parameters and it was followed by D₂T₇ [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and Chlorpyriphos + mancozebcombination] and the least performance was given by seeds harvested from D₁T₀ [25th Nov-26 days old seedlings and control combination] while compared with the other treatment combinations.

Table 1: Mean performance of plant height, days to 1st flowering, days to 50% flowering in tomato crop during rabi-2018.

Treatments	Pla	ınt height ((cm)	Days to 1st flowering			Days to 50% flowering		
	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3
T0	64.96	76.36	69.7	73	73.66	70.33	88.33	88.6	85.33
T1	66.13	79.2	72.53	71.66	65.33	68.66	87.3	80	83
T2	67	77.86	74.4	68.66	66.66	67.66	86.3	81.66	82.66
T3	75.23	85.56	85.33	67	62.33	60.66	82	77.33	76.33
T4	70.16	77.9	77.6	71	69.33	64	83.66	84.33	79.66
T5	69.86	80.5	73.73	70.66	70.33	64.66	85.6	85.66	78.66
T6	71.66	79.53	75.33	70.33	64	64.66	85.3	78.33	79.33
T7	73.76	81.73	81.66	68.66	63.33	62.33	83.3	78.33	77.33
T8	72.3	78.4	73.36	72.33	64.66	65.33	87.3	79.66	80.33
Mean	70.122	78.789	76.848	70.36	66.62	65.36	85.48	81.55	80.29
C.D	0.734	1.272	1.12	0.431	0.747	0.982	0.372	0.644	0.629
S.E d	0.365	0.632	0.557	0.214	0.371	0.489	0.185	0.32	0.313
S.E m	0.258	0.447	0.394	0.152	0.263	0.346	0.131	0.226	0.222
Interaction TxD	Plant height			Days to 1st flowering			Days to 50% flowering		
C.D	2.203			1.294			1.116		
S.E d	1.095			0.643			0.555		
S.E m		0.774			0.455			0.392	

Table 2: Mean performance of number of primary branches, number of clusters per plant number of fruits per plant in tomato crop during rabi-2018.

Treatments	Number	of primary	branches	Number	of clusters	per plant	Number of fruits per plant		
	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3
T0	4.3	5.6	6	10.66	12.6	12.1	34	38.6	38
T1	5	7	7	11.66	15	14.6	37	54.6	52.3
T2	4.6	7	6	10.66	13.6	13.6	46.6	44	44.3
T3	6	9.6	8	14.66	17.66	17.3	62	71.6	62.3
T4	5.6	6.3	6.3	13.33	13	14.3	45.3	45.3	57
T5	5.3	7	7.3	13	16	14.6	40	56.3	52
T6	5	7.3	6.6	13	15.66	12.6	53	66.6	51
T7	5.6	8	7.8	14.3	16.33	16	50	63.3	62
T8	5.3	6.3	6.2	14	15	12.2	43	46.6	42
Mean	5.22	7.14	6.81	12.81	14.99	14.18	45.6	54.14	51.22
C.D	0.56	0.97	0.92	0.465	0.806	0.654	1.44	2.506	1.589
S.E d	0.278	0.482	0.459	0.231	0.4	0.325	0.718	1.246	0.790
S.E m	0.197	0.341	0.327	0.163	0.283	0.232	0.508	0.881	0.564
Interaction T x D	No. of primary branches		No. of clusters per plant			No. of fruits per plant			
C.D	1.68			1.396			4.341		
S.E d	0.835			0.69			2.157		
S.E m		0.59		0.49				1.525	

Table 3: Mean performance of fruit yield per plot, seed yield per plot in tomato crop during rabi-2018.

Treatments	Fruit y	ield per plo	ot (kg)	Seed y	vield per p	olot (g)
Treatments	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3
T0	6.36	10.23	6.93	1.847	2.807	3.107
T1	6.43	11.23	7.16	2.02	4.55	3.83
T2	6.4	10.93	7.36	1.89	4.39	3.62
T3	9.96	13.43	13.1	3.17	5.36	4.92
T4	8.03	10.9	10.73	2.88	3.11	2.80
T5	7.93	12.2	8.36	2.83	4.23	3.45
T6	8.1	12.46	8.53	2.60	4.33	3.42
T7	9.73	12.83	12.46	3.07	4.84	4.55
T8	9.13	11.5	8.13	2.77	4.55	3.37
Mean	8.01	11.74	9.19	2.56	4.24	3.67
C.D	0.203	0.352	0.609	0.112	0.211	0.190
S.E d	0.101	0.175	0.303	0.061	0.105	0.094
S.E m	0.071	0.124	0.214	0.043	0.074	0.067
Interaction TxD	Fruit y	ield per plo	t (kg)	Seed yield per plant (g)		
C.D		0.609		0.366		
S.E d		0.303		0.182		
S.E m		0.214		0.129		

Table 4: Mean performance of germination (%), root length, shoot length parameters of a tomato seeds harvested from different transplanting dates and seed treatment combinations.

Treatments	Germination (%)			Root length (cm)			Shoot length (cm)		
Traillellis	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3
T0	60	63	61.5	6.1	6.2	7.6	9.03	9.13	9.25
T1	70.6	73.6	67	6.9	8.1	6.6	9.23	10.93	9.7
T2	69.3	73	66.3	7.6	7.2	6.4	9.7	9.76	9.8
T3	78.3	80.3	76.6	8.06	8.3	7.8	10.9	11.73	10.93
T4	67.3	73.6	70.6	6.6	7.8	7.3	9.7	10.26	9.43
T5	73.6	67.6	66.6	7.2	8.03	7.1	10.2	10.76	9.73
T6	63	67	67.3	7.3	7.86	6.9	9.4	10.93	10.5
T7	74.6	76.6	70.6	7.9	8.06	7.8	10.4	11.13	10.26
T8	67.3	75	65.3	7.4	8	7.5	9.4	10.6	9.43
Mean	69.37	70.74	69.33	7.26	7.73	7.25	9.78	10.59	9.89
C.D	3.260	3.979	2.927	0.225	0.39	0.516	0.246	0.426	0.319
S.E d	1.622	1.986	1.456	0.112	0.194	0.257	0.122	0.212	0.159
S.E m	1.147	1.404	1.03	0.079	0.137	0.183	0.086	0.15	0.113
Interaction T x D	Germination (%)			Root length (cm)			Shoot length (cm)		
C.D	6.923			0.676			0.737		
S.E d	3.44			0.336			0.366		
S.E m		2.43			0.238	•		0.259	•

Table 5: Mean performance of seedling length, seedling dry weight of a tomato seeds harvested from different transplanting dates and seed treatment combinations

Treatments	Seed	lling length	(cm)	Seedlii	ng dry weight (mg)			
Treatments	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3		
T0	15.23	15.3	16.8	2.96	2.98	3.09		
T1	16	19.03	16.4	3.45	3.81	3.28		
T2	17.36	17	16.2	3.28	3.15	3.10		
T3	18.99	20.1	18.79	4.07	4.32	3.71		
T4	16.39	18.06	16.73	3.09	3.45	3.04		
T5	17.46	18.8	16.86	3.49	3.7	3.49		
T6	16.73	18.79	17.46	3.047	3.96	3.45		
T7	18.36	19.19	18.06	3.96	4.22	3.45		
T8	16.86	18.66	16.93	3.67	3.45	3.14		
Mean	17.43	18.30	17.14	3.448	3.67	3.309		
C.D	0.451	0.247	0.56	0.368	0.302	0.735		
S.E d	0.224	0.388	0.278	0.183	0.15	0.366		
S.E m	0.158	0.274	0.197	0.130	0.106	0.259		
Interaction TxD	Seed	lling length	(cm)	Seedling dry weight (mg)				
C.D		1.353	•	0.524				
S.E d		0.672	•	0.26				
S.E m		0.475	•	0.184				

Table 6: Mean performance of vigour index-I and vigour index-II of a tomato seeds harvested from different transplanting dates and seed treatment combinations.

Tucatmanta	V	igour index-	Viş	gour index	:-II	
Treatments	D1	D2	D3	D1	D2	D3
T0	913.98	965.98	1012.9	177.6	186.48	185.82
T1	1130.6	1401.83	1098.8	244.01	281.18	219.76
T2	1203.62	1241	1074.5	227.41	230.46	206.09
T3	1487.54	1614.69	1440.98	318.81	347.60	284.40
T4	1103.79	1330.9	1182.47	208.53	254.15	215.32
T5	1286.74	1272.1	1124.41	257.31	250.36	233.13
T6	1054.18	1258.9	1175.63	191.96	265.32	232.29
T7	1370.76	1471.11	1276.74	295.65	323.50	244.01
T8	1135.44	1400	1106.28	247.11	258.75	205.60
Mean	1187.41	1328.52	1165.88	240.93	266.42	225.16
C.D	66.56	62.145	89.324	13.726	23.774	22.364
S.E d	33.057	30.91	44.43	6.821	11.814	11.126
S.E m	23.388	22.08	31.51	4.823	8.354	7.947
Interaction T x D	V	igour index-	Vigour index-II			
C.D		199.68	41.178			
S.E d		99.225	20.462			
S.E m		70.163	14.469			

Conclusion

Based on experiment conducted the treatment combination D_2T_3 [5th Dec-36 days old seedlings and captan + imidacloprid + cypermethrin combination] is recommended as the best treatment combination for obtaining the better growth, yield and quality seed in tomato crop.

References

- Hamma IL, Ibrahim U, Haruna M. Effect of planting date and spacing on the growth and yield of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in samara area of Zaria in Nigeria. Nigerian J Agric. Food and Env. 2012; 8:63-66
- 2. Hossain MM, Karim MM, Haque MM, Hossain AMA. Performance of some tomato lines planted at different dates. Bangladesh Hort. 1986; 14(1):25-28.
- 3. Ahammad KU, Siddiky MA, Ali Z, Ahmed R. Effect of planting time on the growth and yield of tomato varieties in late season. Progress. Agric. 2009; 20(2):73-78.
- Kadam DD, Deore BP. Influence of planting dates on the yield and fruit characters of tomato Journal Maharashtra Agriculture University. 1990; 15(2):264-265
- 5. Singh R, Asrey R, Satyendra K. Effect of transplanting time and mulching on growth and yield of tomato. Indian J Hort., 2005; 62:4