



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
 ISSN Online: 2394-5869
 Impact Factor: 8.4
 IJAR 2021; 7(8): 232-240
 www.allresearchjournal.com
 Received: 03-06-2021
 Accepted: 06-07-2021

M Malathi
 Assistant Professor, PG
 Department of Mathematics,
 Saradha Gangadharan College,
 Puducherry, India

J Ravi Sankar
 Assistant Professor (Senior),
 School of Advanced Sciences,
 VIT University, Vellore, Tamil
 Nadu, India

Corresponding Author:
M Malathi
 Assistant Professor, PG
 Department of Mathematics,
 Saradha Gangadharan College,
 Puducherry, India

The planarity of bipartite graphs in R

M Malathi and J Ravi Sankar

Abstract

Let R be a commutative ring and let $Z(R)$ be its set of zero-divisors. We associate a graph $\Gamma(R)$ to R with vertices $Z(R)^* = Z(R) - \{0\}$, the set of non-zero zero divisors of R and for distinct $u, v \in Z(R)^*$, the vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if $uv = 0$. In this paper, we evaluate the consistency of rectilinear crossing number of complete bipartite zero divisor graphs, in which the transformation of a non-planar graph into a planar graph is obtained by framing formula using removal of edges and removal of crossings.

Keywords: Rectilinear crossing number, planar graph, zero divisor graph

Introduction

A graph which can be drawn in the plane in such a way that edges meet only at points corresponding to their common ends is called a *Planar* graph, and such a drawing is called a *Planar embedding* of the graph. Let G be a graph drawn in the plane with the requirement that the edges are line segments, no three vertices are collinear, and no three edges may intersect in a point, unless the point is a vertex. Such a drawing is said to be a *Rectilinear drawing* of G . The rectilinear crossing number of G , denoted $cr(G)$, is the fewest number of edge crossings attainable over all rectilinear drawings of G [3]. Any such a drawing is called optimal. The idea of a zero divisor graph of a commutative ring was introduced by I. Beck in [1]. The zero divisor graph is very useful to find the algebraic structures and properties of rings. We mainly focus on D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston's zero divisor graphs [2].

Basic Definitions

Definition - 1

If a and b are two non-zero elements of a ring Z_n such that $a.b = 0$, then ' a ' and ' b ' are the *Zero divisors* of commutative ring Z_n .

Definition - 2

If a graph $G' = (V, E')$ is a *maximum planar subgraph* of a graph $G = (V, E)$ such that there is no planar subgraph $G'' = (V, E'')$ of G with $|E''| > |E'|$, then G' is called a maximum planar subgraph of G .

The Planarity of Complete Bipartite graphs

Theorem - 1

If p and q are distinct prime numbers with $q > p$, then,

$$\bar{cr}(\Gamma(Z_{pq})) = (p-1)(p-3)(q-1)(q-3)/16$$
 [10].

Theorem - 2

If p and q are distinct prime numbers with $q > p$, then, the consistency of Rectilinear crossing of $\bar{cr}(\Gamma(Z_{pq}))$,

(i) When removing the edges, the edge planarity is,

$$P[E(\Gamma(Z_{pq}))] = pq - 3(p+q) + 9$$

$$= \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{p-3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-3}{2}\right) - \frac{(p-1)(p-3)}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{q-3}{2}} n$$

The Planarity of Bipartite graphs

Theorem-3:

For any graph, $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ where $p = 2$; $q = 3$ and $r > 3$ then $\bar{cr}(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = cr(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) + (r - 1)/2$. [9,10]

Theorem-4:

For any graph $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$, $p=2, q=3$ and $r > 3$, the consistency of Rectilinear crossing of $\bar{cr}(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))$,

(i) When removing the edges, the edge planarity is,

$$P[E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = \frac{pq}{2}(r-3) + (p-1)$$

(ii) When removing the edges involved in crossings then, $P[\bar{cr}(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$

$$= n[E[\bar{cr}(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]] - n[Pair[\bar{cr}(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]] \\ = (r-1)(r-2) - [(r-1)(r-4) + (r-1) + (r-1)]$$

Proof

The vertex set of $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ is $V(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \{p, 2p, \dots, q(pr-1), r, 2r, \dots, 5r\}$. Then $|V(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))| = 2p(r-1) + (pq-1)$

As $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ is a bipartite graph [6] and can be decomposed as $K_{p-1, q-1} + K_{p-1, r-1} + K_{p-1, 2(r-1)} + K_{q-1, 2(r-1)} + K_{q-1, r-1}$.

The Rectilinear drawing of $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ follows from theorem 4.

The edge set $E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))$ can be obtained from the following splitted vertex sets. Let

$$V_1 = \{r, 2r, \dots, (p-1)r\}, V_2 = \{q, 3q, \dots, q(pr-1)\}$$

$$V_3 = \{p, 2p, 4p, 7p, \dots, q(pr-1)\}, V_4 = \{pq, 2pq, \dots, (r-1)pq\}$$

$$E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (3r, p), (3r, 2p), \dots (3r, p(qr-1)) \\ (3r, pq), (3r, 2pq), \dots (3r, (r-1)pq) \\ (3r, 2r), (3r, 4r) \\ (2r, q), (2r, 3q), \dots (2r, q(pr-1)) \\ (2r, pq), (2r, 2pq), \dots (2r, (r-1)pq) \\ (4r, pq), \dots (4r, (r-1)pq) \\ (4r, q), \dots (4r, q(pr-1)) \\ (r, pq), \dots (r, (r-1)pq) \\ (5r, pq), \dots (5r, (r-1)pq) \end{array} \right\}$$

Then the edge set of $E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))$ can be splitted for convenience as follows.

$$E_1(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \{(3r, 4r)\}$$

$$E_2(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \{(3r, p), \dots (3r, p(qr-1))\}$$

$$E_3(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \{(3r, 2r)\}$$

$$E_4(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (3r, pq), \dots (3r, (r-1)pq) \\ (r, pq), \dots (r, (r-1)pq) \\ (5r, pq), \dots (5r, (r-1)pq) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$E_5(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4$$

To find the complete planarity of $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ and thereby finding the consistency of the graph :

(i) By removal of edges

(ii) By removal of crossings

The complete planarity of $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ by removal of edges:

Let the complete planar graph, after the removal of edges is denoted by $P[E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$. The total number of edges in $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ is denoted by $n[E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = q^2(r-1) + p$. The number of edges that are involved in Rectilinear crossings in $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ are denoted by $n[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$ and $n[E_4(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$ and are equal to $(p-1)$ and $\frac{pq}{2}(r-3)$ respectively. The number of edges that are not involved in Rectilinear crossings are denoted and are represented by $n[E_1(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = 1 = (p-1)$, $n[E_2(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = 2(r-1)$, $n[E_5(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = q^2(r-1) + p - 1 - 2(r-1) - 1 - \frac{pq}{2}(r-3)$. Therefore $P[E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$

$$= n[E_1(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] - n[E_2(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] - n[E_5(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$$

$$= q^2(r-1) + p(p-1) - 2(r-1) - q^2(r-1) + 2(r-1) + \frac{pq}{2}(r-3) = \frac{pq}{2}(r-3) + 1$$

Therefore removing $\frac{pq}{2}(r-3) + 1$ edges from $E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))$, a complete planar graph is obtained.

The complete planarity of $\Gamma(Z_{pqr})$ by removal of crossings

The crossings between every two edges involve pair of crossing. So we make the calculation simple by defining Pair-crossing matrix denoted by $Pair[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$. Now we find the rectilinear crossing between the vertices in the vertex sets V_1 and $V_2 \cup V_4 = V_1'$ (say). Therefore the vertices in the vertex set, will be as follows.

$$V_1' = \{p, 2p, \dots, p(qr-1), q, 2q, \dots, q(pr-1)\}$$

So we proceed by finding the crossings between V_1 and V_1' denoted by $Pair \bar{c}r(V_1, V_1')$ and defined as,

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} \overline{(p, r)} & \dots & \overline{(p(qr-1), r)} & \overline{(q, r)} & \overline{(2q, r)} & \overline{(q(pr-1), r)} \\ \overline{(p, 2r)} & \dots & \overline{(p(qr-1), 2r)} & \overline{(q, 2r)} & \overline{(2q, 2r)} & \overline{(q(pr-1), 2r)} \\ \overline{(3r, p)} & \dots & \overline{(3r, p(qr-1))} & \overline{(q, 3r)} & \overline{(2q, 3r)} & \overline{(q(pr-1), 3r)} \\ \overline{(p, 4r)} & \dots & \overline{(p(qr-1), 4r)} & \overline{(q, 4r)} & \overline{(2q, 4r)} & \overline{(q(pr-1), 4r)} \\ \overline{(p, 5r)} & \dots & \overline{(p(qr-1), 5r)} & \overline{(q, 5r)} & \overline{(2q, 5r)} & \overline{(q(pr-1), 5r)} \end{array} \right] + \bar{c}r(3r, 2r)$$

where the edges with bar represents that the vertices are non-adjacent. So we find the rectilinear crossings of the remaining edges. Now splitting the edge set $E_4(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))$ for convenience as follows.

$$E_4'(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \{(3r, pq), \dots, (3r, (r-1)pq)\}$$

$$\{(r, pq), \dots, (r, (r-1)pq)\}$$

$$E_4''(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})) = \{(5r, pq), \dots, (5r, (r-1)pq)\}$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_4'(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = (r-1)(r-4)$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_4''(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = (r-1)$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))] = (r-1)$$

Combining we get,

$$n[Pair \bar{c}r(V_1, V_1')] = (r-1)(r-4) + (r-1) + (r-1)$$

$$= n[Pair \bar{c}r(E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})))]$$

Since from theorem 3, total edge crossings is,

$$n[\bar{c}r(E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})))] = (r-1)(r-2)$$

Removing $n[Pair \bar{c}r(E(\Gamma(Z_{pqr})))]$ we get the complete planarity as $P[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}))]$

$$= n \left[E \left[\bar{c}r \left(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}) \right) \right] \right] - n \left[Pair \left[\bar{c}r \left(\Gamma(Z_{pqr}) \right) \right] \right]$$

So let us prove this by induction on p, q and r, where $p < q < r$. Now consider for the case $p = 2, q = 3$ and $r > q$

Case (i): Let $p = 2, q = 3$

Subcase (i): Let $r = 5$

The vertex set of $\Gamma(Z_{30})$ is,

$$V(\Gamma(Z_{30})) = \{2,4, \dots, 28, 3,9, \dots, 27, 5,10, \dots, 25\}. \text{ Let } V_1 = \{5,10,15,20,25\}, V_2 = \{3,9,21,27\}, V_3 = \{2,4,8, \dots, 28\}$$

and $V_4 = \{6,12,18,24\}$. The edge set of $\Gamma(Z_{30})$ is

$$E(\Gamma(Z_{30})) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (5,6) \dots (5,24), (10,3) \dots (10,27), (10,6), \dots (10,24) \\ (15,2) \dots (15,28), (15,6) \dots (15,24), (15,10), (15,20) \\ (20,3) \dots (20,27), (20,6) \dots (20,24), (25,6), \dots (25,24) \end{array} \right\}$$

The complete planarity of $\Gamma(Z_{30})$ by removal of edges:

$$n[E(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = 38 = q^2(r - 1),$$

$$n[E_1(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = \{(15,20)\} = 1 = (p - 1)$$

$$n[E_2(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = \{(15,2), \dots (15,28)\} = 8 = 2(r - 1)$$

$$n[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = \{(15,10)\} = 1 = (p - 1)$$

$$n[E_4(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = \{(5,6), \dots (5,24), (15,6), \dots (15,24), (25,6), \dots (25,24)\}$$

$$= 6 = \frac{pq}{3}(r - 3)$$

$$n[E_5(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = n[E(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] - n[E_1(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] - n[E_2(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] - n[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] - n[E_4(\Gamma(Z_{30}))]$$

$$= 22 = q^2(r - 1) - 2(r - 1) - \frac{pq}{2}(r - 3)$$

where $n[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{30}))]$ and $n[E_4(\Gamma(Z_{30}))]$ represents number of edges that are involved in crossings and the remaining represents the number of edges that are not involved in crossings. Therefore $P[E(\Gamma(Z_{30}))]$

$$= n[E(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] - n[E_1(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] - n[E_2(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] - n[E_5(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = 38 - (22 + 8 + 1) = 7 = \frac{pq}{2}(r - 3)$$

Therefore by removing 7 edges the graph $\Gamma(Z_{30})$ becomes planar.

The complete planarity of $\Gamma(Z_{30})$ by removal of crossings

Pair cross matrix of $\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{30}))$ is obtained from the rectilinear crossing between the vertex sets V_1 and $V_2 \cup V_4 = V_1'$ (say).

$$Pair[\bar{c}r(V_1, V_1')] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \bar{c}r(3r, 2r)$$

Now splitting the edge set $E_4(\Gamma(Z_{30}))$ for convenience as follows. $E_4'(\Gamma(Z_{30})) = \{(15,6), \dots (15,24), (5,6), \dots (5,24)\}$

$$E_4''(\Gamma(Z_{30})) = \{(25,6), \dots (25,24)\}$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_4'(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = (4)(1) = (5 - 1)(5 - 4) = (r - 1)(r - 4)$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_4''(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = 4 = (5 - 1) = (r - 1)$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{30}))] = \bar{c}r(15,10) = 4 = (r - 1)$$

$$\text{Combining we get, } n[Pair[\bar{c}r(V_1, V_1')]] = 12 = 4 + 4 + 4$$

$$= (r - 1)(r - 4) + (r - 1) + (r - 1) = n[Pair[\bar{c}r(E(\Gamma(Z_{30})))]]$$

From theorem 3 the rectilinear crossing number of $\Gamma(Z_{30})$ is

$$\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{30})) = 12 = (r - 1)(r - 2)$$

Removing $n[Pair[\bar{c}r(E(\Gamma(Z_{30})))]]$, we get the complete planarity. That is, $P[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{30}))]$

$$= n[E[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{30}))]] - n[Pair[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{30}))]]$$

$$= 0 = 12 - 12 = 4(3) - (4 + 4 + 4)$$

$$(r - 1)(r - 2) - [(r - 1)(r - 4) + (r - 1) + (r - 1)]$$

Subcase (ii): Let $r = 7$
 The vertex set of $\Gamma(Z_{42})$ is,

$V(\Gamma(Z_{42})) = \{2, 4, \dots, 40, 3, 6, 9, \dots, 39, 7, 14, \dots, 35\}$. Let $V_1 = \{7, 14, \dots, 35\}$, $V_2 = \{3, 9, 15, 27, 33, 39\}$, $V_3 = \{2, 4, 8, \dots, 40\}$
 and $V_4 = \{6, 12, \dots, 36\}$. The edge set of $\Gamma(Z_{42})$ is

$$E(\Gamma(Z_{42})) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (7,6) \dots (7,36), (14,3) \dots (14,39), (14,6), \dots (14,36) \\ (21,6) \dots (21,24), (21,14)(21,28) \\ (28,3) \dots (28,39), (28,6) \dots (28,36), (35,6), \dots (35,36) \end{array} \right\}$$

The complete planarity of $\Gamma(Z_{30})$ by removal of edges

$$\begin{aligned} n[E(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] &= 56 = q^2(r - 1) \\ n[E_1(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] &= \{(21,28)\} = 1 = (p - 1) \\ n[E_2(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] &= \{(21,2), \dots (21,40)\} = 12 = 2(r - 1) \\ n[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] &= \{(21,14)\} = 1 = (p - 1) \\ n[E_4(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] &= \{(21,6), \dots (21,42), (7,6), \dots (7,42), (35,6), \dots (35,42)\} \\ &= 30 = q^2(r - 1) - 2(r - 1) - \frac{pq}{2}(r - 3) \end{aligned}$$

where $n[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{42}))]$ and $n[E_4(\Gamma(Z_{42}))]$ represents number of edges that are involved in crossings and the remaining represents the number of edges that are not involved in crossings. Therefore $P[E(\Gamma(Z_{42}))]$

$$= n[E(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] - n[E_1(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] - n[E_2(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] - n[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] - n[E_4(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] = 56 - (1 + 12 + 1) = 42 = \frac{pq}{2}(r - 3)$$

Therefore by removing 13 edges the graph $\Gamma(Z_{42})$ becomes planar.

The complete planarity of $\Gamma(Z_{42})$ by removal of crossings:

Pair cross matrix of $\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{42}))$ is obtained from the rectilinear crossing between the vertex sets V_1 and $V_2 \cup V_4 = V_1'$ (say).

$$Pair[\bar{c}r(V_1, V_1')] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \bar{c}r(3r, 2r)$$

Now splitting the edge set $E_4(\Gamma(Z_{42}))$ for convenience as follows. $E_4'(\Gamma(Z_{42})) = \{(21,6), \dots (21,42), (7,6), \dots (7,42)\}$

$E_4''(\Gamma(Z_{42})) = \{(35,6), \dots (35,42)\}$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_4'(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] = 18 = (6)(3) = (7 - 1)(7 - 4) = (r - 1)(r - 4)$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_4''(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] = 6 = (7 - 1) = (r - 1)$$

$$Pair \bar{c}r[E_3(\Gamma(Z_{42}))] = \bar{c}r(21,14) = 6 = (r - 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Combining we get, } n[Pair[\bar{c}r(V_1, V_1')]] &= 30 = 18 + 6 + 6 \\ &= (r - 1)(r - 4) + (r - 1) + (r - 1) = n[Pair[\bar{c}r(E(\Gamma(Z_{42})))] \end{aligned}$$

From theorem 3 the rectilinear crossing number of $\Gamma(Z_{42})$ is

$$\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{42})) = 30 = (r - 1)(r - 2)$$

Removing $n[Pair[\bar{c}r(E(\Gamma(Z_{42})))]$, we get the complete planarity. That is, $P[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{42}))]$

$$\begin{aligned} &= n[E[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{42}))]] - n[Pair[\bar{c}r(\Gamma(Z_{42}))]] \\ &= 0 = 30 - 30 = 4(3) - (4 + 4 + 4) \\ &= (r - 1)(r - 2) - [(r - 1)(r - 4) + (r - 1) + (r - 1)] \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion

In this paper we find a maximum planar subgraph from a complete bipartite graphs, especially for zero divisor graphs in any rectilinear drawing of G. Note that for any zero divisor graph $\Gamma(Z_{pq})$, we get a complete bipartite graph $K_{p-1, q-1}$ where p and q are primes. Therefore a complete bipartite graph is formed with even number of vertices and we suggest any bipartite or complete bipartite graph can be made into a maximum planar graph with odd number of vertices for future work. We infer from the above formulae that the removal of edges involved in crossings leading to a planar graph can be applied in any

networks, oil pipelines or diodes in a transistor. Suppose there arise a situation to remove any connections that crosses the network or disturbs in transmitting signals, so that the network becomes a complete planar one, without disturbing any nodes or vertices.

References

1. Beck I. Colouring of Commutative Rings, J. Algebra 1988;116:208-226.
2. Anderson DF, Livingston PS. The zero- divisor graph of a commutative ring, J. Algebra 1999;217:434-447.
3. Bienstock D, Dean N. Bounds for Rectilinear Crossing Numbers, Journal of Graph theory 1993;17(3):333-348
4. Guy RK. The decline and fall of Zarankiewicz's Theorem, Proof Techniques in Graph Theory, F. Harary, ed. Academic Press, New York 1969, 63 - 69.
5. Jensen HF. An Upper Bound for the Rectilinear Crossing Number of the complete graph, Journal of combinatorial Theory, Series B, 1971;10:212-216.
6. Ravi Sankar J, Meena S. Connected domination number of a commutative ring, International Journal of Mathematics Research 2013;5(1):5-11.
7. Ravi Sankar J, Meena S. Changing and unchanging domination number of a commutative ring, International Journal of Algebra 2012;6:1343-1352.
8. Ravi Sankar J, Sankeetha S, Vasanthakumari R, Meena S. Crossing number of Zero- Divisor Graph, International Journal of Algebra 2012;6(32):1499-1505.
9. Zarankiewicz K. *On a problem of P. Tur'an concerning graphs*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 1954;41:137-145.
10. Malathi M, Sankeetha S, Ravi Sankar J, Meena S. Rectilinear Crossing number of a Zero- Divisor Graph, international mathematical forum 2013;8(12):583-589.