International Journal of Applied Research 2022; 8(12): 104-107



International Journal of Applied Research

ISSN Print: 2394-7500 ISSN Online: 2394-5869 Impact Factor: 8.4 IJAR 2022; 8(12): 104-107 www.allresearchjournal.com Received: 16-10-2022 Accepted: 20-11-2022

Hafsa Haleem

Department of Zoology, Sarojini Naidu Govt. Girls P.G. (Auto) College Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Mukesh Dixit

Professor, Department of Zoology, Sarojini Naidu Govt. Girls P.G. (Auto) College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Khair un Nissa

Department of Zoology, Sarojini Naidu Govt. Girls P.G. (Auto) College Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Biomonitoring as a tool in assessing the water quality of Ghodha Pachad Dam (district Bhopal, M.P.) during summer season

Hafsa Haleem, Mukesh Dixit and Khair un Nissa

DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/allresearch.2022.v8.i12b.10377

Abstract

Macrozoobenthos comprise an important group of aqua fauna by way of their contribution to ecosystem stability, besides acting as potential bio indicators of trophic status. Numerous plants and animals that have benthic aquatic and terrestrial components-which are essential for life-find habitat in rivers. The Ghodha Pachad Dam, which is found in the Bhopal district's Huzur tehsil, was the subject of the current study. Rainwater is the primary source of water for this dam. In this investigation, two sampling locations were used. To determine the Ghodha Pachad Dam's level of contamination, samples were taken and examined. The study's objective was to evaluate the water quality using Macrozoobenthos. Utilizing the BMWP and ASPT Scoring Index as well as physicochemical characteristics recommended by APHA, the water quality was evaluated. A total of 21 species of macrozoobenthos were observed in Ghodha Pachad Dam belonging to three phyla *viz.*, phylum Arthropoda, phylum Mollusca and phylum Annelida BMWP and ASPT scores, combined with biological and physico-chemical characteristics of the water, can be used to correlate the level of contamination at the dam.

Keywords: Ghodha Pachad Dam, macrozoobenthos, bio indicators, BMWP scores, ASPT scores

Introduction

Benthic macroinvertebrates are aquatic ecosystem organisms that live on the bottom of bodies of water. Their make-up, abundance, and pattern of distribution serve as an ecosystem index, revealing the trophic structure, water quality, and degree of eutrophication of the environment (Mehdi et al., 2005) [8] As the invertebrate community shifts in response to changes in physicochemical parameters and available habitats, macro-invertebrates are utilised as markers of pollution (Sharma and Chowdhary, 2011) [13]. Since it allows for the inexpensive examination of a large number of locations, the method for evaluating the biotic communities of surface water has gained widespread use in recent years (Rosenberg and Resh, 1993) [11]. These water bodies operate as reservoirs for organic waste because of anthropogenic pressure and growing urbanization (Pani and Misra, 2000) [10]. Benthic organisms can be utilised as a bioindicator to access the water quality since benthic organisms are studied for monitoring and analysing water quality through the process of biomonitoring. The condition of physicochemical parameters characterising the quality of water in any given water body can be predicted by the presence or absence of macrozoobenthos. The presence of highly tolerant species implies poor water quality while the abundance of families of highly intolerant species suggests good water quality.

Material and Method

Study area

Samples were collected from two selected sites *viz* Mazar and Kokta of Ghodha Pachad Dam in the summer season, 2021. Its coordinates are 23° 16′ 0″ N 77 ° 31′ 15″ E.

Methods

The sampling was done by using net of mesh size (500 m) for collecting the macro-invertebrates. The sampling area's large, pickable boulders and cobbles were all removed, and the gathered organisms were properly hand-washed. Finally, the substrate with smaller pebbles was agitated three to four times, resulting in the collection of the creatures into the net.

Corresponding Author: Hafsa Haleem

Department of Zoology, Sarojini Naidu Govt. Girls P.G. (Auto) College Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India The organisms were cautiously removed from the net and then immediately preserved in 70% ethanol for additional identification. In non-reactive Borosilicate glass vials that had been sterilised and dried, samples were gathered for biological analysis. The samples were obtained in plastic containers and analyzed for physicochemical parameters using standard methods suggested by American Public Health Association APHA (1998) [3]. With the aid of Needham, Needham, and Trivedy's identification keys, the biological assessment was completed.

Result and Discussion

Physico chemical parameters: The present study was carried out in Summer season (2021). The physico-chemical characteristics of the water were examined in accordance with APHA (1998) [3] and ADONI (1985) [2].

Table 1: Physicochemical parameters of summer season (2021)

| Physico chemical Parameters | Site Ist (Mazar) | Site 2nd (Kokta) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Air temp (°C) | 34 | 35 |
| Water temp (°C) | 27.5 | 28 |
| Ph(Units) | 8.5 | 8.3 |
| Transparency | 66 | 53 |
| Conductivity(µS/cm) | 140 | 190 |
| Total Dissolved Solids(mg/l) | 99 | 119 |
| Total Alkalinity(mg/l) | 96 | 126 |
| Total Hardness(mg/l) | 85 | 120 |
| Nitrate(mg/l) | 0.22 | 0.37 |
| Phosphate(mg/l) | 0.0076 | 0.01 |
| Chloride(mg/l) | 26 | 32 |
| Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) | 5.9 | 3.8 |
| Biological Oxygen Demand(mg/l) | 1.2 | 4.6 |

Changes in physical and chemical properties can have an impact on the biological diversity of water bodies (Khan and Ganaie, 2014) ^[7]. In the current inquiry, site 1 had the highest pH value of 8.5 and site 2 had the lowest pH value of 8.3. Additionally, pH levels between 8.3 and 8.5 were recorded by Adhikari (2003) ^[1], indicating that the water body is productive in nature, which is good for fish survival and food growth. High pH values on the alkaline side were

reported by Wanganoo (1984) [16] to increase the rate of photosynthetic activity. Site I (Mazar) recorded relatively high value of D.O compared to site II (Kokta). At site 1 and site 2, respectively, the total hardness was 85 mg/l and 120 mg/l. According to Yousuf et al. (2006) [8], anthropogenic activities appeared to have an impact on the water's hardness. In aquatic ecosystems, temperature is crucial because it controls the biological processes that occur there. The range of the water's temperature was 27.5 to 28 degrees Celsius. According to Das et al., (2008) [5], the water temperature in Halali reservoirs ranged from 19.7 °C to 29.5 °C. At site I of the current investigation, the air temperature was 34 °C, while site II was having 35 °C. In North Bihar's Ganga River, Sandwar and Tiwari (2006) [12] noted an air temperature range of 22.7 °C to 37.5 °C. Site I had the highest transparency, 66, while site II had the lowest, 53. The sites with the highest and lowest conductivities, respectively, were second site (190 S/cm) and first site (140 S/cm). The characteristics of the water body's catchment area affected the conductivity of the water. According to Grey (2004) [6], rising urban and agricultural land runoff into the water was to blame for the increase in conductivity toward the downstream. The sites with the greatest and lowest values of DO were site Ist and 2nd, respectively. B.O.D values ranged from 1.2 mg/l at site 1 to 4.6 mg/l at site 2, with site 2 recording the highest values. The biotic elements of the water body are directly impacted by these differences in the physicochemical parameters.

Biological data

The total number of genera observed in the current survey was 21, and they belonged to 19 families and 11 orders. (Table 2). With the aid of the keys from Trivedy (1995) [14] and Needham, Needham (1988) [9], identification was completed. At site 1, a total of 14 families were found, including 11 families from the phylum Arthropoda, 3 families belonging to the order Mollusca, and no families from the genus Annelida. The Arthropoda subphylum contained the dominant species. Site 2nd reported a total of 12 families under 10 orders and 13 genera, of which 8 families are members of the phylum Arthropoda, 3 families are members of the phylum Mollusca, and 1 family is a member of the phylum Annelida.

Table 2: Macrozoobenthic invertebrates recorded during Summer season (2021)

| S. No. | Taxa | Site Ist(Mazar) | Site 2nd (Kokta) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Phylum:Mollusca | | | |
| Class: Gastropoda | | | |
| Order: Mesogastropoda | | | |
| Family: Viviparidae | | | |
| 1 | Bellamya bengalensis | + | + |
| 2 | Bellamya dissimilis | - | + |
| 3 | Viviparus contectus | + | - |
| Family: Thiaridae | | | |
| 4 | Thiara tuberculata | + | - |
| Order: Littorimorpha | | | |
| Family: Bithynidae | | | |
| 5 | Bithynea tenticulata | - | + |
| Order: Basomatophora | | | |
| Family: Lymnaeidae | | | |
| 6 | Lymnaea sp. | - | + |
| Class: Bivalvia | | | |
| Order: Unionida | | | |
| Family: Unionidae | | | |
| 7 | Unio tigridis | + | - |

| | | T | |
|---------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Phylum: Arthropoda | | | |
| Class: Insecta | | | |
| Order: Ephemeroptera | | | |
| Family: Ephemeridae | | | |
| 8 | Ephemera sp | + | - |
| Family: Caenidae | | | |
| 9 | Caenis sp | + | + |
| Order: Diptera | | | |
| Family: Tipulidae | | | |
| 10 | Tipula sp | + | + |
| Family: Chironomidae | | | |
| 11 | Chironomous sp | + | + |
| Order: Odonata | | | |
| Family: Libellulidae | | | |
| 12 | Libellula sp | + | - |
| Family: Cordulidae | - | | |
| 13 | Epicordulia sp | + | - |
| Family: Gomphidae | | | |
| 14 | Gomphus sp | - | + |
| Family: Aeshnidae | | | |
| 15 | Anax junis | + | - |
| Family: Cordulegasteridae | | | |
| 16 | Cordulegaster sp | + | + |
| Order: Coleoptera | | | |
| Family: Hydrophilidae | | | |
| 17 | Hydrophilus sp | + | + |
| Family: Dytiscidae | • | | |
| 18 | Cybister sp | + | - |
| Order: Hemiptera | • | | |
| Family: Nepidae | | | |
| 19 | Nepa sp | - | + |
| Order: Plecoptera | • • | | |
| Family: Nemouridae | | | |
| 20 | Nemoura sp | + | + |
| Phylum: Annelida | 1 | | |
| Class: Oligochaeta | | | |
| Order: Arhynchobdellida | | | |
| Family: Hirudinidae | | | |
| 21 | Hirudineria sp | - | + |
| | | | |

Table 3: BMWP and ASPT scores for site 1st and site 2nd of Ghodha Pachad Dam during summer season (2021)

| S No | Invertebrate Families | Site 1(Mazar) | Site 2(Kokta) |
|------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1 | Ephemeridae | 10 | - |
| 2 | Cordulegasteridae | 8 | 8 |
| 3 | Gomphidae | - | 8 |
| 4 | Cordulidae | 8 | - |
| 5 | Libellulidae | 8 | - |
| 6 | Aeshnidae | 8 | - |
| 7 | Nemouridae | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | Caenidae | 7 | 7 |
| 9 | Thiaridae | 6 | - |
| 10 | Uniondale | 6 | - |
| 11 | Viviparidae | 6 | 6 |
| 12 | Tipulidae | 5 | 5 |
| 1 | Hydrophilidae | 5 | 5 |
| 13 | Dytiscidae | 5 | - |
| 14 | Nepidae | - | 5 |
| 15 | Bithynidae | - | 3 |
| 16 | Hirudinidae | - | 3 |
| 17 | Lymnaedae | - | 3 |
| 18 | Chironomidae | 2 | 2 |
| | BMWP Score | 91 | 62 |
| | ASPT Score | 6.5 | 5.2 |

Abbreviation: BMWP-Biological monitoring working party, ASPT-Average score per taxon.

Table 4: The BMWP and ASPT score table (Hawkes, 1998) showing biological quality and water quality

| BMWP Score | Biological Quality | ASPT Score | Water Quality |
|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Over 130 | A. Very Good Biological Quality | Over 7 | Very Good |
| 81-130 | B. Good Biological Quality | 6-6.9 | Good |
| 51-80 | C. Fair Biological Quality | 5-5.9 | Fair |
| 11-50 | D. Poor Biological Quality | 4-4.9 | Poor |
| 0-10 | E. Very Poor Biological Quality | 3.9 or less | Very Poor |

The BMWP score calculated for site 1st was 91 and the ASPT value was 6.5. The BMWP score for site 2nd was 62 and ASPT value was 5.2 (Table-3). The obtained score at site 1st reveals that water is of good biological quality and belongs to class B (Table-4). The ASPT scores of site 1st reveals that the water is of Good quality. The obtained score of BMWP at Site 2nd reveals that water is of Fair Biological Quality and belongs to class C and the ASPT reveals that water is of fair quality. Thus the result of physico chemical and biological parameters both confirms that at site 2nd (Kokta) water is of fair quality while at site Ist (Mazar) water is of good quality.

Conclusion

The biological study of water of Ghodha pachad Dam confirmed that the use of macro invertebrates as bio indicators is a valuable monitoring tool in comparison to the physicochemical analysis in assessing quality of water, as it provides cumulative assessment of the water body. The biological assessment reveals that the site 2nd (Kokta) of Ghodha Pachad dam is having an impact of the anthropogenic activities from the surrounding areas. The need of the hour is to create environmental awareness among the nearby inhabitants regarding quality of water and effect of pollution on water body so that the quality of water may reach from fair to good biological quality.

References

- Adhikari S. Fertilization, soil and water quality management in small-scale ponds. Aquaculture Asia. 2003;8(1):11-13.
- Adoni AD, Joshi G, Gosh K, Chourasia AK, Yadav M, Verma HG. Workbook of Limnology Pratibha Publications, Sagar; c1985.
- 3. APHA. Standard Methods for the examination of Water and Waste Water. 20th Ed. American Health Association, Washington, D.C; c1998. p. 10-161.
- 4. Bhadwal M, Wani MA, Dixit M. Biomonitoring as a tool for assessing the water quality of river Halali during monsoon season Madhya Pradesh (India). International Journal of Recent Trends in Science and Technology, 170-174.
- Das AK, Shrivastava NP, Vass KK, Pandey BL. Management strategies for enhancing production in Madhya Pradesh Reserviors. Central Inland Fishries Research Institut, Barrackpore, Kolkata; c2008. p. 700 129.
- 6. Grey L. Changes in water quality and macroinvertebrate communities resulting from urban

- storm flows in the Provo River, Utah, U.S.A. Hydrobiologia. 2004;518:33-36.
- 7. Khan MZ, Ganaie IM. Assessment of physico-chemical parameters of Upper Lake Bhopal M.P. Int. J Eng. Resh. And Gen. Sci. 2014;2(4):354-363.
- 8. Mehdi MD, Bhat FA, Yousuf AR. Ecology of macrozoobenthos in Rambiara Stream, Kashmir J Res. Dev. 2005;5:95-100.
- 9. Needham IG, Needham PR. A Guide to the Study of Fresh-water Biology. Reiter's Scientific and Professional Books, Washington, D.C. USA; c1988.
- 10. Pani S, Misra SM. Biodiversity and trophic status of two tropical lakes of Bhopal. Proc. of Nat. Sem; c2000. p. 247-255.
- 11. Rosenberg DM, Resh V. Fresh water Biomonitoring and Benthic Macroinvertebrates. Chapman and Hall, New York London; c1993. p. 486.
- Sandwar BB, Tiwari AK. Monthly variation in heavy metals concentration in Ganga River in North Bihar region around Barauni Mokamath industrial complex and their correlation studies. Poll. Res. 2006;25(4):693-700.
- 13. Sharma KK, Chowdhary S. Macroinvertebrate assemblages as biological indicators of pollution in a Central Himalayan River, Tawi (J&K). Int. J Biodivers. Conserv. 2011;3(5):167-174.
- 14. Trivedy RK, Goel PK. Chemical and Biological methods for water pollution studies, Environmental Publications, Karad, India; c1984.
- 15. Yousf AR, Bhat FA, Mahdi MD. Limnological features of river Jhelum and its important tributaries in Kashmir Himalaya with a notice on Fish Fauna. J Himalayan Ecol. sustain. Dev, 2006, (1).
- 16. Wanganeo A, Dima AC, Kaul V, Wanganeo R. Limnological study of a Kashmir Himalayan lotic system. Jr. Aq. Biol. 1984;2(1):1-6.
- 17. Jonah UE, Akpan IE. Application of multimetric index on water quality assessment of Qua Iboe River Estuary, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences. 2021;3(3):126-34.