ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor: 8.4
IJAR 2022; 8(3): 23-26
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 16-01-2022
Accepted: 18-02-2022

Sumiti Raj
Ph.D. Research Scholar, University Department of Commerce & Business Admin., TMBU, Bihar, India

Corresponding Author:
Sumiti Raj
Ph.D. Research Scholar, University Department of Commerce & Business Admin., TMBU, Bihar, India

An analytical study on funds management in selected commercial banks with special reference to India

Sumiti Raj

Abstract
Asset Liability Management is one of the vital tools for risk management in banks. The banks have to work properly with regard to the Asset Liability Management so as to increase their performance. Moreover, the function of asset liability management is not just protection from risk. The safety achieved through asset liability management also opens up opportunities for enhancing the net worth. To study the assets and liabilities in banks and evaluate the impact of Asset Liability Management on profitability of banks were using Ratio Analysis. The analysis of Asset Liability Management in Indian bank will be carried out for the sample period from 2014 to 2018. It provides the necessary framework to define, measures, monitor, modify and manage these risks.

Keywords: Asset liability management, ratio analysis, banks, risks

1. Introduction

A bank is a seller in cash and credit. The matter of banking comprises of getting and loaning. Banks go about as monetary mediators among savers and financial backers by tolerating cash as stores from enormous number of clients and afterward on loaning a significant piece of collected "pool" of cash to the people who wish to get. In this cycle banks secure sensible return for the savers, make reserves accessible to the financial backers at an expense and procure a benefit for themselves subsequent to taking care of the expense of assets and paying or accommodating corporate duties to the public authority. Business banks address the most predominant monetary middle person when estimated by all out resources. They serve both the private and public areas as their store and loaning administrations are used by families, organizations and government offices. The financial framework had such a low degree of productivity that the financial framework could itself become truly debilitated because of the bonding of cash to treat the monetary paleness of the economy. Obviously the reasonability of the financial framework was under a grave danger in progressively aggressive business climate, and that assuming the framework was to keep on serving its social goals, banks ought to be permitted to turn out to be industrially practical units. Business banks expanded and broadened the organization of administrations given by them to their clients. The monetary administrations, bookkeeping administrations and protection administrations are covered under the umbrella of the financial administrations gave.

Banks as business associations get by acquiring a better yield on clients' asset than what they pay for their wellsprings of assets. Thus the banks need to keep up with or deal with the assets by guaranteeing that the dangers are limited, to such an extent that a sensible return is procured. The pattern is progressively towards powerful and dynamic resource obligation the board to boost benefits by acquiring admittance to new and growing wellsprings of liabilities for favorable speculation, either in credits and advances and/or protections including currency market resources.

1.1 Statement of the problem

The essential job of Commercial Bank is to take special care of the monetary necessities of the business. It has been contended that advances don't fulfill the Cannon of Liquidity, which is a significant thought in all financial activities. The executives of net assets accessible for speculation and outer assets bought from different banks are disputable elements. Reserves the executives endeavors to match the income needs of a bank against development timetables of its stores as interest for credit increments or diminishes.

Its essential point is to supply sufficient assets to meet the bank's resource, to accomplish development targets at the most minimal financing cost, and at satisfactory degrees of credit, liquidity and loan fee chances.

2. Review of literature

Frey (1970), in the concentrate on 'Ideal Asset and Liability Decisions for a Rural Bank' reasons that the degree to which rustic bank should make advances relies on the criticism connections. Results showed the model bank was regularly meeting under 50% of its advance interest. The higher financing costs created an unobtrusive expansion in credit action. The review uncovered that diminishing capital and liquidity limitations expanded benefits of the bank.

Joagvin (1974), who made an experimental concentrate on 'Productivity of Banks', reasons that (I) the rediscount rate is emphatically connected with benefit, just nearby banks have a negative connection between return on proprietors' value and rediscount rate; (ii) the connection among productivity and pace of development isn't reliable; and (iii) for nationalized banks there is a positive connection between return on proprietor's value and size.

Md. Salim Uddin, and Anamul Haque (2016) [1], there is no fundamental truth to disregard the significance of resource responsibility the executives strategy to guarantee benefit and long-run maintainability of monetary organizations in any economy. The review has been led to examine the effects of ALM strategy on the productivity of test banks working in Bangladesh. The discernment of this study is to notice the level of relationship of various resources and responsibility factors with benefit through applying Statistical Cost Accounting (SCA) model utilizing time series information from 2003 to 2014. To recognize the relationship among the factors. After examination, Loans and Advances is found to have a critical positive relationship with banks' productivity.

Dr. Anurag b Singh, Ms. Priyanka Tandon (2012) Asset-Liability Management (ALM) is one of the significant apparatuses of hazard the board in business banks of India. The financial business of India is presented to number of hazard won on the lookout. The exploration paper examines regarding issues in resource responsibility the board.

Mr Chetan Shetty, *et al*. (2016) Assets and Liability Management (ALM) is a deliberate and dynamic course of arranging, sorting out, planning and controlling the resources and liabilities or in the sense the board of accounting report structure in such a manner the net profit from revenue are augmented inside the general gamble inclination of the banks. This study analyzed the impact of ALM on the Five Private Sector Banks productivity in Indian monetary market by utilizing Gap Analysis and Ratio Analysis Technique. The finding from the review uncovered that bank have been presented to liquidity hazard.

Prabhakar *et al*. (2017) In India resource risk of the banks' monetary record of business banks acted genuine difficulties like the banks, which straightforwardly affect their tasks, benefit and productivity to contend with. The RBI of the nation engaged and exhorted banks for making substantial strides in limiting the befuddle in the resource obligation the board. There had been numerous positive effects of different systems followed by banks over the most recent multi decade.

S. P. Joshi1 and Dr. R. V. Sontakay (2017) Asset and Liability Management (ALM) plays key job in banking and money businesses. Any bank or monetary industry will implode without the utilization of ALM strategies. Consequently, to make due on the lookout, the ALM examination is done convenient by these ventures to quantify the worth of hazard factors implied. ALM examination limits the gamble as well as it assists with accomplishing the monetary objectives of the business. In this paper, we present a study of different ALM strategies announced in the writing, planning to monetary steadiness. The overview helps for arising banks to choose the different ALM process utilized by the financial businesses and to choose the proficient interaction out of the announced procedures.

Shah, S.G., (1978) in the paper named "Bank Profitability: The Real Issues" reasoned that benefit can't and won't improve simply by expanding the edge among loaning and acquiring rates. In actuality, any increment in pay will be seen by idle efficiencies in cost structure. Further, the spread between revenue acquired and premium paid is declining, not as a result of revenue edge however has been pressed as a result of (I) staffing and working examples are wasteful, (ii) assets and venture the executives is poor, (iii) credit isn't managed, and (iv) structures and systems are intricate and inefficient.

Bupp, David. C., (1978), based on a contextual investigation "Breaking down Bank office productivity" of the Bank of Hanover Trust Co., argued that to arrive at greater benefit, greater power and obligation were to be appointed to the branch office directors including responsibility for the nature of their own advance portfolios, promoting in their exchanging regions, the proficient activity of their workplaces (branches) and eventually their office productivity. To quantify the objective benefit the workplace productivity examination structure and cost of assets were planned. This test functioned admirably in the bank in working on the general benefit.

Kulkarni, L.G., (1979), in the review on formative obligation and productivity of banks expressed that while considering banks' expenses and benefits, social advantages emerging out of banks' tasks can't be disregarded. Creator guaranteed that benefit expansion approach is awkward while alluding to productivity of banks, and perceived that while satisfying the social obligation, banks should attempt to make the formative business as fruitful as could really be expected, diminish costs, further develop banking framework and increment the general usefulness.

3. Objectives of the study

The following are the objectives of the study:

- To Study the Assets and Liabilities in Banks.
- To Evaluate the impact of Asset Liability Management on profitability of banks.
- To Evaluate activity of Asset Liability Management in Indian bank by using Ratio Analysis.
- To examine the cost of sources of funds
- To analyze the return from deployment of funds

4. Methodology

The Analysis of Asset Liability Management in Indian bank will be carried out for the sample period from 2014 to 2018.This study is purely based on the secondary data, the sources of data were collected through various journals, books etc. Financial details of the Indian bank and the RBI website.

5. Data analysis

Table 1: Current Assets to Deposits Ratio

Year	Percentage
2017-2018	6.20
2016-2017	5.50
2015-2016	6.73
2014-2015	7.73
2013-2014	6.46

Source: Compilation based on annual reports of banks

Table 2: Analysis of Credit Deposits Ratio

Year	Percentage
2017-2018	0.75
2016-2017	0.70
2015-2016	0.72
2014-2015	0.74
2013-2014	0.75

Source: Compilation based on annual reports of banks

Table 3: Analysis of Debt Equity Ratio

Year	Percentage
2017-2018	13.69
2016-2017	12.71
2015-2016	12.52
2014-2015	13.00
2013-2014	13.50

Source: Compilation based on annual reports of banks

Table 4: Analysis of Current Ratio

Year	Percentage
2017-2018	3.4
2016-2017	3.2
2015-2016	3.1
2014-2015	2.9
2013-2014	2.1

Source: Compilation based on annual reports of banks

Table 5: Analysis of Quick Ratio

Year	Percentage
2017-2018	2.08
2016-2017	1.77
2015-2016	2.12
2014-2015	2.13
2013-2014	1.69

Source: Compilation based on annual reports of banks

6. Result & Discussion

- The general expense of borrowings for the whole financial industry is 5.82% over the review period. The business shrewd expense of borrowings has shown a declining pattern all through the review period. The segmental correlation uncovers that the HPBs have enlisted the least normal expense of borrowings (4.22%) when contrasted with MPBs (6.33%) and LPBs (6.91%). It is demonstrative of the HPBs‟ capacity of raising acquired assets at moderately aggressive rates. It is fascinating to take note of that every one of the three sections have encountered a declining pattern. The declining pattern will be credited to an ideal liquidity position in the call currency market.
- The general absolute expense of assets for the financial business, overall, is 6.05% over the review period. The business astute all-out cost of assets has shown a declining pattern. The segmental correlation uncovers that the HPBs have enrolled the most minimal normal expense of stores (5.94%) when contrasted with MPBs (6.01%) and LPBs (6.22%). As far as keeping a consistency in cost of assets, MPBs have kept a more noteworthy consistency when contrasted with HPBs and LPBs.
- The profit from speculations for the financial business, in general, is 9.82%. The business insightful profit from venture has shown a progressive decay all through the review period. The segmental examination uncovers that the HPBs have exhibited a higher normal profit from speculations (10.07%) when contrasted with LPBs (9.94%) and MPBs (9.44%). The striking perception is that every one of the sections have enrolled a declining pattern in the profit from speculations. This pattern clearly will in general antagonistically sway on the benefit of banks.
- Among them, LPBs have enlisted somewhat better yield (9.92%) when contrasted with HPBs (9.57%) and LPBs (9.51%). This improvement in LPBs can be ascribed to their expanding volume of credit business during the last option part of the review time frame. It is obvious from the undeniable improvement in their credit store proportion from 45% to 65%.
- The portion of stores in complete liabilities of the financial business, overall, is 84.38%. The business' proportion of stores to add up to liabilities is practically steady at 84% with no more extensive vacillations. The segmental correlation, notwithstanding, uncovers that the HPBs have kept up with the most minimal proportion (83.88%) when contrasted with MPBs (84.38%) and LPBs (85.27%). The lower proportion of stores to add up to liabilities of HPBs will be credited to the banks‟ capacity to get assets in the call market having delicate loan fees.
- The proportion of ventures to stores for the whole financial industry is 45.10% for the review time frame. It ranges between 45% to half during the initial six years followed by a huge decrease in this proportion during the last time of the review. The segmental examination of normal proportions uncovers that the HPBs have enlisted a lower venture store proportion (42.43%) when contrasted with MPBs (44.81%) and LPBs (48.26%). The higher proportion of speculation to stores of LPBs uncovers that the significant measure of assets is restricted in the ventures that yield a generally lower return when contrasted with return on the advances. This will in general influence the general benefit of banks. It is intriguing to take note of that this proportion is showing a declining pattern in every one of the fragments during the last option part of the review time frame.

7. Conclusion

It has turned into the excellent concentration in the financial business, with each bank attempting to amplify yield and lessen their gamble openness. Resource Liability Management is one of the fundamental devices for hazard the executives in banks. The banks need to work appropriately concerning the Asset Liability Management to build their presentation. Dealing with the Asset and Liabilities is essential for each bank. In view of the launch of banking space to worldwide players in Indian climate and expanding infiltration of private area banks, the public area banks, in our review SBI and partner has been constrained to focus on benefit at the expense of liquidity. Yet, the banks should appraise an ideal liquidity hazard they can attempt, in light of the fact that an extremely high liquidity hazard will influence the actual productivity.

8. References

1. Dr. Md Salim Uddin, Anamul Haque. The Cost and Management ISSN 1817-5090. 2016 July, 44(4).
2. Vaidyanathan R. Asset-liability management: Issues and trends in Indian context Administrative Staff College of India, Asci Journal of Management. 1999;29(1):39-48,
3. Dr. Manjula Jain, Dr. Monica Singh. Assets Liability in Indian Banks: Issues and Implication.
4. Choudhary. Bank Asset and Liability Management: Strategy, Trading Analysis, 2007.
5. Brick JR. Asset-Liability Management: Theory, Practice, and the Role of Judgment, 2012.
6. Vaidyanathan R. Asset-Liability Management: Issues and Trends in Indian Context, ASCI Journal of Management. 1999:29(1):39-48.
7. Ranjan R, Nallari R. Study of Asset Liability Management in Indian Banks: Canonical Correlation Analysis (Period-1992-2004), Spandan. 2005 Nov.