



ISSN Print: 2394-7500
 ISSN Online: 2394-5869
 Impact Factor: 8.4
 IJAR 2023; 9(1): 455-456
www.allresearchjournal.com
 Received: 26-11-2022
 Accepted: 30-12-2022

Dr. Md. Alam
 Assistant Professor,
 Department of Mathematics,
 R.K. College, Madhubani,
 Bihar, India

Analysis of reverse order Law for k-EP matrices

Dr. Md. Alam

Abstract

In general $(AB)^{\dagger} \neq B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$, for any two matrices A and B. We say that reverse order law holds for Moore-Penrose inverse of the product of A and B, if $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$. The main objective in this paper we discussed, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the reverse order law $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$ to be hold for a pair of k-EP, matrices and B.

Keywords: Matrices, penrose inverse, $AXA=A$

Introduction

Let $C_{n \times n}$ be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices of order n. Let C_n be the space of complex n tuples. For $A \in C_{n \times n}$ let $A^T, A^*, A^{\dagger}, R(A)$ and $\rho(A)$ denote the transpose conjugate transpose, Moore-Penrose inverse, range space, null space and rank of A respectively. A solution X of the equation $AXA=A$ is denoted by A. A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be EP, if $N(A) = N(A^*)$ or $R(A) = R(A^*)$ and $\rho(A) = r$. Throughout let 'k' be fixed product of disjoint transposition in $S_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and 'K' be the associated permutation matrix. A matrix $A = (\alpha_{ij}) \in C_{n \times n}$ is k-hermitian if $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{k(j), k(i)}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. A theory for k-hermitian matrices is developed in [2]. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in C_n$. Let $K(X) = (X_{k(1)}, X_{k(2)}, \dots, X_{k(n)})^T \in C_n$. Let $K(X) = (X_{k(1)}, X_{k(2)}, \dots, X_{k(n)})^T \in C_n$. A matrix $A \in C_{n \times n}$ is said to be k-EP if it satisfies the condition $Ax = 0 \Leftrightarrow A^*K(X)=0$ (or) equivalently $N(A) = N(A^*K)$ (or) $R(A) = R(KA^*)$. Moreover, A is said to be k-EP, if A is k-EP and $\rho(A) = r$. Further properties of k-EP matrices one may refer [3]. In [4] it is shown that if A, B and AB are EP_r matrices then AB, BA, $A^{\dagger}B^{\dagger}$ are all k-EP, matrices.

In this paper, necessary and sufficient condition for the reverse order law $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$ to be hold for an k-EP, matrices A and B are discussed.

Reverse Order Law for-EP_r Matrices

For any two singular matrices $A, B \in C_{n \times n}$ $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ holds. However, it is not true generalized inverse of matrices. In general $(AB)^{\dagger} \neq B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$ for any two matrices A and B. For example,

$$A = [1, 0], B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad AB = [1]$$

$(AB)^{\dagger} = [1]$. $B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger} \neq (AB)^{\dagger}$. It is well known that (p. 181, [1]), $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$ if and only if, $R(BB^*A^*) \subseteq R(A^*)$ and $R(A^*AB) \subseteq R(B)$.

Theorem 2.1: If A, B are k-EP_r Matrices with $R(A) = R(B^*)$ then $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$.

Proof: Since A is k-EP_r $R(A) = R(KA^*)$
 $\Rightarrow R(B^*) = R(KA^*)$ (By hypothesis)
 $\Rightarrow R(KB) = R(KA^*)$ (Since B is k-EP)
 $\Rightarrow R(B) = R(A^*)$ (Since $R(KA) = R(KB)$)
 $\Rightarrow R(A) = R(B)$
 $\Rightarrow R(B) = R(A^{\dagger})$ (By [1], $R(A^*) = R(A^{\dagger})$)

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Md. Alam
 Assistant Professor,
 Department of Mathematics,
 R.K. College, Madhubani,
 Bihar, India

Now, in order to prove $(AB)^t = B^t A^t$, it is enough if we prove that $B^t A^t$ satisfies the defining four equation of the Moore-Penrose inverse, that is

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)(B^t A^t)AB &= AB \rightarrow (1) \\ (B^t A^t)(AB)(B^t A^t) &= (B^t A^t) \rightarrow (2) \\ [(AB)(B^t A^t)]^* &= (AB)(B^t A^t) \rightarrow (3) \\ [(B^t A^t)(AB)]^* &= (B^t A^t)(AB) \rightarrow (4) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by [1], $R(A) = (RB) \Leftrightarrow AA^t = BB^t$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore R(A^t) = R(B) &\Rightarrow A^t(A^t)^t = BB^t \\ \Rightarrow A^t A &= BB^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence, } (AB)(B^t A^t)(AB) = ABB^t(A^t A)B$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= AB(B^t BB^t)B \\ &= A(BB^t B)B \\ &= AB \\ (AB)(B^t A^t)(AB) &= AB \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) is proved

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } (B^t A^t)(AB)(B^t A^t) &= B^t(A^t A)BB^t A^t \\ &= (B^t BB^t)BB^t A^t \\ &= (B^t BB^t)A^t \\ &= B^t A^t \\ (B^t A^t)(AB)(B^t A^t) &= B^t A^t \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2) is proved

Now, $R(B) = R(A^t) \Rightarrow$ given $x \in C_n$ there exist a $y \in C_n$ such that $A^t y = Bx$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A^t y = Bx &\Rightarrow (ABB^t)A^t y = (ABB^t)Bx \\ \Rightarrow (AB)(B^t A^t)y &= A(BB^t B)X \\ \Rightarrow (AB)(B^t A^t)y &= A(Bx) \\ \Rightarrow (AB)(B^t A^t)y &= (AA^t)y \end{aligned}$$

Since AA^t is hermitian, it follow that $(AB)(B^t A^t)$ is Hermitian.

$$\text{i.e. } [(AB)(B^t A^t)]^* = [(AB)(B^t A^t)]$$

Thus (3) is proved.

Now,

$R(B) = R(A^t) \Rightarrow$ given $X \in C_n$ there exist a $y \in C_n$ such that $Bx = A^t y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } Bx = A^t y &\Rightarrow (B^t A^t A)Bx = (B^t A^t A)A^t y. \\ \Rightarrow (B^t A^t)ABx &= B^t(A^t AA^t)y. \\ \Rightarrow (B^t A^t)(AB)x &= B^t A^t y. \\ \Rightarrow (B^t A^t)(AB)x &= B^t B(X). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(B^t B)$ is hermitian, it follow that $(B^t A^t)(AB)$ is Hermitian.

$$\text{(i.e.) } [(B^t A^t)(AB)]^* = [(B^t A^t)(AB)]$$

Thus (4) proved. Thus $B^t A^t$ satisfies all the four equation of the Moore-penrose inverse Hence the theorem.

Remark 2.2: In the above Theorem the condition that $R(A) = R(B^*)$ is essential.

Example 2.3

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ A and B are k EP, matrices

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \rho(AB) = 1$$

$$R(A) \neq R(B^*), A^t = 1/14 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^t A^t = 1/14 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (AB)^t = 1/12 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus $(AB)^t \neq B^t A^t$

Remark 2.4

The converse of Theorem 2.1 need not be true in general.

$$\text{For, let } A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ A and Bae k-EP₁ matrices.

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (AB)^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B^t A^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (AB)^t = B^t A^t$$

But, $R(A) \neq R(B^*)$

Note 2.5: The validity of the converse of the Theorem 2.1 is proved under certain conditions.

Corollary 2.6: If A, B are k-EP_r matrices with $\rho(AB) = r$ and $(AB)^t = (AB)^t = B^t A^t$, then $R(A) = R(B^*)$

References

1. Meenakshi AR, Krishnamoorthy S. Proceedings of the National Seminar on Algebra and its Application, Annamalai University; c2019. p. 15-22.
2. Meenakshl AR, Krishnamoorthy S. On k-EP matrices, Linear Algebra and its Application; c2018. p. 269-232.
3. Hii RD, Water SR. On k-real and k-hermitian matrices, Linear Algebra and its Application. 2012;169:17-29.
4. Ben-Israel A, Graville TNE. Generalizes inverses, Theory and Application, Wiley, New York; c2014.