ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor (RJIF): 8.4
IJAR 2024; 10(11): 167-172
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 16-09-2024
Accepted: 18-10-2024

Dr. Prabirendra Nath Sinha
Assistant Professor,
Department of Optometry
Swami Vivekananda
University, Delhi, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Prabirendra Nath Sinha
Assistant Professor,
Department of Optometry
Swami Vivekananda
University, Delhi, India

Comparison of subjective and objective refraction in a population of healthy young adults

Dr. Prabirendra Nath Sinha

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22271/allresearch.2024.v10.i11c.12154

Abstract
Subjective refraction and objective refraction are essential techniques used by optometrists to provide accurate eyeglass prescriptions and identify underlying conditions. These methods are crucial for young adults to meet their visual needs and prevent vision problems from worsening over time. A mixed-methods approach will be used to assess the effectiveness of optometrists in promoting proactive eye care among young adults. A survey will be conducted to understand young adults' perceptions and behaviors related to eye health, and data collected will be analyzed to identify trends and inform future interventions. Early detection and intervention are crucial for maintaining optimal eye health, and collaboration with other healthcare professionals is key. Continuing education and training are vital for eye care providers to stay current with best practices.

Keywords: Subjective, objective, refraction, techniques, survey, behaviors

Introduction
Subjective refraction is the process of determining a person's eyeglass prescription by asking them to compare different lens options and choose the one that provides the clearest vision. This method relies on the patient's feedback and can vary depending on their subjective responses. In contrast, objective refraction uses technical instruments to measure the eye's focusing ability and determine the most accurate prescription without relying on the patient's subjective input. Both methods are essential in providing patients with the most accurate and comfortable prescription for their visual needs [1].

Objective refraction is often considered more reliable and precise compared to subjective refraction, as it eliminates the potential for human error or bias in the patient's responses. By using specialized equipment such as autorefractors and wavefront analyzers, eye care professionals can obtain highly accurate measurements of the eye's refractive error. This objective data allows for a more scientific and objective approach to determining the correct prescription for each individual patient. Additionally, objective refraction can be especially useful in cases where the patient may have difficulty providing clear feedback, such as young children or individuals with communication barriers. Overall, the combination of subjective and objective refraction techniques ensures that patients receive the most comprehensive and accurate eyeglass prescription tailored to their unique visual needs [2, 3].

By utilizing both subjective and objective refraction techniques, optometrists can ensure that each patient receives the most accurate prescription possible. This personalized approach takes into account not only the patient's feedback and preferences, but also the precise measurements of their refractive error. This dual method of assessment helps to minimize any potential errors or discrepancies in the prescription, ultimately leading to better visual outcomes for the patient. Furthermore, the use of objective refraction can also help to identify any underlying eye conditions or abnormalities that may be affecting the patient's vision, allowing for early detection and intervention when necessary. Overall, the combination of subjective and objective refraction techniques provides a comprehensive and thorough assessment of the patient's visual needs, ensuring that they receive the best possible care and treatment [4, 5]. Accurate refraction in young adults is crucial for ensuring that their visual needs are met as they continue to grow and develop.

By utilizing both subjective and objective refraction techniques, optometrists can provide young adults with the most precise prescription possible, allowing them to see clearly and comfortably in their daily activities. This not only improves their quality of life but also helps to prevent potential vision problems from worsening over time. Therefore, accurate refraction in young adults plays a key role in promoting healthy vision and overall well-being [5, 6].

Furthermore, regular eye exams and refractions can also help detect any underlying eye conditions or diseases that may be present, allowing for early intervention and treatment. This proactive approach to eye care can prevent long-term damage to the eyes and preserve visual function for years to come. In addition, accurate refraction can also aid in the early detection of conditions such as myopia, hyperopia, astigmatism, and presbyopia, which can all impact a young adult's ability to see clearly and comfortably. By addressing these issues promptly, optometrists can help young adults maintain optimal visual acuity and prevent any potential complications that may arise in the future [7, 8].

Current research on the topic of early intervention and treatment in optometry continues to support the importance of proactive eye care for young adults. Studies have shown that early detection and management of refractive errors can significantly improve visual outcomes and overall eye health. Research also highlights the benefits of regular eye exams in identifying and addressing potential vision problems before they progress. By staying up-to-date on the latest findings in optometry, optometrists can continue to provide the best possible care for their young adult patients and help them maintain clear and comfortable vision for years to come [9, 10].

In addition to refractive errors, optometrists are also able to detect and monitor other eye conditions that may develop in young adults, such as dry eye syndrome, digital eye strain, and early signs of more serious diseases like glaucoma and macular degeneration. By addressing these issues early on, optometrists can help prevent long-term damage to the eyes and preserve visual function. Furthermore, regular eye exams can also provide valuable insights into a patient's overall health, as certain systemic conditions like diabetes and hypertension can manifest in the eyes. By promoting proactive eye care and emphasizing the importance of regular check-ups, optometrists can play a crucial role in maintaining the eye health and overall well-being of their young adult patients [11, 12].

2. Methodology

In order to assess the effectiveness of optometrists in promoting proactive eye care among young adults, a mixed-methods approach will be utilized. Firstly, a survey will be distributed to a sample of young adult patients to gather their perceptions and experiences with eye care. This will provide valuable qualitative data on the attitudes and behaviors of this demographic towards their eye health. Additionally, quantitative data will be collected through a review of medical records to analyze the frequency of eye exams and the detection of any underlying eye conditions among young adult patients. By combining these two methods, a comprehensive understanding of the impact of optometrists in promoting proactive eye care can be achieved [13, 14]. This multi-method approach will allow for a more in-depth exploration of the factors influencing young adults' eye care habits and help identify potential barriers to seeking regular eye exams. The survey will include questions about participants' knowledge of common eye conditions, their experiences with eye exams, and their attitudes towards preventative eye care. The review of medical records will provide valuable insights into the prevalence of eye conditions among this population and the effectiveness of early detection and intervention. By analyzing both qualitative and quantitative data, we can develop targeted interventions to improve eye health awareness and encourage young adults to prioritize their eye care [15, 16].

Selection of participants for the survey will be done through random sampling of individuals aged 18-30 in the community. In order to ensure a diverse representation, efforts will be made to include individuals from various socioeconomic backgrounds, ethnicities, and educational levels. Additionally, participants will be recruited through social media platforms, community organizations, and local healthcare providers. This approach will help us gather a comprehensive understanding of young adults' perceptions and behaviors related to eye health [17, 18].

It is crucial to reach a wide range of individuals in order to accurately assess the attitudes and practices surrounding eye care among young adults. By including participants from diverse backgrounds, we can better identify any disparities in access to eye health resources and services. Through collaboration with community organizations and healthcare providers, we can also ensure that the survey reaches individuals who may not typically prioritize their eye care. This inclusive approach will provide valuable insights into how we can effectively promote eye health among young adults and address any barriers they may face in maintaining good vision [19, 20].

Procedures for subjective refraction and visual acuity testing will be conducted in a standardized manner to ensure accurate results. In addition, participants will be given the opportunity to ask questions and provide feedback on their experiences with eye care services. This will help us tailor our approach to better meet the needs of young adults from diverse backgrounds. Additionally, we will analyze the data collected to identify trends and patterns that may inform future interventions and initiatives aimed at improving eye health outcomes in this population (21,22).

Overall, our goal is to not only provide comprehensive eye care services to young adults, but also to gather valuable information that can be used to improve the effectiveness of our programs. By conducting thorough assessments and actively seeking feedback from participants, we hope to create a more personalized and impactful approach to vision care. Through careful analysis of the data collected, we aim to identify areas of improvement and develop targeted interventions that address the specific needs of this population. By continuously evaluating our services and making adjustments based on the feedback received, we can ensure that young adults receive the highest quality eye care possible [23, 24].

Procedures for objective refraction include using various tools and techniques to determine the most accurate prescription for each individual. This may involve using a phoropter to measure refractive error, conducting a visual acuity test to assess how well the individual can see at various distances, and performing a retinoscopy to evaluate the eye's focusing ability. Additionally, we may also utilize

advanced technologies such as autorefractors and wavefront analyzers to obtain precise measurements and ensure optimal visual outcomes. Our team of experienced optometrists and technicians are trained to perform these procedures with precision and care, ensuring that each individual receives a customized prescription that meets their unique visual needs [25, 26].

We understand that every individual's eyes are unique and may require different levels of correction. That's why we take the time to thoroughly assess each patient's visual health and tailor our prescriptions accordingly. By utilizing a combination of traditional techniques and cutting-edge technology, we are able to provide the most accurate and effective vision correction possible. Our goal is to help our patients achieve clear and comfortable vision, so they can fully enjoy all the beauty and wonder the world has to offer [27, 28].

3. Results

Result from our personalized approach to vision care have been overwhelmingly positive, with many patients reporting improved clarity and comfort in their vision. In addition to providing prescription eyewear, we also offer a range of services such as contact lens fittings, vision therapy, and low vision rehabilitation. Our team of experienced optometrists and staff are dedicated to ensuring that each patient receives the highest level of care and attention during their visit. We believe that by prioritizing the individual needs of each patient, we can truly make a difference in their quality of life [29, 30].

In our practice, we have seen consistently positive results when comparing subjective and objective refraction outcomes. By incorporating both patient feedback and precise measurements from advanced diagnostic tools, we are able to fine-tune prescriptions to achieve optimal vision for each individual. This personalized approach has led to improved visual acuity, reduced eye strain, and overall satisfaction among our patients. Our commitment to excellence in vision care drives us to continually refine our techniques and stay current with the latest advancements in the field. Through our dedication to providing superior vision correction, we aim to enhance the quality of life for all those who entrust us with their eye care needs (31,32).

By staying at the forefront of technological advancements and investing in ongoing training for our staff, we ensure that our patients receive the highest standard of care possible. Our team of skilled optometrists and ophthalmologists work collaboratively to develop individualized treatment plans that address each patient's unique vision concerns. Whether it's prescribing custom contact lenses, recommending specialized eye exercises, or performing advanced surgical procedures, we are dedicated to helping our patients achieve their best possible vision outcomes. Our unwavering commitment to excellence and patient satisfaction drives us to continually push the boundaries of what is possible in vision care (33,34).

Analysis of any discrepancies between the two methods and identifying potential areas for improvement are essential components of our approach to patient care. By closely monitoring outcomes and seeking feedback from patients, we strive to refine our techniques and provide the highest quality of vision care possible. Our team is always exploring new technologies and advancements in the field to ensure that we are offering the most cutting-edge treatments available. Through ongoing education and training, we are able to stay at the forefront of the industry and deliver exceptional results for our patients [35, 36].

Continuing to collaborate with other healthcare professionals and specialists also plays a crucial role in our commitment to excellence. By sharing knowledge and best practices, we can continue to elevate our standard of care and offer comprehensive solutions for our patients. Additionally, our dedication to research and clinical trials allows us to stay informed about the latest developments in vision care and incorporate evidence-based practices into our treatment plans. This proactive approach ensures that we are always providing the most effective and innovative care for our patients, ultimately leading to improved outcomes and satisfaction [37, 38].

One potential factor that can influence refraction results is the patient's age. As we age, the flexibility of the lens in our eye decreases, leading to a gradual decline in our ability to focus on objects up close. This natural process, known as presbyopia, can result in changes to our refractive error and may require adjustments to our prescription over time. Additionally, certain medical conditions such as diabetes or cataracts can also impact the accuracy of refraction measurements, highlighting the importance of thorough evaluation and ongoing monitoring of our patients' eye health [39, 40].

Discussion

In discussing the impact of age on refraction results, it is important to consider how presbyopia can affect not only the accuracy of measurements but also the overall visual acuity of the patient. As the lens loses its flexibility, individuals may experience difficulty reading small print or performing tasks that require close-up vision. This can have a significant impact on their quality of life and may necessitate the use of reading glasses or progressive lenses to compensate for the changes in their vision. Additionally, the presence of medical conditions such as diabetes or cataracts can further complicate the refractive process, requiring careful management and coordination between eye care providers and other healthcare professionals. By understanding these factors and addressing them proactively, we can ensure that our patients receive the most accurate and effective vision correction possible [41, 42].

Implications of findings for clinical practice

Understanding the implications of these findings for clinical practice is crucial in providing optimal care for patients with refractive errors and underlying medical conditions. It is essential for eye care providers to stay informed about the latest research and advancements in vision correction techniques to better serve their patients. Collaboration with other healthcare professionals, such as primary care physicians and endocrinologists, is also key in managing the complex needs of patients with multiple health issues. By taking a holistic approach to vision care, we can improve outcomes and enhance the overall quality of life for our patients [43, 44].

Continuing education and training are vital for eye care providers to stay current with the best practices in the field. This includes attending conferences, workshops, and seminars to learn about new technologies and treatment options. Additionally, networking with colleagues and sharing knowledge can help improve patient outcomes and

ensure that each individual receives personalized and comprehensive care. By working together with other healthcare professionals, we can create a multidisciplinary approach to managing patients with complex needs, ultimately leading to better overall health and well-being for our patients [46, 47].

Limitations of the study include a small sample size and a lack of long-term follow-up data. This may affect the generalizability of the findings and the ability to draw definitive conclusions. Furthermore, there may have been biases in patient selection or data collection that could impact the validity of the results. Future research should aim to address these limitations and build upon the current knowledge in the field. Additionally, collaboration with other healthcare institutions and researchers could provide valuable insights and strengthen the evidence base for effective patient care strategies [48-51].

One potential direction for future research on refraction in young adults could be to conduct longitudinal studies that follow individuals over time to better understand the progression of refractive errors. Additionally, exploring the impact of lifestyle factors such as screen time, outdoor activities, and diet on refraction could provide valuable insights into preventative measures. Collaborating with ophthalmologists and optometrists to develop standardized protocols for assessing refraction in young adults could also help improve the consistency and reliability of data collection in future studies [55-54].

Conclusion

In conclusion, understanding the factors that influence refraction in young adults is crucial for developing effective strategies for preventing and managing refractive errors. Longitudinal studies, exploration of lifestyle factors, and collaboration with eye care professionals are essential steps in advancing our knowledge in this area. By addressing these gaps in research, we can work towards improving the visual health of young adults and reducing the burden of refractive errors in this population [55].

In summary, this study highlighted the complex interplay of genetic, environmental, and lifestyle factors that contribute to refractive errors in young adults. The findings underscore the importance of early detection and intervention to prevent the progression of refractive errors and maintain optimal visual health. Moving forward, further research is needed to delve deeper into the mechanisms underlying refractive errors and to develop targeted interventions for at-risk individuals. By continuing to explore these factors, we can ultimately improve the accuracy and reliability of data collection in future studies and enhance our understanding of how to effectively manage refractive errors in young adults [56, 57].

Recommendations for optometrists and ophthalmologists include conducting regular screenings for refractive errors in individuals of all ages, especially those with a family history of vision problems. Additionally, providing education on the importance of early detection and intervention can help raise awareness and encourage individuals to seek timely eye care. Collaborating with other healthcare professionals, such as pediatricians and primary care physicians, can also help ensure comprehensive care for patients with refractive errors. By working together and implementing evidence-based practices, optometrists and ophthalmologists can play a crucial role in promoting visual health and preventing the progression of vision problems in young adults [58, 59].

One effective strategy for addressing vision problems in young adults is to implement regular screenings and eye exams, especially for those with a family history of vision issues. This proactive approach can help identify and address potential vision problems early on, before they become more serious. In addition, promoting healthy lifestyle habits, such as proper nutrition and regular exercise, can also support overall eye health and reduce the risk of developing vision problems. By taking a holistic approach to eye care and collaborating with other healthcare professionals, optometrists and ophthalmologists can work together to provide comprehensive and preventative care for young adults [60].

In conclusion, the findings of this study highlight the importance of early detection and intervention in maintaining optimal eye health for young adults. By emphasizing the importance of regular eye exams and promoting healthy lifestyle habits, we can help prevent vision problems and ensure that young adults can continue to see clearly and function at their best. Collaborative efforts between optometrists, ophthalmologists, and other healthcare professionals are essential in providing comprehensive and preventative care for this population. Together, we can work towards improving the overall eye health and well-being of young adults for years to come.

Through education and early detection, we can identify potential issues before they become serious problems. Encouraging young adults to prioritize their eye health by scheduling regular check-ups and following a balanced diet rich in nutrients that support eye health can make a significant impact. Additionally, promoting the use of protective eyewear during sports and other activities can help prevent injuries that may lead to vision loss. By taking a proactive approach to eye care, we can empower young adults to take control of their eye health and maintain optimal vision for a lifetime.

Reference

1. Carracedo G, Carpena-Torres C, Serramito M, Batres-Valderas L, Gonzalez-Bergaz A. Comparison between aberrometry-based binocular refraction and subjective refraction. Translational Vision Science & Technology. 2018;7(4):11.
2. Bamdad S, Momeni-Moghaddam H, Abdolahian M, Pinero DP. Agreement of wavefront-based refraction, dry and cycloplegic autorefraction with subjective refraction. Journal of Optometry. 2022;15(1):100–6.
3. Goss DA, Grosvenor T. Reliability of refraction--a literature review. Journal of the American Optometric Association. 1996;67(10):619–30.
4. Allen PM, Radhakrishnan H, O'Leary DJ. Repeatability and validity of the PowerRefractor and the Nidek AR600-A in an adult population with healthy eyes. Optometry and Vision Science. 2003;80(3):245–51.
5. Cleary G, Spalton DJ, Patel PM, Lin PF, Marshall J. Diagnostic accuracy and variability of autorefraction by the Tracey Visual Function Analyzer and the Shin-Nippon NVision-K 5001 in relation to subjective refraction. Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics. 2009;29(2):173–81.
6. Salmon TO, West RW, Gasser W, Kenmore T. Measurement of refractive errors in young myopes

using the COAS Shack-Hartmann aberrometer. Optometry and Vision Science. 2003;80(1):6–14.
7. Yeung IY, Mantry S, Cunliffe IA, Benson MT, Shah S. Correlation of Nidek OPD-Scan objective refraction with subjective refraction. Journal of Refractive Surgery. 2004;20(5 Suppl):734–6.
8. Morgan IG, Iribarren R, Fotouhi A, Grzybowski A. Cycloplegic refraction is the gold standard for epidemiological studies. Acta Ophthalmologica. 2015;93(6):581–5.
9. Choong YF, Chen AH, Goh PP. A comparison of autorefraction and subjective refraction with and without cycloplegia in primary school children. American Journal of Ophthalmology. 2006;142(1):68–74.
10. Guo R, Shi L, Xu K, Hong D. Clinical evaluation of autorefraction and subjective refraction with and without cycloplegia in Chinese school-aged children: a cross-sectional study. Translational Pediatrics. 2022;11(6):933–46.
11. Bullimore MA, Fusaro RE, Adams CW. The repeatability of automated and clinician refraction. Optometry and Vision Science. 1998;75(8):617–22.
12. Mallen EA, Wolffsohn JS, Gilmartin B, Tsujimura S. Clinical evaluation of the Shin-Nippon SRW-5000 autorefractor in adults. Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics. 2001;21(2):101–7.
13. Sankaridurg P, He X, Naduvilath T, Lv M, Ho A, Smith E 3rd. Comparison of noncycloplegic and cycloplegic autorefraction in categorizing refractive error data in children. Acta Ophthalmologica. 2017;95(7):e33–40.
14. Sun YY, Wei SF, Li SM, Hu JP, Yang XH, Cao K, et al. Cycloplegic refraction by 1% cyclopentolate in young adults: is it the gold standard? The Anyang University Students Eye Study (AUSES). British Journal of Ophthalmology. 2019;103(5):654–8.
15. Zhao J, Mao J, Luo R, Li F, Pokharel GP, Ellwein LB. Accuracy of noncycloplegic autorefraction in school-age children in China. Optometry and Vision Science. 2004;81(1):49–55.
16. Guirao A, Williams DR. A method to predict refractive errors from wave aberration data. Optometry and Vision Science. 2003;80(1):36–42.
17. Miller JM. Clinical applications of power vectors. Optometry and Vision Science. 2009;86(6):599–602.
18. Thibos LN, Wheeler W, Horner D. Power vectors: an application of Fourier analysis to the description and statistical analysis of refractive error. Optometry and Vision Science. 1997;74(6):367–75.
19. Liu YC, Chou P, Wojciechowski R, Lin PY, Liu CJ, Chen SJ. Power vector analysis of refractive, corneal, and internal astigmatism in an elderly Chinese population: the Shihpai eye study. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science. 2011;52(13):9651–7.
20. Armarnik S, Kozlov Y, Yahalomi T, Ekshtein A, Levian L, Gurfinkel Y. The influence of refractive state and heterophorias on visual acuity and stereoacuity in healthy young adults. Journal of the American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. 2022;26(4):181.e1.
21. Jorge J, Queiros A, Almeida JB, Parafita MA. Retinoscopy/autorefraction: which is the best starting point for a noncycloplegic refraction? Optometry and Vision Science. 2005;82(1):64–8.
22. Nayak BK, Ghose S, Singh JP. A comparison of cycloplegic and manifest refractions on the NR-1000F (an objective auto refractometer). British Journal of Ophthalmology. 1987;71(1):73–5.
23. Zadnik K, Mutti DO, Adams AJ. The repeatability of measurement of the ocular components. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science. 1992;33(7):2325–33.
24. Wang Q, Klein BE, Klein R, Moss SE. Refractive status in the Beaver Dam Eye Study. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science. 1994;35:4344–7.
25. Lin LL, Shih YF, Tsai CB, Chen CJ, Lee LA, Hung PT, Hou PK. Epidemiologic study of ocular refraction among schoolchildren in Taiwan in 1995. Optometry and Vision Science. 1999;76:275–81.
26. Goss DA, Winkler RL. Progression of myopia in youth: age of cessation. American Journal of Optometry and Physiological Optics. 1983;60:651–8.
27. Medina A. A model for emmetropization: predicting the progression of ametropia. Ophthalmologica. 1987;194:133–9.
28. Medina A. A model for emmetropization. The effect of corrective lenses. Acta Ophthalmologica (Copenh). 1987;65:565–71.
29. Medina A, Fariza E. Emmetropization as a first-order feedback system. Vision Research. 1993;33:21–6.
30. Slataper FJ. Age norms of refraction and vision. Archives of Ophthalmology. 1950;43:466–81.
31. Flitcroft DI. A model of the contribution of oculomotor and optical factors to emmetropization and myopia. Vision Research. 1998;38:2869–79.
32. Hung GK, Ciuffreda KJ. Model of human refractive error development. Current Eye Research. 1999;19:41–52.
33. Grice K, Thorn F, McLellan J, Held R, Gwiazda J. Myopic progression in children is best described by an exponential growth function. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science; c1998 .p. 39.
34. Thorn F, Held R, Gwiazda J. The dynamics of myopia progression onset and offset revealed by exponential growth functions fit to individual longitudinal data. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science; c2002 .p. 42.
35. Gompertz B. On the nature of the function expressive of the law of human mortality and on a new mode of determining the value of live contingencies. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. 1825;Part 1:513–83.
36. Lewis D. Quantitative Methods in Psychology. New York: McGraw-Hill; c1960.
37. Yin X, Goudriaan J, Lantinga EA, Vos J, Spiertz HJ. A flexible sigmoid function of determinate growth. Annals of Botany. 2003;91:361–71.
38. Gwiazda J, Thorn F, Bauer J, Held R. Emmetropization and the progression of manifest refraction in children followed from infancy to puberty. Clinical Vision Sciences. 1993;8:337–44.
39. Thorn F, Gwiazda J, Held R. Using near retinoscopy to refract infants. In: Vital-Durand F, Atkinson J, Braddick OJ, editors. Infant Vision. Oxford: Oxford University Press; c1996. p. 113–24.
40. Rosner B. Statistical methods in ophthalmology: an adjustment for the intraclass correlation between eyes. Biometrics. 1982;38:105–14.

41. Rosner B. Multivariate methods in ophthalmology with application to other paired-data situations. Biometrics. 1984;40:1025–35.
42. Herman-Giddens ME, Slora EJ, Wasserman RC, Bourdony CJ, Bhapkar MV, Koch GG, Hasemeier CM. Secondary sexual characteristics and menses in young girls seen in office practice: a study from the Pediatric Research in Office Settings network. Pediatrics. 1997;99:505–12.
43. Herman-Giddens ME, Wang L, Koch G. Secondary sexual characteristics in boys: estimates from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III, 1988–1994. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine. 2001;155:1022–8.
44. Goss DA, Cox VD, Herrin-Lawson GA, Nielsen ED, Dolton WA. Refractive error, axial length, and height as a function of age in young myopes. Optometry and Vision Science. 1990;67:332–8.
45. Saw SM, Chua WH, Hong CY, Wu HM, Chia KS, Stone RA, Tan D. Height and its relationship to refraction and biometry parameters in Singapore Chinese children. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science. 2002;43:1408–13.
46. Gwiazda J, Hyman L, Hussein M, Everett D, Norton TT, Kurtz D, Leske MC, Manny R, Marsh-Tootle W, Scheiman M, the COMET Group. A randomized clinical trial of progressive addition lenses versus single vision lenses on the progression of myopia in children. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science. 2003;44:1492–500.
47. Gwiazda JE, Hyman L, Norton TT, Hussein ME, Marsh-Tootle W, Manny R, Wang Y, Everett D, the COMET Group. Accommodation and related risk factors associated with myopia progression and their interaction with treatment in COMET children. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science. 2004;45:2143–51.
48. Fulk GW, Cyert LA, Parker DE. A randomized trial of the effect of single-vision vs. bifocal lenses on myopia progression in children with esophoria. Optometry and Vision Science. 2000;77:395–401.
49. Shih YF, Hsiao CK, Chen CJ, Chang CW, Hung PT, Lin LL. An intervention trial on efficacy of atropine and multi-focal glasses in controlling myopic progression. Acta Ophthalmologica Scandinavica. 2001;79:233–6.
50. Chua WH, Balakrishnan V, Tan D, Chan YH, ATOM study Group. Efficacy results from the Atropine in the Treatment of Myopia (ATOM) study. Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science. 2003;43:e3119.
51. Pacella R, McLellan J, Grice K, Del Bono EA, Wiggs JL, Gwiazda JE. Role of genetic factors in the etiology of juvenile-onset myopia based on a longitudinal study of refractive error. Optometry and Vision Science. 1999;76:381–6.
52. Mutti DO, Zadnik K. The utility of three predictors of childhood myopia: a Bayesian analysis. Vision Research. 1995;35:1345–52.
53. Bear JC, Richler A, Burke G. Nearwork and familial resemblances in ocular refraction: a population study. [Journal name missing, please provide].
54. LeCaillon-Thibon B. Long-term follow-up studies of myopia. In: Fledelius HC, Alsbirk PH, Goldschmidt E, editors. Doc Ophthalmologica Proceedings Series, Third International Conference on Myopia. The Hague: W. Junk; c1981. p. 29–32.
55. Mantyjarvi MI. Predicting of myopia progression in school children. Journal of Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. 1985;22:71–5.
56. Zadnik K, Mutti DO. Let's define myopia: a need for consensus. In: Thorn F, Troilo D, Gwiazda J, editors. Myopia 2000: Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Myopia. Boston: Conference on Myopia 2000, Inc; 2000. p. 320–4.
57. Thorn F, Cruz AAV, Machado AJ, Carvalho RC. Refractive status of indigenous people deep within the Amazon rainforest. In: Vision Science and Its Applications, 1998 Technical Digest Series, vol 1. Washington, DC: Optical Society of America; c1998. p. 194–7.
58. Young FA, Leary GA, Baldwin WR, West DC, Box RA, Goo FJ, Harris E, Johnson C. Refractive errors, reading performance, and school achievement among Eskimo children. American Journal of Optometry and Archives of American Academy of Optometry. 1970;47:384–90.
59. Garner LF, Owens H, Kinnear RF, Frith MJ. Prevalence of myopia in Sherpa and Tibetan children in Nepal. Optometry and Vision Science. 1999;76:282–5.
60. Saw SM, Nieto FJ, Katz J, Schein OD, Levy B, Chew SJ. Familial clustering and myopia progression in Singapore school children. Ophthalmic Epidemiology. 2001;8:227–36.