

ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor (RJIF): 8.4
IJAR 2024; 10(4): 355-358
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 04-02-2024
Accepted: 14-03-2024
B Aastha Sharma
Staff Nurse, Pentamed
Hospital, Delhi, India
Sanjna Kumari
Amity College of Nursing, Amity University, Manesar, Haryana, India

Ankita Manral
Nursing Officer, DCSH, Sikandrabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
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#### Abstract

Background: Organs play a vital role within the human body. When an organ fails, the body has a limited amount of time before a severe illness or death occurs. Organ donation is the process in which an individual allows an organ of their own to be removed and transplanted to another individual, legally, either by consent while the donor is alive or dead with the assent of the next of kin. Organ donation should be seen as the gift of life. But people have very less knowledge regarding organ donation. Aim: To assess the knowledge and attitude regarding organ donation among adults of selected village of Greater Noida. Methodology: The study has adopted a cross sectional descriptive design with convenience sampling technique. Data was collected from 100 adults of Kathhera village, Dadri and analysis and interpretation of data was done by descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. The tools used were socio demographic, questionnaire on knowledge and Likert scale on attitude towards organ donation. Result: The result of the study states that regarding knowledge, majority of adults 57 ( $57 \%$ ) have average knowledge, followed by 37 (37\%) have poor knowledge and 6 ( $6 \%$ ) have good knowledge regarding organ donation. The mean, median and S.D. of knowledge score is 7.75, 7 and 3.09 respectively. Association is seen between level of knowledge and source of information only. Regarding attitude, majority of people have an unfavorable response 52 ( $52 \%$ ) regarding organ donation. The mean, median, and S.D. of attitude score is $30.64,32$ and 7.09 respectively. Association is seen between level of attitude and source of information only. Conclusion: As majority of the participants have average knowledge and have an unfavorable attitude regarding organ donation, there is a need to take an active role by the healthcare professional in clarifying any myths and doubts of peoples regarding organ donation.
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## Introduction

An organ is a part of the body with a specific function that is typically made up of numerous different types of tissue ${ }^{[2]}$. They conduct unique functions in the human body that are essential to our survival and wellness. The body has a limited period of time before a severe sickness or death happens when an organ fails. Hundredths of thousands of people need organs around the world However, there is a higher need than the quantity of available organs ${ }^{[3]}$.
The World Health Organisation (WHO) reports that just 0.01 percent of persons in India give their organs after dying away, compared to $70-80$ percent in Western nations. Organ donation is yet to gain momentum in India. Even among the educated members of society, understanding and attitudes towards organ transplantation are far from satisfactory. Lack of public awareness and education, as well as myths and misconceptions around organ donation caused by barriers of religion and culture, are the main issues that the nation faces when there is an organ shortage. In order for India's organ donation programme to be successful, it is crucial to provide the entire population with accurate information and awareness about this topic ${ }^{[4]}$.

## Methodology

Quantitative Research approach was used in this study. The selected sample size of the present study is 100 young adults of 20-40 years of age from selected villages of Greater

Noida. convenient non-probability sampling technique was used. Socio-demographic profile sheet was used to collect the background information of the participants. This tool had a total of items such as age, gender, educational qualification, source of information, and religion. The structured knowledge-based questionnaire was constructed. The knowledge questionnaire comprised of 20 questions.

Likert Scale on attitude regarding organ donation was developed which includes 10 statements with 5 scorings (strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, and strongly disagree).

## Data Analysis and Interpretation

Table 1: Data pertaining to frequency and percentage distribution of socio demographic variables of selected adults ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ )

| Variables | Frequency (f) | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (Years) |  |  |
| 20-25 | 26 | 26.0 |
| 25-30 | 27 | 27.0 |
| 30-35 | 35 | 35.0 |
| 35-40 | 12 | 12.0 |
| Gender |  |  |
| Male | 44 | 44.0 |
| Female | 56 | 56.0 |
| Religion |  |  |
| Hindu | 100 | 100.0 |
| Educational Background |  |  |
| Primary | 47 | 47.0 |
| Secondary | 35 | 35.0 |
| Graduate | 14 | 14.0 |
| Postgraduate | 4 | 4.0 |
| Occupation |  |  |
| Govt. sector | 19 | 19.0 |
| Private sector | 18 | 18.0 |
| Business | 13 | 13.0 |
| Others | 50 | 50.0 |
| Organ Donation |  |  |
| Yes | 58 | 58.0 |
| No | 42 | 42.0 |
| Source of Information |  |  |
| Television | 11 | 19.0 |
| Friends/ Family | 27 | 47.0 |
| Social Media | 14 | 24.0 |
| Others | 6 | 10.0 |

Table 2: Data pertaining to knowledge and attitude score regarding organ donation ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ )

| Variable | Frequency (f) | Percentage (\%) | Summary Statistics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean=7.75 } \\ \text { Median } \pm \text { SD }=7 \pm 3.09 \\ \text { Min }=2 \\ \text { Max }=16 \end{gathered}$ |
| Poor Knowledge (0-6) | 37 | 37.0 |  |
| Average Knowledge (7-13) | 57 | 57.0 |  |
| Good Knowledge (14-20) | 6 | 6.0 |  |
| Attitude |  |  |  |
| Strongly Favourable (41-50) | - | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean }=30.64 \\ \text { Median } \pm \text { SD }=32 \pm 7.09 \\ \text { Min }=15 \\ \text { Max }=50 \end{gathered}$ |
| Favourable (31-40) | 9 | 9.0 |  |
| Moderate (21-30) | 35 | 35.0 |  |
| Unfavourable (11-20) | 52 | 52.0 |  |
| Strongly Unfavourable (less than 10) | 4 | 4.0 |  |

Table 3: Data pertaining association between knowledge and selected demographic variables ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ )

| Variables | Poor (0-6) f (\%) | Average (7-13) f (\%) | Good (14-20) f (\%) | Chi- square | DF | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (Years) |  |  |  | 9.61 | 6 | 0.10 |
| 20-25 | 4 (15.3) | 20 (76.9) | 2 (7.7) |  |  |  |
| 25-30 | 14 (51.8) | 12 (44.4) | 1 (3.8) |  |  |  |
| 30-35 | 13 (37.1) | 19 (54.3) | 3 (8.6) |  |  |  |
| 35-40 | 6 (50.0) | 5 (50.0) | 0 (0.0) |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  | 1.43 | 2 | 0.54 |
| Male | 15 (34.1) | 25 (56.8) | 4 (9.1) |  |  |  |
| Female | 22 (39.3) | 32 (57.1) | 2 (3.6) |  |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  | 4.52 | 4 | 0.44 |
| Primary | 18 (38.3) | 27 (57.4) | 2 (4.3) |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 13 (37.1) | 21 (60.0) | 1 (2.9) |  |  |  |


| Graduate and above | 6 (33.3) | 9 (50.0) | 3 (16.7) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation |  |  |  | 4.47 | 6 | 0.56 |
| Govt. sector | 6 (31.6) | 12 (63.2) | 1 (5.2) |  |  |  |
| Private sector | 9 (50.0) | 8 (44.4) | 1 (5.6) |  |  |  |
| Business | 5 (38.5) | 6 (46.1) | 2 (15.4) |  |  |  |
| Others | 17 (34.0) | 31 (62.0) | 2 (4.0) |  |  |  |
| Organ Donation |  |  |  | 3.48 | 2 | 0.19 |
| Yes | 24 (41.4) | 29 (50.0) | 5 (8.6) |  |  |  |
| No | 13 (30.9) | 28 (66.7) | 1 (2.4) |  |  |  |
| Source of Information |  |  |  | 14.56 | 6 | 0.01* |
| Television | 13 (30.9) | 28 (66.7) | 1 (2.4) |  |  |  |
| Friends/Family | 2 (18.2) | 9 (81.8) | 0 (0.0) |  |  |  |
| Social media | 9 (33.3) | 15 (55.6) | 3 (11.1) |  |  |  |
| Others | 13 (65.0) | 5 (25.0) | 2 (10.0) |  |  |  |

*Level of significance 0.05 ( $p<0.05$ significant level)

Table 3 represents association between knowledge of the respondents with selected demographic variables. According to the data analysed, significant association was found between level of knowledge and source of information only.

While no significant association was found between level of knowledge and other demographic variables i.e., age, gender, education, occupation, and knowledge regarding organ donation.

Table 4: Data pertaining association between attitude and selected demographic variables ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ )

| Variables | Favorable (31-40) f (\%) | Moderate (21-30) f (\%) | Unfavorable (11-20) f (\%) | Strongly favorable (<10) | Chisquare | df | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{p}- \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (Years) |  |  |  |  | 15.4 | 9 | 0.02* |
| 20-25 | 3 (11.5) | 13 (50.0) | 9 (34.6) | 1 (3.9) |  |  |  |
| 25-30 | 5 (18.5) | 8 (29.6) | 12 (44.4) | 2 (7.5) |  |  |  |
| 30-35 | 0 (0.0) | 12 (34.2) | 23 (65.8) | 0 (0.0) |  |  |  |
| 35-40 | 1 (8.2) | 2 (16.7) | 8 (66.7) | 1 (8.4) |  |  |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  | 2.78 | 3 | 0.45 |
| Male | 3 (6.8) | 19 (43.2) | 21 (47.8) | 1 (2.2) |  |  |  |
| Female | 6 (10.7) | 16 (28.6) | 31 (55.4) | 3 (5.3) |  |  |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  | 8.22 | 6 | 0.22 |
| Primary | 6 (12.7) | 12 (25.5) | 27 (57.5) | 2 (4.3) |  |  |  |
| Secondary | 2 (5.7) | 15 (42.9) | 18 (51.4) | 0 (0.0) |  |  |  |
| Graduate and above | 1 (5.6) | 8 (44.4) | 7 (38.9) | 2 (11.1) |  |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  | 10.73 | 9 | 0.35 |
| Govt. sector | 4 (21.1) | 5 (26.3) | 8 (42.1) | 2 (10.5) |  |  |  |
| Private sector | 1 (5.6) | 9 (50.0) | 8 (44.4) | 0 (0.0) |  |  |  |
| Business | 1 (7.7) | 6 (46.1) | 6 (46.2) | 0 (0.0) |  |  |  |
| Others | 3 (6.0) | 15 (30.0) | 30 (60.0) | 2 (4.0) |  |  |  |
| Organ Donation |  |  |  |  | 1.97 | 3 | 0.61 |
| Yes | 7 (12.1) | 21 (36.2) | 28 (48.3) | 2 (3.4) |  |  |  |
| No | 2 (4.8) | 14 (33.3) | 24 (57.1) | 2 (4.8) |  |  |  |
| Source of Information |  |  |  |  | 18.64 | 9 | 0.01* |
| Television | 2 (4.8) | 14 (33.3) | 24 (57.1) | 2 (4.8) |  |  |  |
| Friends/Family | 3 (27.2) | 0 (0.0) | 8 (72.8) | 0 (0.0) |  |  |  |
| Social media | 0 (0.0) | 14 (51.8) | 12 (44.4) | 1 (3.8) |  |  |  |
| Others | 4 (20.0) | 7 (35.0) | 8 (40.0) | 1 (5.0) |  |  |  |

*Level of significance 0.05 ( $p<0.05$ significant level)

Table 4 represents association between attitude of respondents with selected demographic variables. According to data analysed, significant association was found between level of attitude and source of information only. While no significant association was found between level of attitude and other demographic variables i.e., age, gender, education, occupation and knowledge regarding organ donation.

## Discussion

Among 100 participants, majority 57 (57\%) of the adults scored between $7-13$, thus according to knowledge scoring techniques, they had average knowledge regarding the topic of organ donation. While 37 ( $37 \%$ ) scored below 6 and thus had poor knowledge regarding this subject. Very few 6 (6\%)
out of 100 selected sample scored 14 or above and had good knowledge regarding organ donation.

## Regarding attitude

The data showed that no one $0(0 \%)$ had scored between 4150, and thus no one have a strongly favourable attitude towards organ donation. While, 9 (9\%) have scored between 31-40, thus had favourable attitude regarding organ donation. $35(35 \%)$ have scored between 11-20 and had a moderate response regarding this topic. Majority 52 (52\%) of the subjects score between 11-20 and thus have unfavourable attitude towards organ donation. Very few 4 (4\%) have scored less than 10 and had strongly unfavourable attitude toward organ donation.
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