International Journal of Applied Research 2025; 11(5): 124-131

ISSN Print: 2394-7500
ISSN Online: 2394-5869
Impact Factor (RJIF): 8.4
IJAR 2025; 11(5): 124-131
www.allresearchjournal.com
Received: 09-03-2025
Accepted: 14-04-2025

Mahima Mathur
Amity University (Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences), Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Dr. Smriti Sethi
Professor, Amity University (Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences), Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Mahima Mathur
Amity University (Amity Institute of Psychology and Allied Sciences), Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Exploring the influence of dream content, affect and psychological well-being among young adults

Mahima Mathur and Smriti Sethi

Abstract
This study investigated the relationship between dream content, psychological well-being, and affect in young adults. Utilizing established scales (MADRE, PANAS, and PWS) and employing various statistical analyses on a sample of 100 individuals, the research revealed a significant negative correlation between affect and psychological well-being, aligning with previous findings. However, no significant link was found between dream content and either psychological well-being or affect. Notably, females reported higher dream content than males, suggesting potential gender differences in dream experiences. While contradicting the continuity hypothesis that posits dreams directly reflect waking life, the study underscores the complexity of dream functions, including emotional regulation and memory consolidation. The findings highlight the importance of nuanced interpretations of dreams in relation to emotional health and have implications for therapeutic approaches in mental health care. Future research should explore the interplay of sleep quality and emotional regulation in understanding the role of dreams in psychological well-being.

Keywords: Dream content, psychological well-being, emotional regulation, young adults

Introduction
Dreams, a universal human experience during sleep, involve sensory, cognitive, and emotional activity and are theorized to aid in processing daily emotional challenges. They offer a space to work through feelings and integrate experiences, providing insight into anxieties and unresolved issues. Dreamers have limited control over dream content and recall. Dreaming, though studied extensively, remains somewhat misunderstood. Stress can negatively impact sleep and increase bad dreams, potentially creating a harmful cycle. Dreams may help process past emotions and prepare for future events, suggesting negative experiences could lead to unpleasant dreams. Quality sleep's impact on dream pleasantness requires further research. Studies indicate good sleepers recall more negative feelings in dreams, while poor sleepers experience more severe negative feelings and nightmares. Intense dreaming occurs during REM sleep, marked by brain activity and temporary muscle loss. Dream vividness can influence perceived sleep quality.
Since Freud, the psychological and neurological aspects of dreaming have been studied extensively. Dreams can be lucid (awareness of dreaming), vivid (realistic), distressing, or recurring (indicating unresolved issues). Analyzing dream emotions and themes can reveal psychological issues. Dreams are crucial for memory consolidation and emotional well-being. Freud viewed dreams as wish fulfillment and significant psychic events reflecting intellectual effort. Dreams help process emotions and thoughts by integrating recent experiences, promoting emotional regulation. Dream recall varies among individuals and is influenced by factors like sleep quality. Most dream details are quickly forgotten. Strategies to improve recall include waking naturally, focusing on the dream immediately, recording details, and dream journaling. Gamma EEG activity is linked to conscious awareness in dreams, with lower gamma band activity during REM sleep potentially fostering self-reflective awareness.

Possible Causes for Dream
Various hypotheses explore the purpose of dreaming beyond it being merely a sleep cycle component.

Some suggest dreams reflect unacknowledged wants and desires (Freudian), decipher bodily signals, aid memory organization, and function as a form of psychotherapy for emotional processing and mental health.

More recent research proposes that dreaming serves purposes such as offline memory reprocessing, preparing for future dangers, cognitive stimulation of real-life events, aiding cognitive skill development, reflecting unconscious processes psychoanalytically, acting as a unique state of awareness integrating past, present, and future, and providing a mental space for processing complex or disturbing ideas for psychological balance.

Lucid Dreaming

Lucid dreaming, typically occurring in late REM sleep, is characterized by the dreamer's awareness of being asleep, allowing for some influence over dream events. While lucid dreamers report the ability to control dream content like flying or engaging in fantasies, the feasibility of willful lucid dreaming lacks conclusive evidence, though communities exist to teach it. Brain imaging shows increased activity in the prefrontal cortex and a wider cortical network during lucid dreaming, potentially linked to the "waking consciousness" aspect. Most people rarely experience lucid dreaming or have limited, uncontrolled occurrences. Despite this, many believe lucid dreaming can be learned and may enhance creativity, confidence, and reduce stress.

Dream Lag

Dream-lag is when recently experienced elements (from the past day to a week) appear in dreams, potentially due to the time it takes for some experiences to be encoded into long-term memory. During this consolidation, related images might surface in dreams. Research indicates that about 65% of dream reports reflect recent waking life, while a small percentage feature waking events. The dream-lag effect is observed in REM sleep dreams but not Stage 2 sleep. Personally significant events are more likely to appear with a lag, highlighting the role of emotional and personal importance. The prevailing explanation for dream-lag is memory consolidation, where emotionally significant or complex experiences take about a week to be fully integrated into long-term memory in the neocortex, and fragments may be reactivated during sleep, appearing in dreams as the brain works to consolidate these memories.

Dream Time span

We sleep in roughly 90-minute cycles, progressing through different stages. Stage I is light sleep (5-10 minutes) where the body and mind begin to relax. Stage II (around 25 minutes) involves slowed heart rate and breathing, with potential brain activity related to memory processing. Stage III (20-40 minutes) is deep sleep, from which waking can cause grogginess. Stage IV is REM sleep, where most dreaming occurs and brain activity is high, even more so than while awake. REM sleep duration is short initially but lengthens in later sleep cycles.

Dream and Mental Health

The relationship between dreams and mental health is intricate, with the subconscious often manifesting emotional states in dreams. Anxiety can lead to dreams of vulnerability and dread, mirroring real-life worries. Depression may result in dreams filled with despair and loneliness, reflecting emotional numbness. PTSD is marked by recurring, vivid nightmares that re-experience traumatic events, potentially causing fear of sleep and worsening mental health.

Mental health disorders can disrupt the sleep cycle's balance, particularly affecting REM sleep, which is crucial for emotional processing. This disruption can lead to daytime anxiety and irritability. Medications for mental health issues, like antidepressants, can also alter REM sleep and dream content, sometimes causing vivid or disturbing dreams.

Dreams can also provide insights into mental health. Recurring dream themes can signal underlying emotional problems, such as pursuit or confinement reflecting anxiety, and loss or abandonment indicating insecurity or grief. Dream analysis, through therapy or self-reflection, can help identify and address these issues by revealing hidden worries and fears.

The emotional assimilation theory suggests dreams process and integrate intense emotional experiences from the day, potentially reducing their impact and incorporating them into memory. This process can be particularly helpful for trauma survivors.

Why We Dream

Despite the lack of definitive answers, several theories attempt to explain why we dream. The memory consolidation theory proposes that dreams help the brain process daily information, strengthening important memories and discarding irrelevant ones during sleep, particularly REM sleep. The emotional processing theory suggests dreams provide a safe space to deal with difficult emotions, with the active amygdala during REM sleep supporting emotional regulation and potentially helping to process trauma. The threat simulation theory posits that dreams evolved to rehearse responses to potential dangers, enhancing survival instincts, supported by amygdala activity. The activation-synthesis theory suggests dreams are simply the brain's attempt to create a narrative from random neuronal activity in the brainstem during REM sleep, thus lacking inherent meaning. Finally, Freud's psychoanalytic theory suggests dreams offer a window into the subconscious, revealing repressed desires and unconscious thoughts through symbolic content. This idea of dreams reflecting inner life still persists.

Dreams and Affect

Dreaming plays a role in emotional regulation by processing and integrating emotional experiences in a safe environment, potentially leading to greater emotional stability. Waking from pleasant dreams can improve mood, though negative dream feelings tend to persist more. The ability to manage emotions in dreams might reflect waking emotional regulation skills, while being overwhelmed in dreams could indicate difficulties coping with emotional pain. Dreams often mirror waking feelings, with negative emotions prevalent in the dreams of stressed or depressed individuals, highlighting underlying issues. Dreams provide a space to confront repressed emotions. The emotional assimilation theory suggests dreams process strong waking feelings.

Research indicates dreams actively contribute to emotional memory consolidation and regulation, prioritizing emotionally charged memories while reducing next-day emotional reactivity, particularly for those who recall their dreams. Positive dream content is linked to better next-day mood. The continuity theory proposes dreams passively reflect waking emotional states, supported by correlations between pre-sleep mood and dream affect, possibly due to limbic system activity during REM sleep.

REM sleep's neural activity facilitates offline emotional processing, where dreams may manage daytime emotions by simulating scenarios and resolving conflicts. However, there's a noted difference between dream and waking emotions. Sleep disruption significantly impairs emotional regulation. Psychological theories suggest dreams reframe waking emotions, simulate threats, and regulate conflicts, linked to limbic system activation during REM sleep. Overall, dreams and emotional states are interconnected, influencing mood, stress, and psychological resilience.

Dreams and Psychological Well-Being

Psychological well-being encompasses overall functioning and emotional health, including personal growth, self-acceptance, purpose, environmental mastery, autonomy, and positive social connections. Dreams can offer significant insights into mental health, with recurring negative themes potentially indicating underlying issues. Dream content can mirror waking emotions and affect subsequent mood. For instance, nightmares can cause day-long anxiety, while pleasant dreams can improve mood.

Self-reflection on dreams, possibly through therapy or journaling, can foster self-acceptance and awareness, enhancing psychological well-being. The continuity hypothesis suggests dreams reflect waking states and concerns. Studies support this, showing that lower psychological well-being correlates with more aggressive, negative, and failure-related dream content. Dreams can mirror emotional states and waking worries, with negative dreams linked to stress and anxiety, and positive dreams associated with better mental health.

Freud's views on Dreams

Freud theorized that dreams are a form of wish fulfillment, allowing individuals to experience desired outcomes and potentially improve happiness. His psychoanalytic theory revolutionized the understanding of the unconscious, which he considered the source of dreams, calling them "the royal road to the unconscious." Freud proposed that the recalled dream (manifest content) is a symbolic representation of underlying unconscious desires and drives (latent content). This latent content, often disturbing, is disguised through processes like symbolism and condensation into the more acceptable, albeit sometimes bizarre, dream narratives. Freud's work laid the foundation for understanding the conscious-unconscious interplay and the significance of dream symbolism in revealing hidden personality aspects.

Troubling nightmares can negatively impact sleep quality and daytime well-being. While less studied, pleasant dreams' impact is also relevant. Dreams are thought to help process difficult emotions, potentially leading to nightmares initially but eventually aiding in overcoming negative experiences. Image rehearsal therapy can help manage recurring nightmares. Lucid dreaming might offer benefits like increased rejuvenation and potentially reduce nightmares and negative emotions in PTSD. Hartmann's research suggests dreams about waking emotions facilitate their integration into emotional memory, preparing for future occurrences. He also proposed that dreams use a central metaphor to represent the dominant waking emotion, weaving it with similar feelings and memories for safe processing and integration. The emotional assimilation theory suggests that intense waking emotions, positive or negative, trigger and integrate into dreams via memory association and metaphor, serving to integrate these emotions into memory, foster emotional insight and creativity, and reduce the intensity of associated memories**.**

Modern Dream Theory

Today's understanding of dreams has significantly evolved beyond Freud's theories through contributions from neuroscience, psychology, and cognitive science. While Freud saw dreams as a path to the unconscious, modern research offers a more complex view. Neuroscience has linked dreaming to specific brain activity during sleep, with brain imaging revealing the roles of various brain regions, suggesting dreams arise from neural activity rather than solely representing hidden desires.

Contemporary theories propose multiple functions for dreams, including memory consolidation (integrating new information with existing knowledge) and problem-solving (approaching issues in a unique way). Another key perspective is that dreams aid in emotional processing, especially for unresolved or traumatic experiences, contributing to psychological well-being. Modern views emphasize that dreams likely serve various purposes that can differ between individuals and situations, acknowledging the complexity of the human psyche. They also recognize the influence of individual and cultural differences on dream experience and interpretation, contrasting Freud's universalist approach.

Ultimately, dreams likely have diverse functions, and no single theory fully explains their complexity. Ongoing research continues to shed light on their meaning and purpose, supported by the prevalence of REM sleep and dreaming across animal species, indicating a vital biological role.

Methodology

Aim: To assess the Exploring the Influence of Dream content, Affect and Psychological Well-Being among Young Adults

Objectives

1. To study the gender difference in the level of Dream content, Affect and Psychological Well-Being among young adults
2. To study the relationship between Dream and Affect content in Young Adults
3. To study the relationship Dream content and Psychological Well-Being in Young Adults
4. To study Dream content as a predictor for Affect in Young Adults
5. To study Dream content as a predictor for psychological well-being in Young Adults

Hypothesis

- **H_1:** Male young adults will report significantly different levels of dream content, affect, and psychological well-being compared to female young adults.
- **H_2:** There will be a significant correlation between the reported intensity of affect experienced during waking

hours and the bizarreness of dream content reported by young adults.

- **H3:** Higher reported frequency of negative dream content will be significantly associated with lower reported levels of psychological well-being in young adults.
- **H4:** The negativity of dream content will be a significant positive predictor of negative affect experienced by young adults
- **H5:** The frequency of threatening dream content will be a significant negative predictor of psychological well-being in young adults.

Sample and sample technique: The sample consists of 100 people selected through the random sampling method, ranging in age from 18 to 25 years. The snowball sampling technique has been used for the collection of the data.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
Inclusion Criteria

- Age range of 18-25 years
- Sufficient English language proficiency to understand and complete the online questionnaire
- Access to technology to participate in the online survey.

Exclusion Criteria

- Individuals with diagnosed sleep disorders
- Individuals with severe mental health conditions that might significantly impact dream content or psychological well-being
- Individuals lacking sufficient English language proficiency.

Tools Used for the Study

Mannheim Dream Questionnaire (MADRE) was developed in 2014 by Schredl et.al. The MADRE questionnaire is an all-encompassing instrument for evaluating dream-related subjects including dream recall frequency, nightmare frequency, and attitudes towards dreams. Quantitative measures showing the MADRE questionnaire's consistency and stability help to bolster scale reliability. Internal Consistency: For various subscales, Cronbach's alpha coefficients typically range from 0. 70 to 0. 85. These ratings suggest good to outstanding internal consistency, hence the items inside each subscale consistently measure the same construct. Often computed over periods of several weeks, the test-retest reliability coefficients range from 0. 75 to 0. 90. This degree of stability indicates that the survey yields regular results throughout time.

The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS): Is a self-report questionnaire developed by David Watson, Lee Anna Clark, and Auke Tellegen in 1988. Psychometric tool PANAS assesses positive and negative affect. The Positive Affect scale assesses enthusiasm, while the Negative Affect scale assesses distress; together they form two ten-item scales. Both scales show great internal consistency; Cronbach's alpha ranges from 0. 86 to 0. 90. While the NA scale relates with depression and anxiety, the PANAS scale links with well-being and life satisfaction. Low correlations with unrelated constructs bolster its discriminant validity. Given its demonstrated ability to forecast future emotional and mental results, the scale is a strong instrument for assessing affect in different groups.

PWS (Psychological Well-Being) Scale: Is a means developed to assess several angles of good psychological well-being. Although Ryff and Keyes are credited with laying the groundwork for PWB, later studies-including those by Curhan *et al*. -have helped to develop and apply it. Particularly, 2014 saw the creation of a modified PWB scale. Providing a thorough framework for understanding an individual's psychological well-being, the PWB scale measures positive psychological constructs such as self-acceptance, positive relationships, autonomy, environmental mastery, purpose, and personal growth. With Cronbach's alpha values ranging from 0. 88 to 0. 93, its internal consistency and test-retest reliability are excellent. Factor analysis confirms the scale's construct validity by strong correlations with related measures and low correlations with unrelated constructs. It has been shown to forecast future psychological results and strongly relates with mental health indicators.

Research Design: This quantitative study aims to explore the relationships between dream content, psychological well-being, and affect in young adults aged 18-25. Employing a correlational and comparative design, the research will utilize the Multidimensional Assessment of Dream Reality Experience (MADRE), the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS), and the Psychological Well-being Scales (PWS) to gather data from a sample recruited through convenience sampling, with sample size determined by power analysis. Data analysis will involve descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation to examine relationships between variables, multiple linear regression to determine predictive power, and independent t-tests to compare dream content between groups with high and low psychological well-being. The study anticipates significant correlations between aspects of dream content, psychological well-being, and affect, as well as the predictive power of psychological well-being on affect, and differences in dream content based on well-being levels. Ethical considerations, including informed consent and data confidentiality, will be adhered to throughout the research process.

Procedure

The study aimed to recruit a sample of young adults aged 18-25. This age range was chosen to focus on individuals navigating the transition to adulthood, a period often characterized by significant life changes and emotional development, which may be reflected in their dream experiences. Participants were recruited through convenience sampling, primarily via social media platforms, university networks, and online forums frequented by young adults. Inclusion criteria included being within the specified age range, having sufficient English language proficiency to understand and complete the online questionnaire, and reporting at least one dream per week. Exclusion criteria included individuals with diagnosed sleep disorders or severe mental health conditions that might significantly impact dream content or psychological well-being. Participants were informed about the study's purpose, assured of anonymity and confidentiality, and provided with informed consent before participation.

Data was collected online via Google Forms, ensuring anonymity. The questionnaire included four sections: demographics (age, gender, education, treatment); dream assessment (Mannheim Dream Rating Scale - MADRE, assessing a recent dream); affect assessment (Positive and

Negative Affect Schedule - PANAS, measuring current mood); and psychological well-being (Psychological Well-Being Scale - PWS, assessing six dimensions of well-being). Gender data was collected but treated descriptively. Dream assessment relied on a single recalled dream. Affect was measured at the time of completion. All instruments used are validated and widely used in research. This online approach maximized accessibility and ease of participation. The online questionnaire was designed to be user-friendly and easy to navigate. Clear instructions were provided at the beginning of each section. Participants were informed that their responses would be kept strictly confidential and used for research purposes only. They were also reminded of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty. The study received ethical approval from the relevant institutional review board before data collection commenced.

Data, collected via Google Forms, was analysed in SPSS. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables. Pearson's correlations examined relationships between dream content, affect, and well-being. Multiple regressions explored the predictive relationships between these variables, assessing how well dream content and affect predicted overall and specific dimensions of well-being. This included examining relationships between negative dream content and negative affect, and dream bizarreness and well-being. Regression models assessed if negative dream content and negative affect predicted lower well-being scores. These analyses addressed the research questions and tested the study's hypotheses. The chosen statistical methods are appropriate for exploring the relationships between these variables.

Results and Interpretation

Table 1: Gender Difference between Dream Content, Affect and Psychological Well-Being

Dependent Variable	Gender	Mean	S.D.	T-Value	DF	Sig.
MADRE	Male	50.56	16.68	-2.59	98	.011
	Female	59.46	17.56			
PANAS	Male	59.06	7.65	0.604	98	.547
	Female	58.02	9.47			
PWS	Male	153.04	15.93	0.500	98	.618
	Female	151.42	16.44			

Table 1 shows that The independent t-test analysis revealed a statistically significant difference in MADRE scores between the two groups (p =.011 <.05), with the second group exhibiting significantly higher scores, indicating that the reference group had a lower MADRE score, and the group means differing by 8.90 units (95% CI: -15.70 to -2.10). In contrast, the analysis yielded non-significant results for PANAS (p =.547), where the mean difference of 1.04 was not substantial, and the 95% confidence interval included zero, suggesting no significant difference in PANAS scores between males and females, despite males having a slightly higher mean PANAS score (59.06) compared to females (58.02). Similarly, the PWS analysis showed no significant difference between the groups (p =.618), with a small and not statistically meaningful mean difference of 1.62, and the 95% confidence interval including zero, suggesting statistically similar levels of psychological well-being.

Table 2: Correlation between Dream Content, Affect and Psychological Well-Being

		MADRE	PWS	PANAS
MADRE	Pearson Correlation	1	-.008	.107
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.937	.291
	N	100	100	100
PWS	Pearson Correlation	-.008	1	-.238*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.937		.017
	N	100	100	100
PANAS	Pearson Correlation	.107	-.238*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.291	.017	
	N	100	100	100

Table 2 represents the correlation analysis between dream content (MADRE), psychological well-being (PWS), and affect (PANAS) reveals distinct relationships between these variables. Specifically, the correlation between MADRE and PWS is statistically insignificant (r=-0.008, p=0.937), indicating no meaningful linear association. Similarly, the weak positive correlation between MADRE and PANAS (r=0.107, p=0.291) is also not statistically significant. In contrast, a statistically significant negative correlation exists between PWS and PANAS (r=-0.238, p=0.017), suggesting that higher levels of negative affect are associated with lower reported psychological well-being.

Table 3: Predicting Dream Content as a predictor of Affect

	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Standard Error		
1	0.107	0.011	0.001	8.44		
	Sum of Squares	**df**	**Mean Square**	**F**	**Sig.**	**Beta**
Regression	80.33	1	80.33	1.127	0.291	0.107
Residual	6985.77	98	1.28			
Total	7066.110	99				

Table 3 shows that the regression analysis indicates that the coefficient for MADRE is not statistically significant (p=.291), confirming that changes in dream content do not significantly affect PANAS scores. This finding aligns with a weak and non-significant relationship observed between MADRE and PANAS. Consequently, the predictor variable (MADRE) does not explain a meaningful amount of variance in affect (PANAS). This regression model outcome reinforces the earlier correlation result (r=.107, p=.291), consistently demonstrating the absence of a significant relationship between dream content and affect.

Table 4: Dream Content as a predictor of Psychological Well-Being

	R	R Square	ADJ R SQ.	Standard Error		
1	0.008	0.000	-0.010	16.44		
	Sum of Squares	**df**	**Mean SQ.**	**F**	**Sig.**	**Beta**
Regression	1.720	1	1.720	0.006	0.937	-0.080
Residual	26517.04	98	270.582			
Total	26518.760	99				

Table 4 shows that the regression analysis reveals that the coefficient for MADRE is not statistically significant (p=.937), indicating that variations in dream content do not significantly predict changes in psychological well-being. This lack of significance suggests that there is no meaningful or statistically significant relationship between dream content (MADRE) and psychological well-being (PWS). Furthermore, the model demonstrates no predictive value, explaining none of the variance in psychological well-being. This finding is consistent with the previously reported correlation result (r=-0.008, p=.937), which also indicated the absence of a significant linear association between these two variables.

Discussion

This study investigated the relationship between dream content (MADRE), psychological well-being (PWS), and affect (PANAS) in young adults, also exploring gender differences. Key findings include a strong negative correlation between affect and psychological well-being, consistent with prior research. However, dream content did not significantly predict psychological well-being or affect, challenging the continuity hypothesis that dreams simply reflect waking life. This suggests that dream functions are complex and may involve memory consolidation, emotional regulation, and threat simulation, potentially obscuring direct linear connections. A statistically significant gender difference was found in dream content, with females scoring higher on the MADRE than males, a finding that warrants further investigation into gender-related variations in dream recall, emotional processing, and sociocultural factors. No significant gender differences were observed in overall well-being or affect.

The study highlights the potential importance of other variables not captured by dream metrics, such as personality characteristics, stress levels, and sleep quality, in predicting well-being and mood. The use of self-report measures and the correlational design limit causal inferences.

Comparing with prior research, the negative correlation between affect and well-being aligns with existing findings. However, the lack of a significant association between dream content and well-being/affect contradicts the continuity hypothesis. The gender difference in dream content without corresponding differences in well-being/affect is a noteworthy finding. These discrepancies may stem from methodological differences and sample characteristics.

The findings have implications for healthcare and mental health, suggesting dream analysis as a potential supplementary therapeutic tool. Future research should explore the multifaceted functions of dreams beyond simple reflection, investigate gender differences in dream content, and delve deeper into the regulatory pathways between waking and dreaming emotions in relation to sleep quality. The study contributes to and draws from Psychology (emotional, cognitive, clinical), Neuroscience (neurological basis of dreaming), Sleep Medicine (sleep, dreaming, mental health), and Psychoanalysis (Freud's influence).

Limitations

This research study investigating the link between dreams, psychological well-being, and affect in young adults faces several limitations. The reliance on self-reported survey data introduces potential biases like recall errors and socially desirable responses, impacting the accuracy of reported dream content, affect, and well-being. Assessing dream content based on a single recalled dream might not represent typical dreaming patterns. The convenience and snowball sampling methods raise concerns about the sample's representativeness and the generalizability of findings to all young adults. The cross-sectional design only allows for observing correlations at one time point, preventing the establishment of causal relationships. Measuring present affect at the end of the survey might not reflect participants' more stable mood. The focus on 18-25 year olds and the exclusion of individuals with sleep disorders or major mental health issues further limit the study's scope. Finally, the online data collection method could influence participant engagement and the survey completion environment.

Future Implications

The study's findings have significant implications for both clinical practice and future research. The observed gender difference in dream content, with women reporting higher levels, necessitates further investigation into the underlying sociocultural, emotional, and psychological factors. Future research should prioritize gender as a key variable and explore its interaction with dream recall, emotional processing, and the integration of dream experiences into waking life. Furthermore, as this study challenges the simple continuity hypothesis, future research should adopt a more nuanced approach to understanding dream functions, moving beyond the idea that dreams merely reflect waking life. Specifically, research is needed on how multiple factors, such as memory consolidation, emotional regulation, and threat simulation, interact to influence dream content and its impact on psychological well-being. The potential clinical implications suggest that dream interpretation and therapeutic approaches should acknowledge the complex and multifaceted nature of dream experiences, as well as individual differences in gender and emotional processing.

Recommendations

Based on the study's findings, future research should employ longitudinal designs to better understand the dynamic relationship between dream content and emotional states over time. Given the lack of a significant link found between dream content and psychological well-being or affect, future studies should explore moderating factors like sleep quality, personality traits, and stress levels for a more comprehensive understanding. The notable gender differences in dream content warrant further investigation into sociocultural, emotional, and psychological underpinnings, potentially using qualitative methods alongside quantitative ones to gain richer insights into the psychological impact and subjective meanings of dreams. Finally, including clinical populations and a broader age range beyond young adults could enhance the generalizability and practical relevance of future research.

Conclusion
This study explored dream content, affect, and psychological well-being in young adults, also examining gender differences. Key findings include a statistically significant gender difference in reported dream content (MADRE), with women scoring higher than men. However, no significant gender differences were found in affect or overall psychological well-being (PWS). The study did not find a significant link between waking affect and general dream content, nor between dream content and mental well-being. Furthermore, dream content showed little to no predictive power for the intensity or type of emotions experienced or the level of mental health in young adults. These results challenge the simplistic view that dreams merely mirror waking life, suggesting a more intricate role for dreams, potentially involving emotional regulation, memory consolidation, and threat simulation. The strong negative correlation found between affect and psychological well-being aligns with previous research indicating an inverse relationship between positive mental states and negative emotions.

The significant gender disparity in dream content warrants further research into sex-related differences in dream recall, emotional processing, and sociocultural influences. Future studies should consider these factors, along with personality traits, stress levels, and sleep quality, to better understand the complex relationships between dreams, emotions, and mental well-being in young adults. The study's reliance on self-report and its correlational design necessitate caution in drawing causal inferences, highlighting the need for future longitudinal or experimental research. Overall, this study contributes to the understanding of dreams as having a multifaceted relationship with waking mental states that goes beyond simple reflection.

References

1. Avci M. Pandemic dreams: A thematic analysis of university students' dreams during the COVID-19 outbreak. Dreaming. 2022 Sep;32(3):221-234. DOI: 10.1037/drm0000219.
2. APA PsycNet. [Record for item 2024-55686-001]. APA PsycNet. [date unknown] [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.psycnet.org/record/2024-55686-001.
3. APA PsycNet. [Record for item 2021-86643-001]. APA PsycNet. [date unknown] [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2021-86643-001.
4. Bahri N. The Psychological Well-Being of Male and Female Students in Tunisia Using the RYFF Scales of Psychological Well-Being. Int J Soc Sci Res Rev. 2023 Jun;6(6):397-404. DOI: 10.47814/ijssrr.v6i6.1150.
5. Barbeau K, Lafrenière A, Massaoud HB, Campbell E, Koninck JD. Dissociated effects of age and recent troubling experiences on nightmares, threats and negative emotions in dreams. Front Psychiatry. 2022 Mar 3;13:849238. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.849238.
6. Cleveland Clinic. What do dreams mean? Cleveland Clinic. 2024 Nov 15 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://health.clevelandclinic.org/dreams-and-dreaming.
7. Crawford CA. Dream recall, dream emotions, and subjective well-being [Dissertation]. Cleveland, OH: Case Western Reserve University; c2007. Available from: https://www.proquest.com/openview/0d789577e7b7b8a0d9b4a7f862f9c34b/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750 [cited 2025 May 10].
8. DeCicco TL, Higgins H. Dreams of Recovering Alcoholics: Mood, Dream Content, Discovery, and the Storytelling Method of Dream Interpretation. Int J Dream Res. 2019;12(2):135-140 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/IJoDR/article/download/399/pdf_2/1564.
9. Psychology Today. Dreaming. Psychology Today. 2024 Jul 12 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/dreaming.
10. [Author(s)]. [Title]. Qafqaz. [Year];18:140-146. [Incomplete reference].
11. Gauchat A, Zadra A, Tremblay RE, Zelazo PD, Séguin JR. Recurrent dreams and psychosocial adjustment in preteenaged children. Dreaming. 2009 Jun;19(2):75-84. DOI: 10.1037/a0016549.
12. Gilchrist S, Davidson J, Shakespeare-Finch J. Dream emotions, waking emotions, personality characteristics and well-being--A positive psychology approach. Dreaming. 2007 Sep;17(3):172-185. DOI: 10.1037/1053-0797.17.3.172.
13. Koninck JD, Bradshaw S, Lafreniere A, Amini R, Lortie-Lussier M. Threats in dreams, emotions and the severity of threatening experiences in waking. Int J Dream Res. 2016 Nov 1;9(1):11-16. DOI: 10.11588/ijodr.2016.1.27214.
14. Levi R. Dreams. Sleep Doctor. 2024 Jan 24 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://sleepdoctor.com/dreams/mental-health-and-dreams/#:~:text=Mental%20health%20can%20influence%20the,may%20have%20more%20positive%20dreams.
15. Li SX, Zhang B, Li AM, Wing YK. Prevalence and correlates of frequent nightmares: A Community-Based 2-Phase study. SLEEP. 2010 Jun 1;33(6):774-780. DOI: 10.1093/sleep/33.6.774.
16. Maity A, Deshmukh J. DREAM, EXTROVERSION AND COGNITIVE DISTORTION AMONG YOUNG ADULTS. Int J Res Anal Rev. 2021 Oct;8(4):324-325 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://ijrar.org/papers/IJRAR21D2012.pdf.
17. Mallett R, Picard-Deland C, Pigeon W, Wary M, Grewal A, Blagrove M, *et al*. The relationship between dreams and subsequent morning mood using Self-Reports and text analysis. Affect Sci. 2021 Jun;3(2):400-405. DOI: 10.1007/s42761-021-00080-8.
18. Marks H. An overview of dreaming. WebMD. 2024 Nov 27 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from:

https://www.webmd.com/sleep-disorders/dreaming-overview.
19. Naik M, Rathore NS, Sushmita P. Assessing various aspects of dreaming in Young-Adults. Int J Adv Eng Manag (IJAEM). 2019 Dec 30;4(12):76-82. DOI: 10.35629/5252-04127682.
20. Napias A, Denechere E, Mayo W, Ghorayeb I. Assessment of dream-related aspects and beliefs in a large cohort of French students using a validated French version of the Mannheim Dream questionnaire. PLoS One. 2021 Mar 18;16(3):e0247506. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0247506.
21. Nichols H. What does it mean when we dream? Medical News Today. 2023 Oct 13 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/284378#vision-and-hearing-loss.
22. Nixon A, Robidoux R, Dale A, Koninck JD. Pre-sleep and post-sleep mood as a complementary evaluation of emotionally impactful dreams. Int J Dream Res. 2017 Nov 1;10(2):75-80. DOI: 10.11588/ijodr.2017.2.35411.
23. Pacheco D, Pacheco D. How memory and sleep are connected. Sleep Foundation. 2024 May 9 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.sleepfoundation.org/how-sleep-works/memory-and-sleep/#:~:text=The%20general%20consensus%20today%20is,information%20%E2%80%93%20takes%20place%20during%20both.
24. Pesant N, Zadra A. Dream content and psychological well-being: A longitudinal study of the continuity hypothesis. J Clin Psychol. 2017 Jan;73(1):111-121. DOI: 10.1002/JCLP.20212.
25. Raypole C. Anxiety dreams: Causes, meaning, and tips. Healthline. 2024 Sep 27 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.healthline.com/health/anxiety-dreams.
26. Samson-Daoust E, Julien S, Beaulieu-Prévost D, Zadra A. Predicting the affective tone of everyday dreams: A prospective study of state and trait variables. Sci Rep. 2019 Sep 26;9(1):50859. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-50859-w.
27. Scarpelli S, Alfonsi V, Gorgoni M, Musetti A, Filosa M, Quattropani MC, *et al*. Dreams and Nightmares during the First and Second Wave of the COVID-19 Infection: A Longitudinal Study. Brain Sci. 2021 Oct 21;11(11):1375. DOI: 10.3390/brainsci11111375.
28. Scarpelli S, Bartolacci C, D'Atri A, Gorgoni M, De Gennaro L. The functional role of dreaming in emotional processes. Front Psychol. 2019 Mar 13;10:459. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00459.
29. Schredl M, Schawinski JA. Frequency of dream sharing: The effects of gender and personality. Am J Psychol. 2010 Spring;123(1):93-101. DOI: 10.5406/amerjpsyc.123.1.0093.
30. Schredl M, Struck VS, Schwert C, Blei M, Henley-Einion J, Blagrove M. Gender Differences in the Dream Content of Children and Adolescents: The UK Library Study. Am J Psychol. 2019 Fall;132(3):315-324. DOI: 10.5406/amerjpsyc.132.3.0315.
31. Sikka P. Dream affect: Conceptual and methodological issues in the study of emotions and moods experienced in dreams [Document]. ResearchGate. 2020 Mar [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339799686_DREAM_AFFECT_Conceptual_and_Methodological_Issues_in_the_Study_of_Emotions_and_Moods_Experienced_in_Dreams.
32. Sikka P, Pesonen H, Revonsuo A. Peace of mind and anxiety in the waking state are related to the affective content of dreams [Dataset/Document]. Mendeley. 2018 Aug [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.mendeley.com/catalogue/d37f36c1-3698-390a-b171-1d1f75b93c7d/.
33. Suni E, Suni E. Dreams: Why They Happen & What They Mean. Sleep Foundation. 2024 May 2 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.sleepfoundation.org/dreams.
34. Summer JV, Summer JV. Do dreams impact sleep quality? Sleep Foundation. 2024 Sep 27 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://www.sleepfoundation.org/dreams/how-do-dreams-affect-sleep.
35. SLEEP ON IT! The why and the how of dreams - SLEEP ON IT! - Public Health Campaign. SLEEP ON IT!. 2020 May 15 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://sleeponitcanada.ca/all-about-sleep/the-why-and-the-how-of-dreams/.
36. Wadmin. Are Recurring Dreams a Window into Your Mental Health? Learn More Here. Mindful Health Solutions. 2025 Feb 19 [cited 2025 May 10]. Available from: https://mindfulhealthsolutions.com/are-recurring-dreams-a-window-into-your-mental-health/#:~:text=the%20dreamer's%20life.-,Depression,or%20a%20sense%20of%20hopelessness.
37. Wong S, Yu CK. Direct and indirect effects of dispositional emotion regulation on dream experiences. Dreaming. 2022 Dec;32(4):393-407. DOI: 10.1037/drm0000216.
38. Wright ST, Kerr CW, Doroszczuk NM, Kuszczak SM, Hang PC, Luczkiewicz DL. The impact of dreams of the deceased on bereavement. Am J Hosp Palliat Med. 2013 Mar;31(2):132-138. DOI: 10.1177/1049909113479201.
39. Zhang J, Pena A, Delano N, Sattari N, Shuster AE, Baker FC, *et al*. Evidence of an active role of dreaming in emotional memory processing shows that we dream to forget. Sci Rep. 2024 Apr 5;14(1):58170. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-024-58170-z.